

Commoner Clubs

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|---------------------------------|------|--------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | The World To-Day..... | 1.50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$3.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.95 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Twice-a-Week World-Herald..... | .50 | Nebraska Farmer..... | 1.00 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.60 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | McCall's Magazine..... | .50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$2.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.25 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Peoples' Popular Monthly..... | .50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$2.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.20 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | McClure's Magazine..... | 1.50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$3.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$2.00 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Success Magazine..... | 1.00 | The Designer..... | 1.00 | Total..... | \$3.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.85 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Harper's Bazar..... | 1.00 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.80 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Mack's National Monthly..... | 1.00 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.50 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Twice-a-Week World-Herald..... | .50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$2.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.35 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Uncle Remus' Home Magazine..... | 1.00 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.50 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | The Travel Magazine..... | 1.50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$3.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$2.00 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Everybody's Magazine..... | 1.50 | Cosmopolitan..... | 1.00 | Total..... | \$3.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$2.55 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | American Magazine..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.50 | | |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Cosmopolitan..... | 1.00 | Total..... | \$2.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.50 | | |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Sturm's Oklahoma Magazine..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.60 | | |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Woman's Home Companion..... | 1.50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$3.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.95 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Courier-Journal..... | 1.00 | Sturm's Oklahoma Magazine..... | 1.50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$4.00 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | McClure's Magazine..... | 1.50 | Woman's Home Companion..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$4.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$2.35 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------|-------------------------------|------|-----------------------------|--------|-------------------------|--------|
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Pearson's Magazine..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.50 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Review of Reviews..... | 3.00 | McClure's Magazine..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$5.50 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | The Pacific Monthly..... | 1.50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$3.00 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Etude (For Music Lovers)..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.75 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | The Housekeeper..... | .75 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$2.25 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | The Traveler Magazine..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.75 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | American Magazine..... | 1.50 | National Monthly..... | 1.00 | Total..... | \$3.50 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Taylor-Trotwood Magazine..... | 1.50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$3.00 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Thrice-a-Week World..... | 1.00 | World's Events..... | 1.00 | American Homestead..... | .50 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | American Magazine..... | 1.50 | Woman's Home Companion..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$4.00 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Everybody's Magazine..... | 1.50 | The Delicreator..... | 1.00 | Total..... | \$3.50 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Good Housekeeping..... | 1.00 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$2.75 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | McClure's Magazine..... | 1.50 | Modern Priscilla..... | .75 | Total..... | \$3.25 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | LaFollette's Magazine..... | 1.00 | Total..... | \$3.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$2.45 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Pacific Monthly..... | 1.50 | American Magazine..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$4.00 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | The Pacific Monthly..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.60 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | McClure's Magazine..... | 1.50 | Total..... | \$2.50 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.85 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | Woman's Home Companion..... | 1.50 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$3.00 |
| The Commoner..... | \$1.00 | American Homestead..... | .50 | Total..... | \$3.00 | OUR PRICE..... | \$1.95 |

Address All Orders to THE COMMONER, Lincoln, Neb.

Letters from the People

William E. Rutledge, East St. Louis, Ill.—Information Wanted: I would be very glad if some statesman or gifted writer would write an article for The Commoner and explain how we can harmonize protective tariff or tariff for revenue with the golden rule, the brotherhood of God and the universal brotherhood of man. Tell us how any people can be safe who ignore these. The old law is, do unto others as you would have them do unto you. Can a man be a Christian and ignore this law? Some Bible student who is also a statesman might answer these questions.

P. H. Donahey, Bladensburg, O.—I have read Mr. Bailey's speech in your issue of October 1, purporting to be a reply to a speech of Mr. Bryan which I had the misfortune to miss. I am an old man. Have voted the democratic ticket all my life, because I believed the doctrine of that party would, if applied, benefit the masses more than those of the republican party. I have always been an admirer of Senator Bailey and had hoped that I might live to see the day when I could have the privilege of casting my vote to help make him chief magistrate of the nation. Even during his last senatorial campaign, my confidence in his integrity did not waver. Having been raised on a farm when work for the young was plenty and facilities for education scant, I acknowledge my lack of the latter, and that I have perhaps all these years been ignorant of or mistaken in the democratic position on the tariff question.

All these years I have thought the democratic party favored a tariff for revenue only, and favored free raw material. Is not a tariff on free raw material the foundation of protection? Mr. Bailey says in his defense of a tariff on raw material that he is in favor of equalizing taxation but is that equalizing it? Where does the consumer come in? It seems to me that his very argument for a duty on hides should be sufficient to repeal it. He says we realized a revenue from the "importation of hides of \$2,000,000 in 1907, and only \$30,000 on boots and shoes." Was not this because the manufacturer had his compensatory duty on the manufactured article, a duty to cover the supposed difference in cost of manufacture here and abroad and finally his protection allowing a small profit? All of which being added made the duty prohibitory, while as it is necessary to have the foreign hides. If the duty had been as much more the revenue to the government would have been doubled. I am not writing to learn. I am not egotistical enough to think I can teach. But I would like to ask Senator Bailey did I know his address, otherwise would like The Commoner to tell how many millions of dollars are paid by the wearers of boots and shoes that the government may get these \$2,000,000 revenue? Also could I do so would like to ask Mr. Bailey to tell me if the manufacturer would not have to dispense with this compensatory duty if the duty was taken off the hides? I would like also to know the proportion that are benefited not only by the duty on hides but on all raw material, wool, lumber, ore, coal, etc. Are there more producers than consumers? Even if it were so, is it justice to tax one industry to benefit another? Again, do the packers pay more for the animal because of the duty on hides? Can those engaged in producing articles of which the country has a surplus for export such as corn and wheat, etc., be

benefited by a tariff duty? If so, how? Does a tariff benefit the laboring classes by giving them increased wages? Or is not the increase, from years ago, due to their greater intelligence, efficiency, labor unions, etc? It seems to me that in studying the tariff question all these and many more are of vital importance, because if the protective system is to be a permanent fixture of our government as it is sometimes proclaimed the people should be fully informed on every point. As for me, from the little that I have been able to gather I believe it to be a taxing of the masses for the benefit, of no longer a few, but of many that have been made rich by it and today almost if not quite, together with other large industries hold the government powerless to legislate for the best interests of the people.

W. E. Spell, Hillsboro, Texas.—I am heartily in accord with the eighteen democratic senators who voted against free raw material. I think any other course would have been against the democratic doctrine on the subject. Hence I do not believe you are defending the interests of the people in your demand for free raw material, but believe such a course is diametrically opposed to the interest of the people of this country. My individual opinion is that such a doctrine is diametrically opposed to the interest, not only of this section, but of the great masses of the people. Therefore it will give me great pleasure to render any assistance to our democratic representatives in either the house or senate in maintaining the faith of the fathers on this question. In my judgment it is in the interest of the manufacturer solely and against the interest of the great masses. I am for the masses and against the wealthy manufacturer, in this as well as every other contest.

NOT EVEN THEN

During a recent ceremonial a newly-appointed member of the police force was stationed at one of the entrances of a building with instructions to let no one enter. A well-dressed woman disputed his authority. "I'm the Spanish minister's wife," she exclaimed. "Even if you was the Methodist minister's wife you couldn't get in here," he replied firmly.—New York World.

Subscribers' Advertising Dept.

You can make money and build up a nice little business of your own by using this department to place your proposition before The Commoner's big army of readers. If you have anything to buy or sell it will pay you to use this department at all times. Send us a trial order. Write just as you'd talk. Never mind the grammar. Count name, postoffice, and numbers as one word each. Multiply by 6 cents per word, and send your ad. and money order direct to The Commoner, Lincoln, Neb.

MONTANA, THE LAND OF OPPORTUNITY! Contains the only great area of fertile land in the United States waiting to be peopled. Send your name to J. H. Hall, Commissioner of the State Bureau of Publicity, Helena, Mont., and get, free, official book with full information.

BARGAIN IN 480 ACRE FARM UNDER fence. 180 acres broke, 2 sets buildings, timber, springs, mile to railroad town, \$12 an acre, write for particulars, T. A. Haley, Indianola, Neb.

LAND FOR SALE OR EXCHANGE—All or part, section, eastern Colorado, \$8 acre, \$3 cash, long time on balance. John A. McKeown, First Nat'l. Bank Bldg., Chicago.

FOR SALE—RELINQUISHMENT OF 67 55-100 acres irrigable raw land on Dietrich tract, Southern Idaho, 20 miles from Twin Falls. Filing was No. 34, on 50,000 acres. Would sell for \$500 if taken by December 25. Address G. G. Brock, Sheldon, Ia.