

# THE CANTON LEADER

ARTHUR LINN,  
Editor and Proprietor.  
G. O. Glendinning, Business Manager.

**FOR PRESIDENT 1908  
THEODORE ROOSEVELT**  
By Will of the People.

**TRIBUTE TO C. I. CRAWFORD.**

**Neighbors of the Governor-Elect  
Hold a Complimentary  
Meeting.**

A meeting of Huron citizens was held Saturday night, complimentary to Hon. C. I. Crawford, governor-elect of South Dakota. W. S. Demaree, chairman of the republican county central committee, presided. A number of brief addresses were made. Among the speakers were Hon. A. W. Wilmarth, Mayor Cleaver, Father Desmond, Hon. H. C. Hineckley, State's Attorney A. B. Fairbank and Dr. C. B. Alford. Gov-elect Crawford made an address in which he expressed gratitude to the people of Huron and South Dakota for their splendid support during the late campaign and declared that the principles for which they had so grandly contended would not be lost sight of, but that it would be his aim and effort to have enacted laws that will put into effect the measure so earnestly desired. His address was earnest, patriotic and eloquent, and at its close he was given three rousing cheers. The meeting was entirely nonpartisan, the list of speakers including some prominent democrats.

### Hearst.

Chicago Tribune: Apparently there are almost 800,000 persons in New York who think that Mr. Hearst is fit to be governor. It is true that a larger number of persons in the state think he is unfit. But a man of Mr. Hearst's stamp, who has been able in so short a time to rally so many voters around him, will not be discouraged by one reverse. He will keep up the campaign steadily after election, and unless his opponents do likewise they may find that this year's victory has availed them little.

### Toohy in Bad Company.

It is worth noting that when the returns began to come in, the men who had been fighting him said: "Well Jack is all right, I would have liked to have seen him elected personally, but I could not stand for the men he was mixed up with." There was a general feeling that in voting against Jack Toohy, they were voting against Kittredge, or Burnside or Bushel, or Scott. No wonder poor Jack fell with such a load to carry.—Sioux Falls Forum.

### Don't Shoot Squirrels.

It is reported that a mighty hunter went out along the river the other day and boasted of shooting 20 squirrels during the hunt. Just think of shooting 20 of those sprightly, handsome little fellows, and for what purpose? More for the sake of shooting them than for anything else. What a pleasure it would be to see those handsome harmless little bushy tails at play in the trees all over town, and in most cities they are protected and in many cities they are so tame that they will eat out of your hand. Don't shoot the squirrels, but rather protect them.

Republican voters in Hanson county defeated Senator Wagner, and these republican voters were not of the insurgent belief. It was part of the machine contingent that defeated Senator Wagner, but the Senator isn't grieving over the result. He says progressive republicanism has come to stay and the man who was responsible for the defeat of a few republicans will never go back to the United States senate.

President Roosevelt and party arrived at Colon Wednesday, all well and a pleasant trip.

### TO GO INTO EFFECT NOV. 19.

We the undersigned merchants agree to deliver goods to our customers as follows:  
All orders to be delivered before 6 o'clock p. m. must be in at 5 o'clock p. m., with the exception of Saturday.

Chraft & Hansen Co.  
Grevlos & Son.  
Puckett-Pidcoe Co.  
H. E. Thayer.

### Outage Grove Social.

The ladies aid society of the Cottage Grove church will give a social at Mr. Penniston's on Friday evening Nov. 23. Everybody cordially invited.

### Catt Taken Up.

Taken up on the Osmund Osmundson farm, section 31, Highland Township, about October 1st, 1906, one brown bull calf about one year old. The owner is requested to prove property, pay all expenses, and remove the same as soon as possible.  
Christ L. Heltved.

### Farmers and Horsemen.

Are your horses afflicted with bot? If so you should at once procure a bottle of Livers Heave Drops, a sure cure for Heaves, Short-windedness, Coughs and Indigestion. For sale by A. G. Heid.

## COMMISSIONERS' PROCEEDINGS.

Canton, S. D., Oct. 15, 1906.  
The Commissioners inspected the drainage ditch in Grant township as set forth in petition signed by Geo. Wallenstein, et. al.  
On motion county commissioners adjourned to meet Oct. 16, 1906.

In the matter of the drainage ditch between sections 13, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 35 and 36 in Grant township and section 19 in Lynn township. The county surveyor's statement to the county commissioners showing that the proposed ditch not having sufficient fall to carry off the water the petition is rejected.  
County commissioners adjourned sine die.

Attest: G. P. Schiager,  
Chas. Kundert, Chairman.  
County Auditor.

Canton, S. D., Nov. 10, 1906.  
The county commissioners of Lincoln county, South Dakota, met this day in regular session. Present: G. P. Schiager, chairman, N. Smith, W. M. Cuppett and County Auditor.

The returns of the election held Tuesday, Nov. 6, 1906 having been received from the several voting precincts of Lincoln county, as provided by law, the county auditor proceeded to form a board of canvassers by calling to his assistance, G. P. Schiager, County Commissioner; and W. K. Slade, County Treasurer; Wm. M. Cuppett and N. Smith being candidates were barred from acting as canvassers.

After being called to order, G. P. Schiager was elected chairman and Chas. Kundert clerk, the board proceeded to canvass the returns with following result:

(See Tabulated Statement.)  
We, Gunder P. Schiager, Wm. K. Slade and Chas. Kundert, county commissioner, county treasurer and county auditor of Lincoln county, South Dakota, being a regular lawfully constituted board of canvassers of said county do hereby certify that the figures herewith in tabulated form is a full, true and complete abstract of the votes cast at an election held on Nov. 6, 1906, in the several voting precincts of Lincoln county, South Dakota, for members of congress, state, legislative, county officers and amendments to the constitution as shown by the return made by the judges of election and as canvassed by us this 10th day of November, 1906.

Signed: Gunder P. Schiager,  
Wm. K. Slade,  
Chas. Kundert.

The following election claims were allowed:

Eden Township.  
Chas. Bergstrom, judge and returning ballot boxes \$6 50  
G. G. Dokken, judge 2 00  
J. A. Warner, judge 2 00  
A. T. Warner, clerk 2 00  
J. A. Fowles, clerk 2 00  
Dist. No. 84, rent 2 00  
Norway Township.  
H. P. Hanson, judge and returning ballot boxes and fixing booths 5 40  
H. E. Herbrandson, judge 2 00  
Thos. Ulrikson, judge 2 00  
M. T. Gubbrud, clerk 2 00  
Geo. Asper, clerk 2 00  
Pleasant Township.  
J. H. Miller, judge 2 00  
A. P. Van Voorhis, judge 2 00  
B. Schmid, judge and returning ballot boxes and fixing booths 6 00  
C. L. Milliken, clerk 2 00  
O. M. Pierce, clerk 2 00  
Dist. No. 59, rent 2 00  
Brooklyn township.  
Phil Foy, judge and fixing booths 3 25  
Z. Strom, judge 2 00  
H. F. McKillips, judge 2 00  
C. G. Soderstrom, clerk 2 00  
H. A. Sundstrom, clerk 2 00  
Dist. No. 42, rent 2 00  
J. M. Peterson, returning ballot boxes 3 00  
Fairview Township.  
Menik Falde, judge 2 00  
John Noonan, judge 2 00  
John I. Hanson, judge and returning ballot boxes 2 90  
Stein Larsgaard, clerk 2 00  
Frank Iseninger, clerk 2 00  
Dist. No. 83, rent 2 00  
Highland Township.  
Paul J. Eneboe, judge 2 00  
Hans Brynjulson, judge and returning ballot boxes 2 70  
Ole E. Fossum, judge 2 00  
Ole Jensen, clerk 2 00  
Hans Enstad, clerk 2 00  
Dist. No. 7, rent 2 00  
Lincoln Township.  
Geo. B. Skinner, judge and returning ballot boxes 3 90  
M. T. Eneboe, judge 2 00  
D. A. Rhea, judge 2 00  
N. B. Koons, clerk 2 00  
W. U. Parke, clerk and making booths 5 00  
Dist. No. 80, rent 2 00  
Delaware Township.  
J. W. Thomas, judge 2 00  
T. W. Smelker, judge and returning ballot boxes 4 00  
Seren Anderson, judge 2 00  
E. A. Keller, clerk 2 00  
Geo. White, clerk 2 00  
Dist. No. 31, rent 2 00  
Canton Township.  
Carl Anderson, judge 2 00  
F. M. Heck, judge 2 00  
Henry Hvattum, judge 2 00  
Henry M. Hanson, clerk 2 00  
A. M. Ringheim, clerk 2 00  
Town Hall, rent 2 00  
Lynn Township.  
Sam Ness, judge 2 00  
D. L. Williams, judge 2 00  
F. C. Wiggins, judge 2 00  
A. Peterson, clerk 2 00  
T. Mausbach, clerk, fixing booths and returning ballot boxes 3 80  
Dist. No. 56, rent 2 00

Grant Township.  
Geo. W. Odell, judge 2 00  
John Gerber, Sr., judge and returning ballot boxes 3 70  
Henry Hanson, judge 2 00  
Gustav Aulwes, clerk 2 00  
Lewis Larson, clerk and putting up booths 3 00  
Dist. No. 89, rent 2 00  
Dayton Township.  
F. J. Budde, judge and returning boxes 3 80  
John Evenson, judge 2 00  
Iver Nelson, judge 2 00  
G. W. Bankson, clerk 2 00  
A. C. Monrad, clerk 2 00  
LaValley Township.  
Conrad Nogelmeir, judge and returning ballot boxes 3 90  
Joseph Shebal, judge and returning ballot boxes 2 00  
Walter Mier, judge 2 00  
Fred Sherman, clerk 2 00  
John Elster, clerk 2 00  
Dist. No. 51, rent 2 00  
Perry Township.  
Ole A. Lee, judge 2 00  
Miles Tullar, judge 2 00  
Wm. Folken, judge 2 00  
John S. Hoogstraal, clerk 2 00  
John Detjen, clerk and returning ballot boxes 4 00  
Dist. No. 62, rent 2 00  
Springdale Township.  
Geo. Kinsey, judge 2 00  
E. P. Lommen, judge 2 00  
A. E. Loomis, judge and returning ballot boxes 3 60  
W. P. Allen, clerk 2 00  
A. M. Sears, clerk 2 00  
Delapre Township.  
E. A. Wendt, judge 2 00  
John Rader, judge and returning ballot boxes 4 40  
A. W. Dula, judge 2 00  
Edward Bomgaard, clerk 2 00  
John G. Groeneveld, clerk 2 00  
Woodman Hall, rent 2 00  
Hudson.  
C. F. Johnson, judge 2 00  
A. J. Waterbury, judge 2 00  
H. D. Fitch, judge and returning ballot boxes 4 00  
O. H. Parkin, clerk 2 00  
Thos. Thackeray, clerk and repairing booths 3 50  
Town Hall, rent 2 00  
Beresford Third Ward.  
Henry Claussen, judge and returning ballot boxes 5 50  
Jacob Jacobson, judge 2 00  
Hans J. Heldam, judge 2 00  
Werter F. Smith, clerk 2 00  
J. J. Schaefer, clerk 2 00  
J. W. Rader, office rent 2 00  
Fairview Inc.  
C. E. Mills, judge 2 00  
A. Rodway, judge and returning ballot boxes 3 00  
Hans M. Miller, judge 2 00  
H. H. Aldridge, clerk 2 00  
Edward Lien, clerk 2 00  
Woodman Hall, rent 2 00  
Worthing.  
J. M. Montgomery, judge 2 00  
A. H. Hoff, judge 2 00  
J. P. Eliason, judge and returning ballot boxes and fixing booths 4 00  
G. M. France, clerk 2 00  
J. M. Solso, clerk 2 00  
Lennox.  
M. J. Gotherf, judge 2 00  
J. J. Wumkes, judge making booths 4 00  
Louis Jacobs, judge and returning ballot boxes 3 80  
H. C. Newendorp, clerk 2 00  
J. P. Henrich, clerk 2 00  
Lennox band hall, rent 2 00  
Harrisburg.  
L. P. Meizner, judge 2 00  
Chas. Miller, judge 2 00  
C. H. Vaughan, judge, returning ballot boxes and erecting booths 5 40  
H. C. Garrison, clerk 2 00  
G. D. Searis, clerk 2 00  
Harrisburg Improvement Co. rent 2 00

proved with the following sureties:  
Math Hanson, O. S. Gifford, John Anderson, A. G. Noid and Ole Thompson.

The County Commissioners entered order declaring the territory mentioned in petition a municipal corporation under the corporate name of Tea, pursuant to the vote held at the election for said purpose.

A petition praying for a ditch or drain starting in section 22 Grant township and running in a natural water course to a point in section 2 Delaware township with a second part or branch starting between sections 34 and 35 in Grant township and connecting with the main part of the ditch in section 2 in Delaware township being found in proper form and being accompanied by a sufficient bond approved by the county auditor the same was ordered filed with the county auditor and the board proceeded to inspect the same personally and thereupon passed the following resolution in reference thereto:

**RESOLUTION.**  
Be it resolved by the Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln county, State of South Dakota, that whereas a petition signed by Gustave Aulwes, Knudt Gedstad, Henry Hanson, J. C. Kuper, Lewis Larson, N. O. Nelson and K. O. Gedstad certain owners of lands in Grant Township and Delaware Township in Lincoln County, State of South Dakota likely to be affected by a certain proposed ditch set out in said petition was on the 10th day of November 1906 presented to the aforesaid Board of County Commissioners praying for the establishment of a ditch or drain following a natural water course from a point in Section 22 in Grant Township, Township 98, North of range 51 west of the 5th principal meridian to a point in section 2 in Delaware Township, Township 97 north of range 51 west of the 5th principal meridian with a branch or second part beginning at a point in Grant Township, Township 98 North of range 51 west of the 5th principal meridian and connecting with the main part of the said ditch in section 2 in Delaware Township, Township 97 north of range 51 west of the 5th principal meridian and whereas said petition being accompanied by a sufficient bond approved by the County Auditor and being sufficient in form was ordered filed with the said County Auditor and thereafter the Board of County Commissioners having proceeded to inspect the proposed route personally and considering in their opinion that a preliminary survey was unnecessary:

Therefore be it resolved by the said Board of County Commissioners that the said petition be retained and that a complete survey and estimate of the proposed drainage be made setting forth the proposed route, a description of the lands through which it will pass, and the names of the owners of each tract so far as the same appear in the office of the Register of Deeds of said county, a description of all the lands liable to be affected by the proposed drainage, and a complete plat and profile of said drainage showing elevations and such other facts deemed necessary or valuable also an estimate of the cost of construction of the proposed drainage and that such other and further acts be done as in law are necessary if any.

Passed by the Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County, State of South Dakota, this 10th day of November, A. D. 1906.  
G. P. SCHIAGER, Chairman.  
CHAS. KUNDELT, County Auditor.

The county commissioners having on the 15th day of October, 1906, duly inspected the proposed drainage ditch in Grant township as set forth in petition signed by Geo. Wallenstein et. al., on the 3rd day of Oct. 1906, duly passed a resolution for the retention of the petition and declaring the necessity of such drainage, and also passed a resolution fixing time and place for hearing said petition, at which time and place any person damaged thereby may appear and be heard in support of his claim.

**RESOLUTION.**  
Whereas, Jan Groen, Geo. Wallenstein, G. Stoffers, D. F. Debelitz and Mell Wierenga did on the 3rd day of October A. D. 1906, present to the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Lincoln, State of South Dakota, a petition praying for the construction of a drainage ditch in the Township of Grant, County of Lincoln, State of South Dakota, an accurate description of the route of said drainage ditch being as follows: Beginning at or near the center of southwest quarter of Section 19, Town 98, Range 51, running thence southeast across s. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. 19 and across n. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. 29; s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. 29; n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  and s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 32, Grant Township, Lincoln county, South Dakota.

Whereas, a petition regular in form and accompanied by a proper bond has been filed by order of the Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln county, South Dakota, in the office of the Auditor in said county, made by Jan Groen, Geo. Wallenstein, G. Stoffers, D. F. Debelitz and Mel Wierenga, praying that a drainage ditch be established and constructed over the following course and in accordance with the following description: Beginning at or near the center of the South West Quarter of Section 19, Township 98, Range 51, Lincoln county, South Dakota, running thence southeast across s. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 19, and across n. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 30, and n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 30 and n. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 30 and across n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. 29, thence south across s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. 29, thence south westerly across n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 29, thence south across n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 29, thence south across n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 32, thence southeast across s. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 32, to road, thence east to Long Creek along north side of public highway; and the said Board of County Commissioners having personally inspected the said route of said proposed ditch and having by resolution regularly passed decided that the said ditch was practical and necessary and having ordered that the County Surveyor make a complete survey, plat, profile, and estimate of the cost of construction of said ditch and the said county surveyor having on the 19th day of November 1906, filed with the said petition his report on said order and a careful survey, plat, profile and an estimate of the cost of the construction of said ditch in the office of the county auditor of said county, which report in all things complies with the said order and the law:

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

And Whereas, said petition being in due and sufficient legal form, and accompanied by a bond as required by law, the aforesaid upon which said bond were duly approved by the County Auditor of said County, said petition was by the Board of County Commissioners ordered to be filed with the County Auditor of said County, and was thereafter and on the said 3rd day of October, 1906, duly filed in the office of said County Auditor.

## IF YOU TOUCH your tongue to ALUM

and look in the glass—you will see the effect— You can't help puckering—it makes you pucker to think of tasting it.

By the use of so called cheap Baking Powders you take this puckering, injurious Alum right into your system—you injure digestion, and ruin your stomach.

### AVOID ALUM

Say plainly—

## ROYAL BAKING POWDER

Royal is made from pure, refined Grape Cream of Tartar—Costs more than Alum but you have the profit of quality, the profit of good health.



And Whereas, the Board of County Commissioners did on the 15th day of October, A. D. 1906, proceed to inspect the proposed route of said drainage ditch personally as required by law and upon such inspection found that the construction of the drainage hereinafter set forth will be conducive to the health of people residing in the vicinity thereof; and to the convenience and welfare of the public generally:

Now Therefore: Be it resolved by the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Lincoln, State of South Dakota:

(1) That the construction of said proposed drainage will be conducive to the public health, convenience and welfare.

(2) That the construction of the drainage ditch along the route proposed is practicable and necessary.

(3) That the petition for the construction thereof be retained.

(4) That the County Surveyor be, and is hereby, directed and required to forthwith make a complete survey and estimate (if the same has not already been made) as required by the Statute in such case made and provided.

Passed by the unanimous vote of the Board of County Commissioners of the County of Lincoln, State of South Dakota, this 10th day of November, A. D., 1906.

Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln County, South Dakota.  
By G. P. Schiager,  
Chairman.  
Wm. M. Cuppett,  
N. Smith.

Attest: Chas. Kundert,  
County Auditor.

**RESOLUTION OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS FIXING DATE OF HEARING.**

In the matter of the proposed drainage ditch running through s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 19; n. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 30; n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 29; s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 29; n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  and s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 32, Grant Township, Lincoln county, South Dakota.

Whereas, a petition regular in form and accompanied by a proper bond has been filed by order of the Board of County Commissioners of Lincoln county, South Dakota, in the office of the Auditor in said county, made by Jan Groen, Geo. Wallenstein, G. Stoffers, D. F. Debelitz and Mel Wierenga, praying that a drainage ditch be established and constructed over the following course and in accordance with the following description: Beginning at or near the center of the South West Quarter of Section 19, Township 98, Range 51, Lincoln county, South Dakota, running thence southeast across s. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of the s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 19, and across n. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 30, and n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 30 and across n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. 29, thence south across s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  Sec. 29, thence south westerly across n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 29, thence south across n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 29, thence south across n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of n. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  of sec. 32, thence southeast across s. e.  $\frac{1}{4}$  s. w.  $\frac{1}{4}$  sec. 32, to road, thence east to Long Creek along north side of public highway; and the said Board of County Commissioners having personally inspected the said route of said proposed ditch and having by resolution regularly passed decided that the said ditch was practical and necessary and having ordered that the County Surveyor make a complete survey, plat, profile, and estimate of the cost of construction of said ditch and the said county surveyor having on the 19th day of November 1906, filed with the said petition his report on said order and a careful survey, plat, profile and an estimate of the cost of the construction of said ditch in the office of the county auditor of said county, which report in all things complies with the said order and the law:

Now Therefore, Be it Resolved: That Monday, the 3rd day of December, 1906, at 2 o'clock in the afternoon of said day, at the office of the County Auditor of said Lincoln county, in the Court House in the city of Canton, South Dakota, be and the same is hereby fixed as the time and place when and where the said Board of County Commissioners will meet to hear the said petition and at which time and place all persons affected by the said proposed drainage ditch may appear and show cause why the same should not be established and constructed, and at which time and place any person deeming himself damaged by the proposed drainage ditch or claiming compensation for lands proposed to be taken for such drainage shall present his or her claim therefor; and it is further Ordered: That notice of the said meeting be published for two consecutive weeks in the Lennox Independent, a legal weekly newspaper published in the said County of Lincoln nearest to the proposed route of drainage, and by posting copies of said notice in three of the most public places near the route of said drainage, which notice shall