

Are on Record.

The republicans have won the election on false pretenses. They have declared their intentions to do certain things which they never intended to perform. They have guaranteed certain consequences which the policies they are pledged to carry out cannot produce.

The republican party secured many votes because of its declaration in favor of international bimetallism. We have said that in such pretension it was not sincere. Its spokesmen have advocated ideas opposed to any kind of bimetallism. The future will prove that we were right and that those who voted for its candidates, because of such profession, have been deceived.

We have said that the gold standard meant lower prices, lower wages and an increased strain on labor unions, tending to the ultimate breaking down of the protection afforded by them to their members. The republican spokesmen have asserted that the gold standard meant increased prosperity for workingmen—"more work and more wages." The future will demonstrate that we are right.

We have said that the triumph of the republican party means increased power for the trusts and combinations. By their votes the people of this country have said that they believed the contrary. Mark well our predictions, for it will come true.

We have said that the triumph of the republican party meant the retirement of the greenbacks and greater power over financial conditions for the national bank monopoly. The future will prove that we are correct.

We have said that the triumph of the republican party means greater centralization of wealth, more complete subjection of our courts to the power of money, more corruption in high places, more poverty and wretchedness among those who toil, and mark well, gentle reader, so that you

can recall them to our mind, if before the next four years are ended our prediction, have not all come true.

What is Honest Money?

The advocates of free silver coinage are denounced as the enemies of "sound" and "honest" money. They are charged with an effort to "debase the currency," and workingmen are told that while everything else would fall to half value if the silver policy prevailed, all the necessaries of life—all they eat and drink and wear—would rise in price, and it would cost them double as much to live and support their families as it does now.

Just how the necessaries of life could double in price when the cost of raising and producing such necessaries was reduced 50 per cent these economists do not explain. They content themselves with telling people if the volume of currency is increased by the double standard; if silver coin is joined with gold coin at a fixed ratio as a legal tender for the payment of all debts, they will get no more salary or wages than they receive at present and will only be able to buy half as much with their money as they can buy now. How is this condition of affairs to be brought about they do not take the trouble to explain, any more than they seek to offer an explanation of how the owner of fifty-three cents' worth of silver bullion, who takes it to the mint, can have it made of the value of a full dollar by coinage when they tell us that after it is coined into a dollar it will be worth no more than fifty-three cents, and possibly less.

If there was nothing but gold coin in use, and plenty of it for all, there might be some sense in the talk of "debasement" of the currency. But what employe of a business house gets his

salary paid in gold? Do the car driver and the car conductor and the railroad man get their two dollars or their two dollars and a half a day in gold coin? Do the musical gold pieces chink in the pocket of the laborer?

Is not gold almost exclusively the currency of the rich? Do not the bondholders receive their interest in gold? Do not banks draw gold from the government and lock it up, in order to force bond sales, out of which they can realize millions, directly or indirectly? Did not unscrupulous speculators hoard gold during the war for the purpose of raising its price or premium and trading on the nation's troubles.

The republicans claim that the intelligent voter has saved the national honor. Where did they get their intelligent majority? If we are to judge the intelligent vote of the country by the intelligent votes cast for Morris we will prefer to be classed among the illiterate. The votes which elected Morris were cast on the Iron ranges where the majority of the people are foreigners. Duluth gave Towne a large majority and the people who supported C. A. Towne so faithfully are our most intelligent and brainy citizens. The republican managers did not dare to attempt to influence the educated masses of Duluth but spent time and money where they knew ignorance predominated. They did their work well.

Struck juries, unequal taxation, and the robbery of the defenceless by the time check system and the employment agency bandits, seems to be according to the tastes of St. Louis county laboringmen. This is a free country, and the people are entitled to get what they vote for. We hope to God you get it, too, even to your utmost satisfaction.