Notice.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, COUNTY OF St. Louis. District Court, Eleventh Judicial District.

In the matter of the application of William Abrahamson for Judge's deed.

To Whom It May Concern:

Notice is hereby given, that an application has been made to me by William Abrahamson, one of the owners under the provisions of the statutes of the State of Minnesota, for a deed to the following described land, situated in the county of St. Louis, State of Minnesota, and described as follows, to-wit:

So much of lots 9 and 11, West Caldwell street in Duluth, formerly called Portland, according to the recorded plat thereof, as lies within the limits of the southerly 27½ feet of the northerly 102½ feet of lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, block 44, Portland Division of Duluth.

That said application will be heard before the above named court, at a special term thereof, to be held at the District Court in the Court House in the city of Duluth, county of St. Louis, State of Minnesota, on Saturday, the 20th day of January, 1923, at the opening of court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard, at which time, and place, all persons claiming such portions of said lots or any of them, or any right, title or interest in or to the same, or any part thereof, are hereby summoned and required to attend and establish their right to receive a deed for the portion of said lots above described, or to make any objection they may have to the granting of such application, and

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, That notice of said application and hearing, be given to all persons interested, by the publication of this notice in The Labor World, a weekly newspaper, printed and published in the city of Duluth, St. Louis County, Minnesota, once each week for three successive weeks, before said day of hearing.

Dated December 18, 1922.

By the Court,
H. A. DANCER,
Judge of the District Court.

GOLDBERG & COHEN,
Attorneys for Petitioner,
404 Columbia Bldg.,
Duluth, Minn.

L. W., Dec. 23-30, 1922, Jan. 6, 1923.

Order to Examine Final Account.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, COUNTY OF St. Louis.—ss. In Probate Court.

In the matter of the estate of Louise Sherwood, decedent.

The petition of Seymour E. Sherwood as representative of the above named decedent, together with his final account of the administration of said estate, having been filed in this court, representing, among other things, that he has fully administered said estate, and praying that said final account of said administration be examined, adjusted and allowed by the court, and that the court make and enter its final decree of distribution of the residue of the estate of said decedent to the persons entitled thereto, and for the discharge of the said representative and the sureties on his bond.

It is ordred, That said petition be heard, and said final account examined, adjusted, and if correct, allowed by the court, at the Probate Court rooms in the Court House, in the City of Duluth in said county, on Monday the 8th day of January, 1923, at ten o'clock a. m. and all persons interested in said hearing and in said matter are hereby cited and required at said time and place to show cause, if any there be, why said petition should not be granted.

Ordered further, That this order be served by publication in The Labor World according to law.

Dated at Duluth, Minn., Dec. 13, 1922.

By the Court,
S. W. GILPIN,
Judge of Probate.

Attest: G. B. GIFFORD,
Clerk of Probate.
(Seal Probate Court, St. Louis Co., Minn.)

PAUL M. T. THOMPSON, Attorney,
711-712 Palladio Bldg., Duluth, Minn.

Order Limiting Time to File Claims, and for Hearing Thereon.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, COUNTY OF St. Louis.—ss. In Probate Court.

In the matter of the estate of Dana B. Wheeler, decedent.

It is ordered, That the time within which all creditors of the above named decedent may present claims against his estate in this court, be, and the same hereby is, limited to three months from and after the date hereof, and that the 20th day of March, 1923, at ten o'clock a. m., in the Probate Court rooms at the Court House at Duluth in said county, be, and the same hereby is, fixed and appointed as the time and place for hearing upon the examination, adjustment and allowance of such claims as shall be presented within the time aforesaid.

Let notice hereof be given by the publication of this order in The Labor World as provided by law.

Dated, Duluth, Minn., Dec. 15, 1922.

S. W. GILPIN,
Judge of Probate.

(Seal Probate Court, St. Louis County, Minn.)

CRASSWELLER & CRASSWELLER,
Attorneys.

L. W., Dec. 23-30, 1922, Jan. 6, 1923.

Order to Examine Final Account.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, COUNTY OF St. Louis.—ss. In Probate Court.

In the matter of the estate of Laura Shelby Blood, decedent.

The petition of Charles W. Sterling as representative of the above named decedent, together with his final account of the administration of said estate, having been filed in this court, representing, among other things, that he has fully administered said estate, and praying that said final account of said administration be examined, adjusted and allowed by the court, and that the court make and enter its final decree of distribution of the residue of the estate of said decedent to the persons entitled thereto, and for the discharge of the representative and the sureties on his bond.

It is ordered, That said petition be heard, and said final account examined, adjusted, and if correct, allowed by the court, at the Probate Court rooms in the Court House, in the City of Duluth in said county, on Monday the 15th day of January, 1923, at ten o'clock a. m., and all persons interested in said hearing and in said matter are hereby cited and required at said time and place to show cause, if any there be, why said petition should not be granted.

Ordered further, That this order be served by publication in The Labor World according to law.

Dated at Duluth, Minn., Dec. 19, 1922.

By the Court,
S. W. GILPIN,
Judge of Probate.

Attest: G. B. GIFFORD,
Clerk of Probate.
(Seal Probate Court, St. Louis Co., Minn.)

L. W., Dec. 23-30, 1922, Jan. 6, 1923.

Order for Hearing on Petition for Administration.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, COUNTY OF St. Louis.—ss. In Probate Court.

In the matter of the estate of Victor Laukkonen, decedent.

The petition of Amalia Laukkonen, having been filed in this court, representing, among other things, that Victor Laukkonen, then being a resident of the County of St. Louis, State of Minnesota, died intestate, in the County of St. Louis, State of Minnesota, on the 22nd day of May, 1921, leaving estate in the County of St. Louis, State of Minnesota, and that said petitioner is the surviving spouse of said decedent and praying that letters of administration of the estate of said decedent be granted to Oscar Laukkonen.

It is ordered, That said petition be heard before this court, at the Probate Court rooms in the Court House in Duluth, in said county, on Monday, the 15th day of January, 1923, at nine o'clock a. m., and all persons interested in said hearing and in said matter are hereby cited and required at said time and place to show cause, if any there be, why said petition should not be granted.

Ordered further, That this order be served by publication in The Labor World according to law, and that a copy of this order be served on the County Treasurer of St. Louis county, not less than ten days prior to said day of hearing.

Dated at Duluth, Minn., December 19, 1922.

By the Court,
S. W. GILPIN,
Judge of Probate.

Attest: G. B. GIFFORD,
Clerk of Probate.
(Seal Probate Court, St. Louis Co., Minn.)

GIBBIN & MANTHEY, Attorneys,

L. W., Dec. 23-30, 1922, Jan. 6, 1923.

WHEREAS, default has been made in the payment of all the principal and of interest since May 21st, 1922, on a Mortgage, made by Oliver Juneau and Marie Juneau, his wife, to the Bankers Investment Company, a North Dakota Corporation, dated the 21st day of November, 1917, and filed in the office of the Register of Deeds of St. Louis County, Minnesota, on December 15th, 1917, at 9 o'clock a. m. and recorded in Book 373 of Mortgages on page 143 and assigned by the Mortgagee to Victoria L. Rathke by Deed of Assignment dated December 1st, 1917, filed in the office of the Register of Deeds of St. Louis County, Minnesota, on the 15th day of December, 1917, at 9 o'clock a. m. and recorded in Book 316 of Mortgages on page 182, and there is claimed to be now due and owing, twelve hundred forty-two dollars, ($1,242.00) thereon and no action or proceeding having been commenced to recover the amount due or any part thereof.

Now, therefore, notice is hereby given that said mortgage will be foreclosed by sale of the mortgaged premises, to-wit: the Southwest quarter (SW¼) of the Northeast quarter (NE¼) and the Northwest quarter (NW¼) of the Southeast quarter (SE¼) of Section fifteen (15) in Township fifty-five (55), North of Range twelve (12) West of the Fourth Principal Meridian according to the Government survey thereof, situate in St. Louis County, Minnesota, subject to the Duluth and Iron Range Railroad Company's right of way; to the highest bidder for cash at public auction by the Sheriff of St. Louis County, Minnesota, at his office in the County Court House in the City of Duluth, on Tuesday, the 13th day fo February, 1923, at 10 o'clock a. m., to satisfy said Mortgage and costs of foreclosure, as far as possible, including attorneys' fees of seventy-five dollars ($75.00) as provided for in said mortgage, and taxes if any, as therein provided for, subject to redemption within one year from the date of sale as provided by law.

Dated this 20th day of December, 1922.

VICTORIA L. RATHKE,
Assignee of Mortgagee,
McMANUS & MORGAN,
703-706 Torrey Building,
Attorneys for Mortgagee.

L. W., Dec. 23-30, 1922, Jan. 6-13-20-27, 1923.

Order for Hearing on Petition for Probate of Will.

STATE OF MINNESOTA, COUNTY OF St. Louis.—ss. In Probate Court.

In the matter of the estate of Harold E. Elden, decedent.

A certain instrument purporting to be the last will and testament of Harold E. Elden, having been presented to this court and the petition of Otto I. Elden being duly filed herein, representing, among other things, that said decedent, then being a resident of the County of St. Louis, State of Minnesota, died testate in the County of Hennepin, State of Minnesota, on the 17th day of December, 1922, and that said petitioner is executor named in decedent's will, and praying that said instrument be allowed and admitted to probate as the last will and testament of said decedent, and that letters testamentary be issued to Otto I. Elden thereon.

It is ordered, That said petition be heard before this court, at the Probate Court rooms in the Court House, in Duluth, in said county, on Monday, the 15th day of January, 1923, at nine o'clock a. m., and all persons interested in said hearing and in said matter are hereby cited and required at said time and place to show cause, if any there be, why said petition should not be granted.

Ordered further, That this order be served by publication in The Labor World according to law, and that a copy of this order be served on the County Treasurer of St. Louis County not less than ten days prior to said day of hearing.

Dated at Duluth, Minn., Dec. 20th, 1922.

By the Court,
S. W. GILPIN,
Judge of Probate.

Attest: G. B. GIFFORD,
Clerk of Probate.
(Seal Probate Court, St. Louis Co., Minn.)

JOHN SWINLAND, Attorney.
510 Providence Bldg.,
Duluth, Minnesota.

L. W., Dec. 23-30, 1922, Jan. 6, 1923.

Notice of Mortgage Foreclosure Sale.

Default having been made in the payment of the sum of $388.50, which is claimed to be due and is due at the date of this notice, on a certain mortgage dated Feb. 3, 1920, executed and delivered by Andrew B. Houg and Inga Houg, mortgagors, to L. D. Goldberg, mortgagee, being document No. 39838, which covered property, title to which is registered, and which is described in certificate of title No. 17837, and which mortgage was duly registered on Feb. 4, 1920, at three o'clock of said day in the office of the Registrar of Titles, St. Louis County, Minnesota, being duly registered in book 58 of Registrar of Titles page 290, and upon certificate of title No. 17837, in the office of the said registrar of Titles.

That said mortgage is on registered land, and that said mortgage was duly registered as aforesaid, in the office of said Registrar of Titles, St. Louis County, Minnesota.

That said mortgage together with the debt secured thereby was duly assigned by said L. D. Goldberg, mortgagee to Benj. M. Goldberg, by written assignment dated May 3, 1921, and registered in the office of the Registrar of Titles, St. Louis County, Minnesota, on the 14th day of July, 1921, at eleven o'clock a. m. of said day, in book 58 of Registrar of Titles on page 290.

That no action or proceeding has been instituted by law or otherwise to recover the debt secured by said mortgage or any part thereof, with the exception of foreclosure proceedings hretofore commenced under which a power of attorney was duly filed for record in the office of said Registrar of Titles on July 14, 1921, and notice of foreclosure sale thereafter given, published and registered according to law, and a sheriff's certificate of sale also registered, and which foreclosure proceedings contained and embraced certain errors and defects, by reason of which said foreclosure proceedings have heretofore been abandoned and discontinued.

NOW THEREFORE, Notice is hereby given, that by virtue of the power of sale contained in said mortgage and pursuant to the statute in such case made and provided, the said mortgage will be foreclosed by a sale of the premises described in and conveyed by said mortgage, to-wit:

Lots numbered 1, 2 and 3, in block 4, Carlton Place Addition to Duluth, according to the recorded plat thereof, on file and of record in the office of the Register of Deeds in and for St. Louis County, State of Minnesota, with the hereditaments and appurtenances thereof, which sale will be made by the Sheriff of said St. Louis County, at the sheriff's office in the court house in the city of Duluth in said county and state, on the 21st day of February, 1923, at ten o'clock a. m. of that day, at public vendue, to the highest bidder for cash to pay said debt of $388.50, and interest thereon, and the taxes if any upon said premises and $25.00 attorney's fees, as stipulated in and by said mortgage, and the disbursements allowed by law subject to redemption at any time within one year as provided by law.

Dated December 4, 1922.

BENJ. M. GOLDBERG,
Assignee of Mortgagee.

JESSE COHEN,
Attorney for Assignee,
404 Columbia Bldg., Duluth, Minn.

L. W., Dec. 23-30, 1922, Jan. 6-13-20-27, 1923.

George A. Gray Co.

"THE STORE FOR SERVICE"

113-115-117-119 West Superior Street, Duluth, Minn.

ANNUAL YEAR-END MARK-DOWN SAEL

All Suits---All Coats
All Furs--All Wraps

ALL DRESSES
ALL GIRLS' COATS
ALL GIRLS' DRESSES

And Excepting New Spring Models for South Bound Tourists.

ALL HATS

A word to the knowing is enough for you—come as soon as you can.

IN FAIRNESS TO ALL
USUAL CLEARANCE RULES PREVAIL
No Approvals No Exchanges No Refunds

If friend Husband has the Say-So bring him along—Let him see how much you save on your handsome new wearables.

THE ARCHITECT QUESTION—Majority Members of the Board of Education Make a Statement

In the discussions centering around the employment by the Board of Education of an architect for its building program a very great deal of publicity has been given to the views of the Builders Exchange and the minority members of the Board. The majority members of the Board, whose names are attached to this statement, desire to present to the public a statement of the problems involved in the selection of the architect and the reasons which brought them to the decision they have made. They, therefore, submit the following statement:

The Board has confronting it the immediate task of erecting two large buildings: One a senior high school in West Duluth of immediate capacity of 1,000 pupils and of ultimate capacity of about 2,000 pupils; the second, a junior high school for the East End of about 1,500 pupils capacity. This task is peculiarly difficult: first, because of the fact that no similar buildings of these types and sizes have ever been built in Duluth; second, because the modern senior high school, with class rooms, library, laboratories, shops, gymnasium, rooms for domestic science, cafeteria, auditorium, study rooms, heating plant, etc., all housed practically under one roof demands careful study and the most expert planning to co-ordinate all of these departments to serve the educational program to be carried on in the building; third, the junior high school is a recent addition to school organization and presents practically all of the problems of the senior high school and others peculiar to this new form of school organization.

Coupled with this problem, practically new in Duluth, is the necessity of securing these rather large buildings within rather limited financial resources. For these reasons the Board felt the necessity of securing the most expert advice possible.

Past Experience and Present Program Demand an Expert.

The need of expert advice was greatly emphasized by the recent survey of the existing elementary and high school buildings in Duluth. That survey, made by Dr. M. G. Neale, of the University of Minnesota, disclosed that Duluth has not a single school building that is more than fairly satisfactory when judged by modern standards of school house planning and construction. Out of thirty-three elementary school buildings (not including portables) only eleven were rated as fairly satisfactory although the problem of the elementary school building is much simpler than that of the junior or senior high school building. No one of the existing high school or junior high school buildings was rated as even fairly satisfactory. Half of these buildings were erected after 1910.

What Is a School Specialist?

Until recent years all over the country school buildings have been built with little regard for the educational program that was to be carried on in them. The usual practice has been to erect a building and to make the school fit it rather than to design the building to fit the school. In the last few years attempts have been made to reverse this practice and to require the architect to produce a building that will serve the needs of the specific educational program. A few architects, located in centers where they have had many opportunities to produce such buildings, have developed into school specialists. An architect is not a school specialist simply because he has designed and built a great number of school houses. The school specialist is the man who, in conjunction with school officials, and drawing upon his knowledge of architecture and his study of modern education, has built many buildings which meet specific educational needs.

Another qualification necessary to make an architect a school specialist is his ability, gained through frequent practice, adequately to house an educational program in a building built within the financial limitations a Board of Education must impose. This is a very real condition in Duluth and an architect's experience acquired where unlimited funds were available is one that would not be helpful to the Duluth Board of Education in its present situation.

To state it concisely, a specialist in school architecture is an architect of high ability in his profession who has had the opportunity many times to work out the problem of designing and erecting a school building to meet exact educational requirements within a reasonable appropriation. The Board of Education, desiring to secure for the children of Duluth the most efficient buildings possible, and for the sake of the taxpayer of Duluth to erect these buildings as economically as possible, believed it desirable to secure the assistance of architects who had already performed these services successfully.

Same Problem in Larger Cities.

The fact that the Board of Education found it necessary to go outside the city to secure such services is no reflection on the architects of Duluth. The facts are that men who have had the opportunities to develop as specialists in school architecture are to be found only in a few large centers such as Chicago, St. Louis, Cincinnati, Detroit, New York and Boston. Other cities, even larger than Duluth, confronted with the same problem, have reached the same solution and called in the out-of-town specialist. This is notably true of Buffalo, Columbus, Washington, D. C., and others. The largest high school in Minneapolis was designed by a St. Louis architect. The State of Michigan employed Chicago architects to design and superintend the construction of its model high school at the State University at Ann Arbor.

The Board of Education has been considering this problem for more than a year and the matter has been under almost constant consideration for several months past. The undersigned members were reluctant to come to the conclusion that the interests of the public would be better served by the employment of an outside architect. After giving careful consideration to all sides of the question and hearing all arguments on the subject no one of the undersigned members of the Board of Education has the slightest doubt that the decision reached is right.

Inquiry as to Out-of-Town Architects Begun.

At an informal meeting of the Board of Education at which all members except Mr. Knight were present, it was unanimously decided to investigate the work of a number of the better known architects specializing in school buildings. After securing the names of superintendents and members of Boards of Education for whom these architects had erected buildings the following letter was sent to them: This letter was approved by the entire Board and parts of it were suggested by members of the present minority:

Dear Sir:

We are considering employing——————to plan for us two buildings, one a senior high school of about 2,000 capacity, and the other a junior high school of about 1,500 capacity. I understand that they have built similar buildings for your Board.

It will be a favor to the Board of Education of Duluth if you will write me your opinion of them and the service they rendered you, covering as far as possible the points in the questions that follow.

Are they especially qualified as architects for school buildings? For what kind of work did you employ them, what did the work cost, and how did the preliminary estimate compare with the final cost? Has the completed building proved satisfactory?

Did they prepare their drawings and specifications with skill and thoroughness? Were details furnished the contractor promptly?

Was the structural and mechanical engineering service rendered by their own organization or by consulting engineers?

Did they co-operate harmoniously with you and your representatives, did they manage their business conduct scientifically and was their supervision adequate and effective?

Are they now organized to serve as architects on large projects?

What was your reason for selecting them as your architects and would you again employ them for similar work?

A frank statement on the above points will be appreciated and will of course be held in confidence.

Sincerely,

Committee Appointed to Investigate.

The replies to these letters were laid before the Board and at a meeting on Tuesday, October 10th, the following resolution was adopted:

"Upon motion of Director Coole, seconded by Director Clough, it was ordered that the President be authorized to appoint a committee comprised of a representative of each Committee to look over the situation of the selection of an architect outside of Duluth to have charge of the building of Duluth Schools and the supervision of the Duluth architect employed by the Board."

This resolution was adopted unanimously, all members of the Board being present except Mrs. C. C. Colton. The following committee was appointed to go at the expense of the Board—Directors Hunt, Knight, Clough, Mrs. Colton and Superintendent Bentley. This committee selected the names of four architects from the list previously obtained and decided to visit and inspect buildings designed by them. Other members of the Board were invited to go if possible and Mrs. Julius Barnes and Mr. Francis Colman did go with the committee but at their own expense.

The committee, with the two other members of the Board who accompanied them, visited Chicago, Detroit, Bay City, Mich., and Cincinnati, Ohio. In these cities they inspected buildings and talked with superintendents, teachers and engineers who were using the building. They also talked with members of Boards of Education under whom some of these buildings had been built. On their return an informal meeting of the Board was held. All members of the Board were present except Mrs. Barnes. At this meeting each person who went on the trip reported individually on the buildings seen and the persons interviewed. Each member then expressed his preference among the architects under consideration, five expressing a preference for Perkins, Fellows & Hamilton of Chicago and three a preference for Garber & Woodward of Cincinnati. In the regular Board meeting following this informal meeting, on a motion to invite Perkins, Fellows & Hamilton to Duluth to discuss the terms of a contract, six members, Directors Hunt, Colman, Crasweller, Eklund, Colton and Clough voted Yes, and two members, Directors Knight and Coole voted No.

Builders' Exchange Takes a Hand.

Shortly after this meeting of the Board the Duluth Builders' Exchange published an advertisement in the daily papers containing letters from Directors Knight, Coole and Clough.

On invitation from the Board, Mr. Perkins, of Perkins, Fellows & Hamilton, visited Duluth, met the Board at an informal meeting and on instructions from the Board went to interview some nine local architects whose names had either been filed with the Board as applicants for the position or suggested by members of the Board for this purpose. Before all of these interviews could be obtained all the architects met and adopted a resolution binding themselves not to become associated with any outside architects in the construction of Duluth schools on the terms proposed by the Board of Education. All of the architects agreed to this resolution except Mr. Thomas J. Shefchik who refused to be bound by it. At a meeting of the Board on November 3rd a resolution was introduced to enter into a contract with Thomas J. Shefchik and with Perkins, Fellows & Hamilton but after considerable discussion by members of the Board and by persons in the audience, action was postponed until the Board of Education and the Directors of the Chamber of Commerce could have a meeting. The Board of Education and the Directors of the Chamber of Commerce held a meeting at a luncheon on Tuesday, November 7th, at which the situation was thoroughly discussed. On November 8th, at a meeting of the Board, a resolution was introduced that the Board enter into a contract with Thomas J. Shefchik and Perkins, Fellows & Hamilton for architectural services in connection with the Duluth Schools. This resolution was carried by the vote of six to three. Charges were then made by Messrs. Clough, Coole and Knight bearing on the efficiency and professional integrity of the firm of Perkins, Fellows & Hamilton and on the unanimous recommendation of the Board the president was instructed to withhold his signature until these charges could be investigated by the majority members of the Board. These charges will be fully investigated and the findings made public.

Local Firms Not to Suffer.

The difference between the demands of the Builders' Exchange and the minority members of the Board and the position of the majority members is not so great as many persons have been led to suppose. The Builders' Exchange demands that the plans and specifications shall be originated and drawn by a Duluth architect and that they be merely submitted to the outside specialist for approval. This plan was carefully considered by the Board early in its discussion of the architect question and it was decided that such a procedure would not give it the full value of the expert's assistance and that the only way by which the Board could secure from the beginning the expert assistance which it needed was to place the ultimate responsibility for the building on this person. Further statements of the Builders' Exchange and the minority members of the Board that the local material men and contractors would be discriminated against and that local peculiarities of climate and topography would not be taken into account are not a valid criticism. The Board, from the very beginning of its discussion of the employment of an architect, desired, and this was known to the minority members, that whoever might be selected to have charge of the architectural program would be required to associate with himself some architect of the City of Duluth who would co-operate with him from the very beginning in the design of the buildings and have charge of the actual supervision of their erection in order that equal opportunity would be afforded for Duluth contractors to figure on the plans and for Duluth material to be specified and to provide that peculiarities of Duluth climate and Duluth building conditions be fully considered. In the contract which the Board proposes to enter into with Mr. Shefchik and with Perkins, Fellows & Hamilton these safeguards are fully provided. We also expect to ask the Duluth Engineers' Club to appoint a committee to consult with us and the architects with reference to plans and specifications.

Shall the Majority Rule.

An outstanding fact in the events previously described is that until the Duluth Builders' Exchange took part in the matter there was no serious disagreement or lack of harmony in the Board. Since that time, a minority of the Board in conjunction with the Builders' Exchange, has attempted to force the majority to turn away from a decision which was taken only after very careful consideration of the problem and to accept the wishes of this minority and group of building and material men. The majority members of the Board do not feel that their judgment is infallible but they have taken their position only after a very thorough investigation of all the facts in the case and believe that the best interests of the children and of the taxpayers as a whole will be served by the program they have outlined.

An important question at issue, and one which has not been clearly presented to the public hitherto, is whether the majority of the Board of Education, having adopted a policy based upon careful study of all the facts bearing upon a situation, shall be allowed to proceed with its program or whether a minority of the Board, backed by a powerful group of men interested in the outcome of the Board's action, shall be allowed to force, by improper means of procedure, the majority to recede from a position which they conceive to be in the interests of the whole public. If the latter is allowed, there is nothing to prevent any well organized and selfish interest in the community overriding the wishes of the majority of any elected body no matter what the merits of the case may be.

The Interest of 20,000 School Children Comes First.

But the most important question at issue is the interest of the children of Duluth. The present school buildings in Duluth are not so efficient as they should be and not so safe and sanitary as they should be and even the latest ones are not so economically planned as they should be. School buildings are not planned or built in order to give work to architects, contractors or material men, but for the purpose of educating boys and girls. The majority members of the Board believe that in order to secure modern, healthful and efficient school buildings they must have the assistance of the most expert advice obtainable and that the children of Duluth are entitled to such service no matter where it may be necessary to go to get it. The criticism of the Board's action has so far been that it would do an injury to certain business and professional interests in the community. The majority members have from the first contended that their action would result in no loss to material men or contractors of Duluth and have taken steps to safeguard against such loss. But even should this contention prove true, the best interests of the children are the first consideration and should be served in preference to any other. They believe that their program is "Doing it for Duluth" in the highest and best possible sense. It is a program of "Doing it for the twenty thousand School Children of Duluth."

Respectfully submitted,

FRANK CRASSWELLER,
HARRIET C. BARNES,
SIDNEY H. COLTON,
C. FRANCIS COLMAN,
DR. W. J. EKLUND,
J. W. HUNT.

(INSERTED AND PAID FOR BY MAJORITY MEMBERS.)