

Fellow Citizens of the Senate and House of Representatives:

The continued success of the Union, to which the President has so often called the attention of Congress, is yet a subject of profound and patriotic concern. We may, however, find some relief from that anxiety in the reflection that the painful political situation, although by no means entirely new, is not new in the experience of nations. Political science, perhaps, as highly perfected in our own times and country as in any other, has not yet discovered any means by which civil wars can be absolutely prevented. An enlightened nation, however, with a wise and beneficent administration of free government, may diminish their frequency and mitigate their severity by directing all its proceedings in accordance with its fundamental law.

When a civil war has been brought to a close, it is the duty of the first interest and duty of the State to repair the injuries which the war has inflicted and to secure the benefit of the lessons it teaches as fully and as speedily as possible. This duty was upon the termination of the rebellion promptly accepted, not only by the Executive Department, but by the insurrectionary States themselves; and restoration in the first moment of peace was believed to be as easy and certain as it was indispensable. The expectations, however, when so readily and so confidently entertained, were disappointed by legislation from which I felt constrained, by my obligations to the Constitution, to withhold my assent. It is therefore a source of profound regret that in complying with the request of the President by the Constitution, to give to Congress from time to time information of the state of the Union, I am unable to communicate any definitive adjustment satisfactory to the American people, of the questions which since the close of the rebellion, have agitated the public mind. On the contrary, candor compels me to declare that at this time there is no Union as our fathers understood the term, and as they meant it to be understood by us. The Union which they established can exist only where all the States are represented in the Congress of the United States, "where one State is as free as another to regulate its internal concerns according to its own will," and where the laws of the Central Government, strictly confined to matters of national jurisdiction, apply with equal force to all the States of the original compact. It is not the present "state of the Union" is a melancholy fact; and we all must acknowledge that the restoration of the States to their proper legal relations with the Federal Government, and with one another, according to the terms of the original compact, would be the greatest temporal blessing which God in his kindest Providence could bestow upon this nation. It becomes our imperative duty to consider whether or not it is impossible to effect this most desirable consummation. The Union, as the Constitution is inseparable. As long as one is obeyed by all parties, the other will be preserved, and if one is destroyed both must perish together. The destruction of the Constitution will be followed by other and still greater calamities. It was ordained not only to form a more perfect Union between the States, but to "establish Justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general welfare and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves and our posterity." Nothing but implicit obedience to its requirements in all parts of the country will accomplish these great ends. Without that obedience, we can look forward only to continual outrages upon individual rights, incessant breaches of the public peace, national weakness, financial dishonor, the total loss of our prosperity, the general corruption of morals and the final extinction of popular freedom. To save our country from evils so appalling as these we should renew our efforts again and again. To me the process of restoration is a simple and direct one, and simple. It consists merely in a faithful application of the Constitution and laws. The execution of the laws is not now obstructed or opposed by physical force. There is no military or naval force, or any other force, which can prevent obedience to the Constitution, either North or South. All the rights and all the obligations of States and individuals can be protected and enforced by means perfectly consistent with the fundamental law. The Courts are open to all the States, and their process would be unimpeded. Crimes against the United States can be prevented or punished by the proper judicial authorities in a manner entirely practicable and legal. There is, therefore, no reason why the Constitution should be more or less than those who exercise its powers, have determined that it shall be disregarded and violated. The mere making of this Government, or of some one or more of its branches, is the only obstacle that can exist to a peaceful restoration of the Union at this momentous question. On some of the measures growing out of it, I have had the misfortune to differ from Congress, and have expressed my convictions without reserve, though with becoming deference to the opinion of the Legislative Department. These convictions are not only unchanged, but strengthened by subsequent events and further reflection. The transcendent importance of the subject will be a sufficient excuse for calling your attention to some of the reasons which have so strongly influenced my mind.

The hope that we may finally concur in a mode of settlement consistent with our sworn duties to the Constitution, is too natural and too just to be easily relinquished. It is easier to my apprehension that the States lately in rebellion are still members of the National Union. When did they cease to be so? The "ordinances of secession," adopted by a portion, in most of them by a very small portion of their citizens, were mere nullities. If we were to regard them as valid, they would be equally null for the purpose intended by their authors, who sweep under our feet the whole ground upon which we justified the war. Were those States afterwards expelled from the Union by the war? The direct contrary was asserted by this Government in its public acts, and was so understood by all those who gave their blood and treasure to aid in its prosecution. It cannot be that a successful war, waged for the preservation of the Union, had the legal effect of dissolving it. The victory of the nation's arms was not the disintegration of the Union. The defeat of the rebellion in the battle-field was not the triumph of its lawless principle; nor could Congress, with or without the consent of the Executive, do anything which would have the effect, directly or indirectly, of separating the States from each other. To dissolve the Union is to reject the Constitution which holds it together, and that is a power which does not belong to any department of this Government, or to all of them united. This is so plain that it has been acknowledged by all branches of the Fed-

BY MEYERS & MENGEL.

BEDFORD, PA., FRIDAY MORNING, DECEMBER 13, 1867.

VOL. 62.—WHOLE No. 5,422.

eral Government. The Executive, (my predecessor as well as myself), and the heads of all the departments, have uniformly acted upon the principle that the Union is not only undivided, but that the fundamental law of the United States is the same as that ratified by the Southern States, and accepted their acts of ratification as a necessary and lawful exercise of their highest function. If they were not States, or were States, out of the Union, their consent to a change in the fundamental law of the United States would have been nugatory and Congress in asking it committed a political absurdity. The Judiciary has also given the solemn sanction of its authority to the same view of the case. The Judges of the Supreme Court have included the Southern States in their circuits, and they are constantly, in *habeas* and elsewhere, exercising jurisdiction which does not belong to them, unless those States are States of the Union. If the Southern States are not States of the Union, the Constitution is the supreme law for them, as it is for all the other States. They are bound to obey it, and so are we. The right of the Federal Government, which is clear and unquestionable, to enforce the Constitution upon the States, is the supreme law for them, as it is for all the other States. They are bound to obey it, and so are we. The right of the Federal Government, which is clear and unquestionable, to enforce the Constitution upon the States, is the supreme law for them, as it is for all the other States. They are bound to obey it, and so are we.

them hand and foot absolute slavery and subjects them to a strange and hostile power, more unlimited and more likely to be abused than any other power known to civilized men. It tramples down all those rights in which the essence of liberty consists and which a free government is always most careful to protect. It denies the *habeas corpus* and the trial by jury. Personal freedom, property and life, as secured by the protection of the law, are the rights of the ruler, have no security whatever. It has the effect of a bill of attainder or bill of pains and penalties not upon a few individuals, but upon whole masses, including the millions who inhabit the Southern States, and even their unborn children. These wrongs being expressly forbidden, cannot be constitutionally inflicted upon any portion of our people, no matter how they may have come within our jurisdiction, and no matter whether they live in States or Territories or districts. I have no desire to save from the proper and just consequences of their great crime those who engaged in rebellion against the Government. But as a mode of punishing such progress has recourse to a mode the most unreasonable that could be invented. Many of those people are perfectly innocent; many keep their fidelity to the Union unshaken to the last; many were incapable of any legal offense; a large portion even of the persons actually engaged in rebellion were forced into it against their will, and of those who are guilty with their own consent, the degrees of guilt are as various as the shades of their character and temper. But these acts of Congress confound them all together, in one common doom. Judicial process does not distinguish between those who are innocent and those who are guilty with their own consent, the degrees of guilt are as various as the shades of their character and temper. But these acts of Congress confound them all together, in one common doom. Judicial process does not distinguish between those who are innocent and those who are guilty with their own consent, the degrees of guilt are as various as the shades of their character and temper.

Southern States, however, Congress has undertaken to confer upon them the privilege of the ballot. Just whether or not slavery is to be abolished, whether, as a class, they know more than their ancestors, how to organize and regulate civil society. Indeed, it is admitted that the blacks of the South are not only regardless of the rights of property, but so utterly ignorant of the duties of citizenship, that they are not fit to be placed where they are directed to deposit it. I need not repeat you that the exercise of the elective franchise is the highest attribute of an American citizen, and that, when granted by virtue of intelligence, patriotism, and a proper appreciation of our free institutions, it constitutes the true basis of a democratic form of government, in which the sovereign power is lodged in the body of the people; a trust artificially created, not for its own sake, but solely as a means of promoting the general welfare. Its influence for good must necessarily depend upon the elevated character and true allegiance of the elector. It ought, therefore, to be reposed in none except those who are worthy of the honor of being entrusted with the power thus placed upon persons who do not justly estimate its value, and are indifferent as to its results, it will only serve as a means of placing power in the hands of the unprincipled and ambitious, and must eventually result in the complete destruction of that liberty of which it should be the most powerful conservator. I have, therefore, heretofore urged upon your attention the great danger to be apprehended from an untimely extension of the elective franchise to any new class in our country, especially when the power thus conferred is to be exercised by a class of persons who are ignorant of its value, and are indifferent as to its results, it will only serve as a means of placing power in the hands of the unprincipled and ambitious, and must eventually result in the complete destruction of that liberty of which it should be the most powerful conservator.

pressive to be borne without just complaint, and may finally reduce the property of the nation to the condition of bankruptcy. We must not delude ourselves. It will require a strong standing army and probably more than two hundred millions of dollars per annum to maintain the supremacy of negro governments after they are established, if properly used, from a sinking fund large enough to pay the whole national debt in less than fifteen years. It is vain to hope that negroes will maintain their ascendancy themselves. Without military power they are unable to hold the reins of submission to the white people of the South. I submit to the judgment of Congress whether the public credit may not be injuriously affected by a system of measures like this. With our debt and the vast private interests which are so complicated, we will not be the custodian of a policy which might by possibility impair the confidence of the world in our Government. That confidence can only be retained by care-fully inculcating the principles of justice and honor on the popular mind, and by our unflinching fidelity to all our engagements of every sort. Any serious breach of the organic law, persisted in for a considerable time, cannot but create fears for the stability of our institutions. Habitual violation of prescribed rules, which we bind ourselves to observe, is not only dishonorable to the people; our only standard of civil duty being set at naught, the sheet-anchor of our political morality is lost, and the public conscience swings from its moorings and yields to every impulse of passion and ill-will. If we "repudiate" the Constitution, we will not be expected to carry out for mere pecuniary obligations. The violation of such a pledge as we made on the 22d day of July, 1861, will assuredly diminish the market value of our other promises. Besides, if we now acknowledge that the national debt is the greatest moral obligation of the Union, and that the taxpayers were led to suppose, but are expected from it, and hand them over to be governed by negroes, the moral duty to pay it may seem much less clear. I say it may seem so, for I do not admit that this or any other argument in favor of repudiation can be entertained as sound. But its influence on some classes of minds may well be apprehended. The financial honor of a great commercial nation, largely indebted, and with a republican form of government, administered by agents of the people, is a thing of such delicate texture, and the destruction of which would be followed by such unexampled calamity, that every true patriot must desire to avoid whatever might expose it to the slightest danger. The great interests of the country require immediate relief from these conditions, but the business in the South is paralyzed by a sense of general insecurity, by a terror of confiscation, and the dread of negro supremacy. The Southern trade, from which the North would have derived so great a profit under a government of law, still languishes, and can never be revived until it ceases to be fettered by the arbitrary power which makes all its operations unsafe. That rich country, the richest in natural resources that the world ever saw, is worse than lost if it be not soon placed under the protection of a free Constitution. Instead of being as it ought to be, a source of wealth and power, it will become an intolerable burden upon the rest of the nation. Another reason for restoring our slavery is, that it has been by Congress in the late manifestations of public opinion upon this subject. We live in a country where the popular will always enforces obedience to itself sooner or later. It is in vain to think of opposing it with anything less than a stern and overwhelming force. It cannot have escaped your attention that from the day on which Congress fairly and formally presented the proposition to govern the Southern States by military force, with a view to the ultimate establishment of a free Constitution, every expression of the general sentiment has been more or less adverse to it. The affections of this generation cannot be detached from the institutions of their ancestors; their determination to preserve the inheritance of free Government in their own hands, and transmit it undivided and unimpaired to their own posterity, is too strong to be successfully opposed. Every weaker passion will disappear before that love of liberty and law for which the American people are distinguished above all others in the world.

of the power of self defence. In all the Northern States they still held in their hands the sacred rights of the ballot, and it was safe to believe that in due time they would come to the rescue of their own constitution. It gives me pleasure to add that the appeal to our common constituencies was not taken in vain, and that my confidence in their wisdom and virtue seems not to have been misplaced. Revenue Frauds.—Toussie of Office Bill. It is well and publicly known that enormous frauds have been perpetrated on the Treasury and that colossal fortunes have been made at the public expense. This species of corruption has increased, is increasing, and if not diminished, will soon bring us into total ruin and disgrace. The public creditors and tax-payers are alike interested in an honest administration of the finances, and neither class will long endure the large handed robberies of the recent past. For this disreputable state of things there are several causes. Some of the taxes are so laid as to prevent an irresistible temptation to evade payment; the great sums which officers may win by connivance at fraud, create a pressure which is more than the virtue of many can withstand, and there can be no doubt that the open disregard of the moral obligations assumed by some of the highest and most influential men in the country, has greatly weakened the moral sense of those who serve in subordinate stations. The expenses of the United States, including interest on the public debt, are more than six times as much as they were in 1861, and the amount of the national debt is now more than double what it was in 1861. This vast amount requires careful supervision, as well as systematic vigilance. The system, never perfected, was much disorganized by the Tenure of Office bill, which has almost destroyed official accountability. The President may be thoroughly convinced that the Tenure of Office bill is not faithful to the Constitution, but under the law which I have named, the utmost he can do is to complain to the Senate and ask the privilege of supplying his place with a letter man. If the Senate be regarded as personally or politically hostile to the President, it is absurd to expect that he will take his part as far as possible; restore him to his place, and give him a triumph over his Executive superior. The officer has other chances of impunity, arising from accidental defects of evidence; the mode of investigation in the Senate is not so thorough as it should be. It is not wonderful that official malfeasance should become bold in proportion as the delinquents learn to think themselves safe. I am entirely persuaded that under such a rule the President cannot perform the great duty assigned to him of seeing the laws faithfully executed, and that the honor of the Nation would be sacrificed to the interests of a few individuals. It is especially necessary that rigid accountability which is necessary to the due execution of the revenue laws. The Constitution invests the President with authority to decide whether a removal should be made in any given case; the act of Congress, in this respect, is not only unnecessary, but it supposes to be unworthy of his trust. The Constitution makes him sole judge in the premises, but the statute takes away his jurisdiction, transfers it to the Senate and leaves him nothing to do but become a prosecutor. The prosecution is to be conducted before a tribunal whose members are not like him—responsible to the whole people, but to separate constituent bodies, and who may hear his accusation with a great deal of prejudice, and without any known standard of decision applicable to such a case. Its judgment cannot be anticipated, for it is not governed by any rule. The law does not define what shall be deemed good cause for removal, and it is impossible even to conjecture what may or may not be considered sufficient ground for removing our slavery. Doubtless, the nature of the subject forbids clear proof. If the charge be incapacity, what will support it? Fidelity to the Constitution may be understood or misunderstood in a thousand different ways, and by violent party men in violent party times, unfaithfulness to the Constitution may be understood in a thousand different ways. If the officer be accused of dishonesty, how shall it be made out? Will it be inferred from acts unconnected with public duty, from private history, or from general reputation? Or must the President await the commission of an act which is a crime in itself, and which in the meantime risks the character and interest of the nation in the hands of men to whom he cannot give his confidence? Must he bear his complaint until the mischief is done and cannot be prevented? If his zeal in the public service should be so far from being a merit, that it should be a ground for his removal, it is a disgrace to the Nation. The amount of specie coined from 1849 to 1857, inclusive, was \$439,000,000; from 1858 to 1860, inclusive, to \$137,500,000, and from 1861 to 1867, inclusive, to \$457,500,000, making the grand aggregate of products since 1849, \$1,034,000,000. The amount of specie coined from 1849 to 1857, inclusive, was \$439,000,000; from 1858 to 1860, inclusive, to \$137,500,000, and from 1861 to 1867, inclusive, to \$457,500,000, making the grand aggregate of products since 1849, \$1,034,000,000. The amount of specie coined from 1849 to 1857, inclusive, was \$439,000,000; from 1858 to 1860, inclusive, to \$137,500,000, and from 1861 to 1867, inclusive, to \$457,500,000, making the grand aggregate of products since 1849, \$1,034,000,000.

early consideration is invited. The proportion which the currency of any country should bear to the whole value of the property produced by it, is a question of political economy, not of political expediency. It is not agreed, nor can it be controlled by legislation, but must be left to the irrevocable laws which everywhere regulate commerce and trade. The circulating medium will ever irresistibly flow to those points where it is of greatest demand, and the law of supply and demand, operating as it does, will keep the value of the currency in equilibrium with the value of the property produced by it. At the beginning of the rebellion the bank note circulation of the country amounted to not much more than two hundred millions of dollars; and the circulation of gold and silver, equal and those known as legal tenders, is nearly seven hundred millions. While it is used by some that this amount should be increased, others contend that a decided reduction is absolutely essential to the best interests of the country. In view of these considerations, it is a question of political expediency, not of political economy, to take such measures as will enable the holders of its notes, and those of the national banks, to convert them without loss on specie or its equivalent. A reduction of our paper circulating medium need not necessarily follow. This, however, is a result which is desirable, and which is demanded by the highest public considerations. The Constitution contemplates that the circulating medium of the country shall be uniform in quality and value at the time of the formation of that instrument. The country had just emerged from the war of the rebellion, and the circulation of a redundant and worthless paper currency. The ages of that period were anxious to protect their posterity from the evils which they themselves had experienced. Hence in providing a circulating medium, they conferred upon Congress the power to coin money, and to regulate the value thereof, in the same time prohibiting the States from making anything but gold and silver a tender in payment of debts. The anomalous condition of our currency is in striking contrast with that which was originally designed. Our circulation now embraces first, not only the national banks, which are redeemable for all debts to the Government, excluding imports, and by all its creditors, excepting in payment of interest upon its bonds and the securities themselves; second, legal tender notes issued by the United States, and which the law requires shall be received in payment of all debts to the Government, and by all its creditors, excepting in payment of interest upon its bonds and the securities themselves; third, gold and silver coin. By the operation of our present system of finance, however the metallic currency when collected is reserved only for one class of Government creditors, who, holding their bonds, and who are not permitted to coin for the National Treasury. They are thus made to occupy an invidious position, which may be used to strengthen the arguments of those who would bring into disrepute the obligations of the Government, and to excite the passions of the people. The debt of the Government should be invariably maintained; but while it acts with fidelity towards the bondholder who loaned his money, that the integrity of the Union might be preserved, it would, at the same time, be a source of national weakness, and a source of national peril, having rescued the Union from the perils of rebellion, now bear the burdens of taxation that the Government may be able to fulfill its engagements. There is no reason which will be accepted as satisfactory by the people, why those who defend us in our hour of need should be treated as pensioners upon the gratitude of the nation, having the scars and wounds received while in its service, the public servants in the various departments of the Government, the farmer who supplies the soldiers of the army and the sailors of the navy, the artisan, the mechanic and laborer who build its edifices and construct its forts and vessels of war, should in payment of their just and hard earned dues receive depreciated paper, while another class of its countrymen, no more deserving are paid in full for the services they have rendered, and exacted justice requires that all the creditors of the Government should be paid in a currency possessing a uniform value. This can only be accomplished by the restoration of the currency to the standard established by the Constitution, and by the distribution which may be made of the specie coined from 1849 to 1857, inclusive, to \$439,000,000; from 1858 to 1860, inclusive, to \$137,500,000, and from 1861 to 1867, inclusive, to \$457,500,000, making the grand aggregate of products since 1849, \$1,034,000,000. The amount of specie coined from 1849 to 1857, inclusive, was \$439,000,000; from 1858 to 1860, inclusive, to \$137,500,000, and from 1861 to 1867, inclusive, to \$457,500,000, making the grand aggregate of products since 1849, \$1,034,000,000. The amount of specie coined from 1849 to 1857, inclusive, was \$439,000,000; from 1858 to 1860, inclusive, to \$137,500,000, and from 1861 to 1867, inclusive, to \$457,500,000, making the grand aggregate of products since 1849, \$1,034,000,000.