

Local Dettings.

To Whom It May Concern. Persons indebted to the late firm of King & Yates are notified that the bills of their indebtedness have been placed in hands of Joseph P. Morgan, Attorney, Leonardtown, for collection. Prompt settlements will therefore avoid costs.

To Correspondents. The acceptable favor of "Onyx" was received at too late an hour for publication in our present issue, but will be attended to in our next.

Here and There. Harvest commenced in this county on last Monday.

A considerable part of the tobacco crop has been planted. It is thought that the wheat yield will be exceptionally large.

A visit from Hollywood sends \$1 for the Utah family. Summer visitors have put in an appearance at the Hotel.

Commencement exercises at Charlotte Hall Academy began on the 25th. The Grand Army of the Republic reunion began in Baltimore last Wednesday.

The army worm has appeared in considerable quantities in the lower part of the county. Mr. E. T. Briscoe and J. B. Dunning will accept our hearty thanks for invitations to the Students Annual Ball to be held at Charlotte Hall, on the 29th.

State Senator Warfield, of Howard county, has accepted the position of business manager of the Baltimore Day.

The most house of Mr. Harry Jones near Jones' wharf was broken into last week, and all of his most, except one piece, stolen.

The race filly, Belle, belonging to the Zach Lathum, of the Head of the Bay section, was killed by lightning on Monday last.

During the storm of Friday last, twelve sheep, the property of Dr. Jao. T. Spalding, near this village, were struck and killed by lightning. Beyond this and a few trees struck, no further damage has been reported.

The attention of Farmers and shippers is called to the advertisement in another column of Thos. C. Price & Co. Mr. Leo H. Hayden, of the Potomac district, has associated himself with this firm.

The Ladies of St. Mary's Parish will hold a Tea Chamber, at the residence of Dr. John M. Brown, St. Mary's City, on the 29th inst. That the Tea will be in the hands of the fair ladies of the Parish is a guarantee of its success.

The Smoot-Somerville contest before the Court of Appeals, though argued for some days, has not yet been decided, nor has the mandamus proceedings against Gov. Hamilton in the Anne Arundel Sloop case been disposed of.

In our reference last week to the attachment process which was issued against Frasier & Co., by a creditor, we were not as explicit as we meant to be, as an impression might be drawn from it, that the property attached belonged to the Southern Md. Railroad Company. Such was not the case. It was the property of the firm and the debt was the debt of the firm and of the railroad. And this suggests the mention of a report that has reached us, which is to the effect, that the Railroad Company has recovered from its late embankment, caused by the death of its main contractor, and will resume work on the road immediately. We believe in it, though we have been able to trace it to no authoritative source.

The death of Dr. John H. Turner, which occurred at his residence in Chesapeake district on Tuesday last week, elicited deep sympathy in all circles where he was personally known and was the occasion in his immediate section of deepest sorrow, which was confined to no class or condition of life. The late and reverend father was held by his neighbors were evidenced by their large attendance and continued presence at his funeral, which took place at Chesapeake Church on the Tuesday following his death, and his remains were consigned to the grave amid the tears of many and the regrets of all. He was in his 82nd year. The pall-bearers were Col. John H. Southern, Judge R. Ford, Col. John P. Dent, Dr. James Thomas, Prof. Wm. T. Briscoe, Mr. Wm. L. Thomas.

The new commander of the Oyster Police Sloop, Kate Hines, is Capt. Samuel Smoot, of Charles, Vice Capt. W. S. Childs, of the Sloop, Louis Vane, Capt. Melvin B. Hanco, of Calvert, Vice J. P. Sellers. The Maryland fisheries of the Potomac will be the theatre of the operations of the former and the Patuxent river of the latter. It is beginning to look as if St. Mary's was under an interdiction, as high farmers already constantly strike on both sides of her, but managing, somehow, or other, to miss her. Perhaps, however, a St. Mary's man will be given charge of one of the proposed steamers, or the Lolla, which would only be justice to the county, in view of her extensive water line and immense oyster acreage. We suggest this to our excellent Board of Police Works as the proper thing to do under the circumstances.

Registration Notice. Notice of the first of July sitting or the Register of this county will be found in this week's issue of the Beacon. As the opinion exists in some quarters that persons who are registered under the old act are not registered under the new registration act, it is proper for us to state, that such is not the case, and proper for us further to add, that one who does not attend and qualify himself under the new registration law will be disqualified at next Fall's election. If Gov. Hamilton had joined with the Attorney General and complied with the statute which required them to have the General Laws (of which the new registration act is one) published in the newspapers, no such error as the one spoken of could have obtained a foothold here or anywhere else among the voters. This as it may, the voter should bear in mind, that unless he registers under the new act, he will not be allowed to vote at next Fall's election.

Thanks. Two years ago I conceived the idea, that it required only a little enterprise from some one to enable the citizens of Leonardtown to have the delicious and enjoyable strawberry, fresh from the vines every day, without being forced to have them sent from the cities in a sealed condition. Besides I have been convinced that some of our lands around in this vicinity can be reclaimed to the value of \$2000 per acre. Feeling myself specially rewarded for this enterprise, I take occasion to offer my sincere thanks to each and every one, by whom I have been so encouragingly patronized.

Very Respectfully, J. W. Galloway.

Maryland Jurisdiction of the Potomac.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

Under the above caption, an article appeared in the Baltimore Daily Sun, of a late date, in which was referred to the late decision of Justice Morgan, of our Town, in regard to the operation of the law passed in 1882, prohibiting dredging for oysters in the Potomac River below the Chesapeake Bay. While the article in question states that the parties in violation of the law were the parties in violation of the law, we think it best to place before the public the actual decision of the Court, and to show the grounds upon which the law was passed. This we do by publishing the opinion of the Court, as given by the Chief Justice, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia, in the case of the State of Maryland vs. the State of Virginia.

It was buried just below the surface. A few pieces were partly covered by the dirt, but the rest of it was as white and shining as when first coined. From the date on the coin it could not have been put there earlier than 1865, but when by whom, and for what purpose it is hidden there remains a matter for speculation. If any one ever was disappointed by rich in one's own estimation, those children were an illustration of it. They were perfectly contented over their hidden treasure, and when they were discovered they tried away to carry home the tidings of their good fortune. For years they have played about the same spot unconcerned of the treasure that lay hidden beneath their feet.

WEEK'S LINE STEAMERS! Patuxent River. Summer Arrangement. On and after FRIDAY, June 26, Steamers will run as follows: Wesonsak

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, for Fair Haven, Plover Point, Governor's Island, and the Potomac River, on or up to 10 A.M., every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 10 A.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

The transit of Venus across the face of the sun, which will occur on the 6th of December next, is attracting the attention of the astronomical world. It will be visible in the United States and will also be visible in South America. The scientific men will endeavor to demonstrate the actual distance of the earth from the sun. Another transit will not occur until the year 2004, or 133 years hence.

WEEK'S LINE STEAMERS! Patuxent River. Summer Arrangement. On and after FRIDAY, June 26, Steamers will run as follows: Wesonsak

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, for Fair Haven, Plover Point, Governor's Island, and the Potomac River, on or up to 10 A.M., every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 10 A.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1 P.M., for Baltimore. Freight received on Monday, Wednesday and Friday, to 6 P.M.

Will leave Pier 3, Light Street, every Tuesday and Friday, at 11 P.M., for Potomac River direct, for Annapolis, St. Leonard's, every Tuesday, Thursday and Saturday, at 11 P.M. Returning will leave St. Leonard's at 6 A.M., Baltimore at 8 A.M., Plover Point at 10 A.M., and Fair Haven at 1