INSURANCE

ONLY THREE CENTS A DAY

the cost to AETNAIZE

J. L. LATHROP & SONS, Agents
28 Shetucket Street.

INSURE FALL STOCKS

Those Fall, Winter and Holiday Stocks need INSURANCE PROTECTION. Why not have us place the risks NOW in our strong companies?

ISSAC S. JONES,
Insurance and Real Estate Agent
Richards' Building 91 Main St.

ATTORNEYS-AT-LAW

AMOS A. BROWNING,
'Phone 700
Attorney-at-Law, 3 Richard's Bldg.

Brown & Perkins, Attorneys-at-Law
Over Uncas Nat. Bank, Shetucket St.
Entrance stairway near to Thames National Bank. Telephone 38-3.

STATE PRISON BOARD TO GET 47 APPLICATIONS FOR PARDONS

Among the Number is Addie Burns of New London.

Hartford, Conn., Nov. 13.—Forty-seven applications for pardons will be presented to the state board of pardons when it meets next month, it was learned tonight. Among the applicants are a number of persons serving life imprisonment sentences at Wethersfield.

Michael Cherest, who has been in prison for 45 years for a murder at Windsor Locks, will make his sixteenth application. Charles Gong of Hartford, another "lifer" will make his 25th application. He has served a quarter of a century.

There are three women applicants: Annie M. Rathburn of New Haven, a life prisoner, and Addie Burns of New London and Clara H. Sprague of Fairfield County, serving for minor offences.

Other applicants are Henry B. Chamberlain of New Haven,, sentenced for life in 1887, and Circacia Capebianca of Waterbury, sentenced for life in 1905, John Harris of Waterbury, sentenced from two to three years for assault ,is another who desires to be pardoned.

AMERICANS ON COLUMBIAN HAVE ARRIVED AT CAMURINAS

Brought There on Sailing Vessels With Men Rescued From Other Ships.

Paries, Nov. 13, 5:30 p. m.—A wireless despatch from Madrid says that 103 persons from the American steamship Columbian, which was reported to have been torpedoed on November 8, arrived yesterday on sailing vessels at the small port of Camurinas. Twenty-five men from the Norwegian steamship Balto and 25 from the Norwegian steamship Fordalen, also were taken to Camurinas on the sailing boats, of which there were four. The despatch says all three vessels are reported to have been sunk by torpedoes from a German steamship.

A Paris despatch yesterday said the Columbian, Balto and Fordalen had been sunk by a German submarine. The crew of the Columbian was reported to have arrived at Codunna, Spain, in lifeboats.

Captain Jeff Healy will be lost to the Columbia football team for the rest of the season, in all probability. Such at least, was the announcement made at the training quarters of the Blue and White eleven.

BELL-ANS
Absolutely Removes Indigestion. One package proves it. 25c at all druggists.

ALWAYS
be watchful and particular about your skin. On the first appearance of pimples, blackheads, disfiguring blotches, apply

E. L. M.
OINTMENT
and note the quick and pleasing results. Even the most stubborn forms of ECZEMA yield to treatment when E. L. M. OINTMENT is applied.
Those who have used it KNOW.
25 cents a box. All druggists.

WILLIAM C. YOUNG
Successor to
STETSON & YOUNG
CARPENTER and BUILDER
Best work and materials at right prices by skilled labor.
Telephone 50 West Main St.

THE DEL-HOFF
European Plan
Rates 75 cents per day and up.
HAYES BROTHERS.
Telephone 1227. 26-28 Broadway

DR. SHAHAN, Specialist
on Diseases of the
BLOOD AND STOMACH.
Rheumatism (including Neuritis), Skin Troubles, Bloody Sputum, Run-down Conditions, Premature Aging, Hardening of the Arteries. Culture treatment only for Blood Diseases. Simple and reliable prevention of Typhoid, Rabies and Lockjaw.
Hours: 10-11 a. m.; 2-4 and 7-8 p. m. No outside visits after 8 p. m.

THE AETNA
BOWLING AND BILLIARDS.
Seven alleys. Six tables. The best in Norwich. Phone.
Majestic Bldg., 83 Shetucket Street, Norwich, Conn.

YALE HAS TOUGH PROPOSITION

Blue Has Difficult Task Ahead to Win From Harvard Says Sol Metzger—Tad Jones Has Revived New Interest in Football—Yale's Offense is About the Same as That of 1907.

BY SOL METZGER

Coach Washington & Jefferson Football Team.

The most interesting problem of the present football season is at Yale where Tad Jones is attempting a "come back." Until 1909 Yale ranked high in football but after that season matters went from bad to worse—until the present year. Up to the time of this writing, and I am writing this article on the eve of the Yale-Colgate game, Yale has shown marked power, more than has been seen at New Haven since the days of Ted Coy, and the followers of the Blue are taking a new interest in football and look forward to a glorious ending in the games with Princeton and Harvard.

I have followed Yale's football destiny since 1911 rather closely, have watched some of the finest players in the country go down to defeat after defeat because of poor coaching and this season had the additional advantage of preparing a team to meet Yale and in that preparation witnessed the Yale eleven in action twice. The first was Yale's game against V. P. I. and the second the Yale "come back" against Washington and Jefferson, my own eleven, which had won two and tied one of the last three games played at New Haven. In that contest six of our players had played the previous year and from their judgment and that of scouts of other teams who make a practice of following the Yale elevens in every contest the opinion is unanimous that Yale this year is a decidedly stronger eleven than it has been in half a dozen seasons, in fact since 1909. Yet Yale, in my opinion, has a most difficult task ahead to win from Harvard. Yale should defeat Princeton because Princeton lacks what the Yale men call "scenery." "Scenery" at New Haven is material, and material there is at Yale in abundance.

The Yale team is as powerful in "scenery" as any eleven I have ever seen. From end to end it is a line of tremendous strength, one which should play a stonewall defense against any running attack. Its backfield is as good as any I have seen in years when it is going strong, as the backs I have witnessed—Legore, Carey, Bingham and Smith—are well set up, have a drive that carries them forward when tackled and are exceedingly difficult to down in the open. On a dry field the attack is at its best. On a wet turf it lacks the punch and brilliancy that marks its work on firm footing, due to the principle followed in advancing the ball off tackle or around the end.

The principle of the Yale attack is an old one. I saw Chadwick and Metcalf in 1908 against Harvard when they used it so successfully. From either a punt or running formation the backs sweep off tackle or around the end at top speed. As the defense rushes over to head it off the runner quickly shifts his direction and rushes through on tackle, cutting well back to the other side of the field. The plan is to use the interference to sweep the opposing end and backs on that side of the line well out and have the line break through and take care of the defensive backs on the short side when the runner cuts in. It takes an alert team to break up such a plan of offense, especially as Legore and Carey when I saw them, were exceedingly difficult to down by hard tackling. It was this ability, rather than good interference, which gave Yale its big first half advantage over Washington and Jefferson. In the second half our players settled down to the business in hand and met this attack on equal terms.

The Harvard teams that I saw in 1911, 1912 and 1913 were primed to meet just such an attack. It is this knowledge which makes me believe that Yale will have its troubles on offense against Harvard. Furthermore, the Yale attack is not—good as it is—strong enough to penetrate a line consistently between tackles. And I do not look for Yale to gain ground by forward passing. They think little of this style of game at New Haven and their passing attack to date has not been sound, though it has met with success because of the element of surprise attached to it. Once Yale's running attack is checked and it is forced to resort to passing the chances of success will be mostly luck.

But Yale has a splendid card up its sleeve if the one drop kick of Legore against Washington and Jefferson is a sample of his every day work. His goal from the field on that day was as quickly gotten off as anything Brickley ever did. Perhaps Jones is planning an attack such as Harvard used in the days of Kennard and Brickley and if so he is planning wisely. Yale will have much difficulty in crossing Harvard's goal line. Legore should have little trouble in scoring by the drop kick route if his sample against W. & J. was not a lucky flash.

Yale is not strong in punting. The kicker is none too well protected and although Legore can get distance when not pressed his punts have gradually lost it in the two games I saw largely because he was hurried and took too much time in getting them off. His line covers punts very well and they will not be run back.

Yale is decidedly weak in a defense for a passing game but Jones has probably figured that neither Princeton or Harvard will open the attack, as they have never done so. He is likely right in this for if either team does open its attack in this way it is not likely to do much damage for the good reason that the passing attack is not a hap-hazard affair, but the result of long practice and much experimenting. For example when we scored in four passes against Yale in a sixty yard advance the plays used were the result of two years of experiment.

Princeton's sole chance against Yale is an attack of this kind. Harvard has an exceedingly difficult task ahead to score against Yale by running as the Yale defense is good for such an attack.

Although I have been credited in some newspapers with saying Yale has a stronger team than Pittsburg I have never made such a statement. Pittsburg has a more versatile team than Yale and a far better offensive line. Yale, unquestionably, has better "scenery" but it does not know the football Warner's pupils possess, neither has it the repertory of plays or the keen ability to adapt itself to the unusual in attack by the opposition. Yale's offense is little different from that of 1907 when Coy and Tad Jones ran rampant. Pittsburg has all that and in addition Warner came very near inventing the forward pass attack.

(Copyrighted 1916, by Sol Metzger)

YALE ONE-MAN TEAM

Tad Jones Is Trying Hard to Perfect a Smooth Working Machine.

Every indication points to Yale becoming a one-man team. A one-man team is by no means a novelty at New Haven, and notwithstanding the fact that it is only as strong as its star player the Blue has been exceedingly fortunate with its one-man aggregations. Ted Coy was the star of the last one-man team at New Haven, and, if you will remember, he proved his worth one memorable afternoon at Princeton, when he was swung from end to fullback, and practically by his own efforts captured a game that everyone had chalked up for Princeton, says the Brooklyn Eagle.

Harry Le Gore is the man around whom the Eli coaches are building up an eleven. When he is on the field the Blue is 50 per cent. stronger than when he is on the side lines. In this respect the New Haven aggregation is like the Harvard team of last year. When Mahan was in the line-up the Crimson had a great eleven. When he was out of the game there was only a mediocre team on the field.

Although indications point to a one-man team in New Haven Head Coach Tad Jones is building up a fairly strong eleven along well known and definite lines. Two years ago at this time Yale was leaping into the limelight through the mysteries of "the lateral pass," which Frank Hinkey introduced. All of Yale's admirers were throwing their hats in the air over this new game of Hinkey's without stopping to consider whether it would go against a good defense.

Today the Yale team is not trying anything new, but, on the contrary, is playing its game according to methods that have been tried out again and again. If, therefore, Yale can continue to advance along these lines she is now working her chance of ultimate success ought to be much greater than it was two years ago, when Yale's chief asset was a style of play which had not been tried out. For the last two years the close followers of football have wondered why Yale did not make use of some of the styles of play which Harvard has used so successfully against Yale. The plays which Harvard has used were really founded upon the principles of the tackles-back play devised by Dr. Harry Williams, a Yale man, and successfully used by Yale, somthing up his sleeve. As a result, Robinson's strategy told in the long run. It was a bitter pill for Yale to swallow.

It is difficult to imagine a Blue team with a six-point lead permitting itself to be overtaken and then outdistanced by a smaller college team,1 week before the annual game with Princeton and two before the Harvard encounter. But that was Yale's misfortune in the game with Brown. After Braden had twice booted the oval across the bar for field goals, netting six points, the Elis stopped short, missed fire in several vital sections and then blew up with a staccatto report. After that Brown rallied its forces and swept the Blue host off the gridiron in disorganized retreat.

Yale was unable to present its strongest front for the contest on account of the permanent disability of Robert Bingham, left halfback, because preliminary symptoms of kidney trouble had developed and Bingham left the squad 2 weeks ago after diagnosis of his case had been made by New York physicians. But it was not in this position alone that Yale appeared to a disadvantage.

The Brown game showed plainly that regardless of the glowing accounts concerning the material at Yale it is not nearly so good all things considered, as it was in 1914 and 1915. Outside of Black and Galt, the line gives no evidence of real strength, and showed a lack of knowledge of the fundamentals. After Braden had twice booted the ball Brown backs wrought havoc with the Blue forward line. "Larry" Bankhart ,the one-time Dartmouth star, who is coaching Colgate, asserted recently that Yale had a surprisingly weak forward line, and the Brown game bore out this statement. The sole encouraging feature fro ma Yale viewpoint was the great drop kicking of Braden. He may prove a dangerous factor against Princeton.

As for Brown, there is a reassuring grimness in the way it is performed. team was full of pep and ginger, and Individaully and collectively the charged with set faces that boded ill for the son of Eli Yale. Brown's offense was considerably more versatile and efficient than that of Yale ,and its defence, after the first half, was invulnerable. Pollard's open field running also counted heavily against the Blue.

Statistics of the play show that Yale made only eight first downs ,to twelve for Brown. Yale secured six in the first period and two in the second. register one. Brown failed to record During the second half, Yale did not first down in the first period, made only one in the second, six in the third and five in the fourth. Yale attempted four forward passes, all of which went incomplete. Brown essayed four, two of which were not completed. One gained three yards and one thirty-six. Yale was penalized fifteen yards, Brown thirty-five. Yale punted nine times, gaining an average distance of thirty-five yards. LeGore, Braden and Neville shared in the kicking. Hilhouse for Brown punted nine times, his kicks averaging forty-four yards. Yale gained a total of 198 yards and Brown 467, including punts and kickoffs which were run back.

FINANCIAL AND COMMERCIAL

MARKET WAS UNSETTLED.

Trading Was Broad, With Intervals of Dullness at Middle and Later Stages.

New York, Nov. 13.—An undercurrent of heaviness and uncertainty pervaded today's market, even though man ystocks were strong at times and several new records were established. Trading was broad but fitful, with intervals of dullness during the intermediate and later stages. Price movements were frequently so confusing as to indicate buying and selling of a conflicting character. Sentiment among the professional element seemed to favor lower prices, except in coppers, which again furnished the list with most of its stability.

The motors, equipments and industrials of varied descriptions were lower by 2 to 3 points and Central Leather made a further extreme decline of 8 3-8 points from its recent meteoric rise. Shipping shares, Atlantic Gulf and West Indies excepted, were lower with investment rails and United States Steel losing two points on the usnal heavy offerings.

Republic Iron and the several issues of the Gulf States Steel Company were prominent for their advances of 4 to 10 points, all at record quotations, as were also Utah and Ray Coppers, Atlantic, Gulf and West Indies and several of the paper group.

These gains were largely or wholly forfeited in the more reactionary tendencies manifested in the last half hour, when fresh selling of mercurial specialties was induced by familiar peace reports.. Unsettlement marketed the feverish close, most leaders being then at or near minimum prices of the session. Total sales, with Steel and Coppers preponderant, were 1,525,000 shares.

Technical, rather than actual conditions, militated against the bull account, fundamentals being even more favorable than at last week's close. One of the largest copper producing companies increased its dividend disbursement by 100 per cent. and it is well nigh certain that rails and other fabricated steel and iron are in process of further upward revision.

Last week's enormous expansion of local loans failed of reflection in the money market, but was the occasion of much comment, even though speedy rectification is expected.

Bonds were irregular, with total sales (par value) $4,280,000.

U. S. cuopon 4's rose 3-8 of one per cent. on call.

STOCKS.

Sales.		High.	Low.	Close.
400	Acme Tea	66	66	66
100	Acme Tea 1 pr	98⅛	98	98⅛
300	Adv. Rumely	16	16	16
200	Adv. Rumely pr	34½	34	34
1800	Ajax Rubber	74⅞	74	74
1100	Alaska Gold M	12	11⅞	12
1700	Allis Chalmers	33	31	31
700	Allis Chalmers pr	90	88	88
100	Am Bank Note	43⅞	43⅞	43⅞
100	Am Ag Chem	85⅞	85⅞	85⅞
200	Am Tg Ch pr	101½	101	101½
8600	Am Beet Sugar	102	99⅞	100
700	A B S & P ctf pf	109½	105	109⅞
9000	Am Can	64⅛	62⅞	62⅞
100	Am Can pr	114	114	114
8800	Am Car &Foundry	71	69⅞	69⅞
500	Am Cotton Oil	54⅞	54	54
100	Am Cotton Oil pr	101	101	101
500	Am Hide & Leather	16	15½	15½
1100	Am H & L pr	70½	69	69
1300	American Ice	28⅞	28½	28½
5000	Am Linseed	27⅞	25⅞	27
20900	Am Linseed pr	63⅞	59½	62⅞
17500	Am Locomo	95	92½	92⅞
100	Am Locomo pr	108	108	108
800	Am Malting	8½	8½	8½
200	Am Malting pr	46⅞	46	46
17600	Am Smelting	113⅞	111½	111⅞
900	Am Smelt pr	115⅞	115⅞	115⅞
100	Am Smelt pr B	94⅞	94½	94⅞
2300	Am Steel Fdry	66	65	65
2200	Am Sugar	118	116	116
700	Am Tel & Tel	133½	133	133½
400	Am Tobacco	229⅞	229	229
5800	Am Woolen	54⅞	53⅞	53
200	Am Woolen pr	98	97⅞	97⅞
16000	Am W P pr	60⅞	56⅞	58
8300	Amer zinc	57½	55⅞	56
91500	Anconda	101⅞	98⅞	98⅞
3700	Atchison	105½	104⅞	104⅞
700	Atchison pr	106½	106⅞	106⅞
13200	Atl. G & W I	117⅞	111⅞	115
1900	Atl. G & W I pr	72⅞	71⅞	72⅞
13200	Balt Loco	86	83⅞	83⅞
3100	Balt & Ohio	87⅞	86½	86⅞
100	Barrett Co	158½	158½	158½
100	Batopilas Min	1⅞	1⅞	1⅞
100	Beth Steel pr	150	150	150
200	Brooklyn R T	84½	84½	84½
1100	Burns Brothers	86⅞	85⅞	85⅞
3200	Butte &Supr	67	66	66⅞
100	Butterick Co	22	22	22
600	Cal Petroleum	22½	22	22½
600	Cal Petrol pr	59⅞	59⅞	59⅞
700	Can Pacific	173	172⅞	172⅞
79800	Central Leather	112⅞	105⅞	105⅞
600	Chandler Mtr	130	102½	103
2200	Ches & Ohio	65⅞	67⅞	67⅞
100	Chic & Alton	16⅞	16⅞	16⅞
800	Chic, Gt West	15⅞	14½	14½
1700	Chic Gt West	45⅞	43	43
500	C M & St P	94⅞	93⅞	93⅞
1000	C, M & St P pr	128⅞	125½	125½
200	Chic & N W	128⅞	128	128
17400	C R I & PRy	35½	33½	33⅞
20100	Chile Copper	23⅞	22⅞	23⅞
14400	Chino Con Cop	67⅞	66⅞	66⅞
300	Cluett Peabody	71½	71	71½
100	Cluett Peab pr	110	110	110
4400	Col Fuel & Iron	54⅞	52⅞	53
4800	Col Gas & Elec	45⅞	43⅞	43⅞
100	Col &South.	34⅞	34⅞	34⅞
100	Col & S 1 pr	61	61	61
500	Colpolt Tab.	49⅞	49⅞	49⅞
400	Con G E L & P	128⅞	126½	128⅞
800	C G E L & P rcts	3⅞	3⅞	3⅞
600	Consol Gas	135⅞	135	135⅞
100	Con I Culiahan	24⅞	24⅞	24⅞
1200	Cont Can	100½	99	99
400	Con Can pr	113	113	113
5000	Corn Products	18⅞	18	18½
700	Corn Prod pr	94⅞	93⅞	94⅞
29400	Crucible Steel	90	86⅞	86⅞
100	Crucible St pr	124	123½	123½
12800	Cuba Cane Sugar	73⅞	71⅞	71⅞
1900	Cuba Cane S pr	99½	98⅞	98⅞
100	Del & Hudson	152½	152½	152½
100	Den & Rio G	20	20	20
5300	Den & Rio G pr	46⅞	44	44
400	Dome Mines	24⅞	24⅞	24⅞
100	Det Unit R R	117⅞	117⅞	117⅞
3800	Dist Securities	43½	42	42½
13700	Erie	37⅞	36½	36½
500	Erie 1st pr	52⅞	51½	51½
200	Erie 2 pr	44⅞	43	43
100	F M & S pr	43	43	43
100	Gaston Wms	42⅞	42	42
7400	Gen Electric	182½	179	181⅛
100	Gen W Helme	185	185	185
4500	Goodrich B F	69	68	69
200	Goodrich pr	114⅞	112⅞	114⅞
6800	Granby Min'g	100	96	99
1600	Gt. North pr	117⅞	117½	117½
4600	Gt No Ore Subs	43⅞	43	43
300	Greene C Cop	51½	51	51
2800	Gulf S Steel	143	135½	135½
200	Gulf S Steel 1 pf	105	105	105
200	Illinois Central	105½	105½	105½
1100	Int Agricul pr	57	56⅞	56⅞
18300	Int Copper	60½	57⅞	57⅞
5000	Interboro Con	18⅞	18	18⅛
100	Intgr Con pr	76	76	76
11600	Int M M ctfs	43⅞	41	41
19500	Int M M ctf pr	117⅞	116⅛	116⅛
21200	Int Paper	71⅞	67⅞	67⅞
3300	Int Paper pr	106	104	104⅞
6400	Int Nickel	84½	80⅞	80⅞
100	Jewell Tea	88	88	88
100	Jewell Tea pr	110½	110½	110½
1100	Kansas City So	26⅞	25½	26½
100	Kelly S Tire	75	75	75
40800	Kennecott	56½	55⅞	55⅞
17600	Lack Steel	96⅞	94⅞	95⅞
200	Laclede Gas	116	116	116
100	Lake E & W	24	24	24
1800	Lehigh Valley	82⅞	81½	81½
500	Lee Rub & Tire	39⅞	39½	39½
100	Loose Wiles	23½	23½	23½
200	Louis &Nash	135	134½	134½
6500	Maxwell M Co	73⅞	71½	72
2400	May Dept Stores	72⅞	70	70
10700	Mex Petrol	106⅞	104⅞	105
6600	Miami Copper	41½	41	41⅛
400	Min & St L new	33⅞	32⅞	33
320	M St P & S S M	123⅞	122	122
700	Mo., Kan & T	8	7⅞	7⅞
2700	Mo. Pacific	10	10	10
18300	Mo. Pac ctfs	10	9⅞	10
3200	Mo. Pacific w i	26⅞	26	26⅞
100	Mont. Power	98	98	98
100	Nat Cloak & Suit	83⅞	83⅞	83⅞
100	Nat C & S pr	111	111	111
2200	Nat B & S	33⅞	32½	32½
1000	Nat. Lead	69	67	67
16700	Nev C Copper	28	27	27½
8100	N. Y. Air Brake	164⅞	158	160⅞
4500	N. Y. Central	107⅞	107	107⅛
500	N. Y. C & St L	44	43	43
100	N. Y. Docks	14½	15½	15½
1800	N. Y. N H & H	60	59½	59½
1500	N Y O & W	30	29½	29½
1500	Nova Scotia St	150	144	144
150	Nor & West pr	87	87	87
500	North Amer	69⅞	69½	69½
1700	North Pacific	111⅞	110⅞	110⅞
7400	Ohio Gas	92	85	87½
200	Ontario Silver	7	6⅞	6⅞
800	Owens B M	94⅞	94	94
400	Pacific Mail	25⅞	25	25
8600	Penn R R	57½	56⅞	57
500	Pan Am Pet pr	94⅞	87½	87½
900	Phila Co	41⅞	41½	41½
400	P C C & St L	80	80	80
4400	Pitts Coal ctfs	41⅞	40½	40⅞
8800	Press Steel Car	79⅞	78	78⅞
100	Press S Car pr	106½	106½	106½
200	P. S Cor of N J	132½	132⅞	132½
2500	Ry Steel Spr	55⅞	53½	53½
100	Ry Steel S pr	100⅞	100⅞	100⅞
62200	Ray Con Cop	33⅞	33	33
9200	Reading	108	106½	106⅞
100	Reading 2 pr	46⅞	46⅞	46⅞
12700	Rep I & Steel	84⅞	81½	81½
100	Rep I & Steel pr	115½	115½	115½
100	Saxon Motor	76⅞	76⅞	76⅞
2700	St Louis & S W w i	23½	22½	22⅞
100	St. L & S W	26⅞	26⅞	26⅞
600	Seaboard A L	16½	16	16½
100	Seaboard A L pr	38	38	38
100	Sears Roebuck	239	239	239
2200	Shattuck A C	32⅞	32	32
4900	Sloss S S & I	81½	79½	80
4000	South Pacific	100⅞	100	100⅞
4100	South Ry	27	27	27
700	South Ry pr	67⅞	67⅞	67⅞
6400	Studebaker	124	122½	124
100	Stutz Motor	65⅞	65⅞	65⅞
6060	Tenn Copper	24⅞	22	23
1700	Texas & Pacific	18	17½	18
100	Tex & Pac L T	155	155	155
1400	Texas Co	223	220½	221
5100	Third Avenue	53	50	50⅞
500	Tobacco Prod	53⅞	53½	53½
200	T St L W pr ctfs	15½	15½	15½
500	Under Type	107⅞	107	107
2300	U. Bag & P pr	116	113½	115
5300	Union Bag & P	15	14⅞	14⅞
100	Union B & P pr	88	81⅞	83
16300	Union Pacific	148⅞	147½	147⅞
600	Union Pac pr	83	83½	83½
100	United Drug	79⅞	79½	79½
900	Unit D 1 pr	53	53	53
200	U Cigar Stores	68⅞	68⅞	68⅞
100	U Cigar Stores pr	119½	119½	119½
1500	United Fruit	162⅞	160½	160½
1500	United Rr Inv	14	13	13
800	Un Ry Inv pr	25⅞	24	24
500	U. S. C I P &P	25	25	25
200	U S C I P & P pr	85⅞	85⅞	85⅞
19700	U. S. I. Alcohol	140	134	134⅞
300	U. S. Real & Imp	29⅞	29⅞	29⅞
500	U. S. Red & Ref	1⅞	1⅞	1⅞
1500	U. S. Rubber	60	59	59⅞
200	U. S. Rub 1 pr	112	112	112
2600	U. S. Sm & Ref	74⅞	73½	73⅞
242000	U. S. Steel	123⅞	120½	120⅞
200	U. S. Steel pr	121⅞	121⅞	121⅞
800	Wabash	15⅞	15⅞	15⅞
700	West Mary	27⅞	26⅞	26⅞
600	West Union Tel	101⅞	101⅞	101⅞

Total sales 1,467,900 shares.

MONEY.

New York, Nov. 13.—Call money; high 2 1-2; low 2 1-4; ruling rate 2 1-2; last loan 2 1-2; closing bid 2 1-4; offered at 2 1-2.

COTTON.

New York, Nov. 13. — Cotton futures closed firm; December 19.68; January 19.74; March 19.93; May 20.09; July 20.06.

Spot steady; middling 19.60.

CHICAGO GRAIN MARKET.

	Open.	High.	Low.	Close.
WHEAT				
Dec	192¼	192¼	188¼	189⅞
May	195⅞	195⅞	192⅞	194¼
July	160	161⅞	158⅞	160⅞
CORN:				
Dec	98¼	99¼	95⅞	98⅞
May	99⅞	99⅞	97	98⅞
July	97½	99⅞	97¼	98⅞
OATS:				
Dec	57⅞	58⅞	57¼	58⅞
May	62⅞	62⅞	61⅞	62⅞

RECORDS OF EASTERN COLLEGE ELEVENS

Harvard		Princeton	
10—Colby	0	21—Holy Cross	0
26—Bates	0	29—North Carolina	0
3—Tufts	7		
21—N. Carolina	3	3—Tufts	0
47—Mass. Aggies	0	33—Lafayette	0
23—Cornell	0	7—Dartmouth	3
51—Virginia	0	42—Bucknell	0
8—Princeton	0	0—Harvard	7
184	7	135	6
Yale		**Brown**	
25—Carnegie	0	18—R. I. State	0
61—Virginia	3	42—Trinity	0
12—Lehigh	0	69—Amherst	0
19—Vir. Poly	0	20—Williams	0
26—Wash. & Jeff.	14	21—Rutgers	3
7—Colgate	3	45—Vermont	0
6—Brown	21	21—Yale	6
166	41	236	9
Dartmouth		**Cornell**	
33—N. H. State	0	56—Gettysburg	0
32—Boston College	6		
47—Lehanon	0		
62—Mass. Aggies	0	48—Williams	0
6—Georgetown	10	19—Bucknell	0
3—Princeton	7	0—Harvard	23
15—Syracuse	10	15—Carnegie	7
7—Pennsylvania	7	23—Michigan	20
199	40	125	50
Pennsylvania		**Tufts**	
3—West Virginia	0	7—Harvard	3
27—F. and M.	0		
6—Swarthmore	6	0—Princeton	3
83—Penn. State	6	13—Boston College	0
52—Pittsburgh	26	12—Indiana	10
19—Lafayette	10	13—Mass. Aggies	0
7—Dartmouth	7	6—Springfield	13
69	33	66	29
tSyracuse		**Army**	
57—All Syracuse	0	3—Lebanon	0
73—Ohio	0	14—W. and L.	7
61—F. and M.	0	17—Holy Cross	0
6—Pittsburgh	26	53—Trinity	0
13—Michigan	14	69—Villanova	7
16—Dartmouth	15	30—Notre Dame	10
42—Susquehanna	0	17—Maine	3
256	59	203	27
Navy		**Penn. State**	
6—Dickenson	0	27—Susquehanna	0
13—Georgetown	7	35—Westminster	0
		50—Bucknell	7
19—Pittsburgh	20	33—W. Va. U.	0
12—W. Virginia	20	6—Pennsylvania	13
27—Georgia	3	48—Gettysburg	2
9—Wash. & Lee	10	79—Geneva	0
50—No. Carolina	0	10—Lehigh	7
121	49	305	29
Pittsburgh		**Colgate**	
58—Westminster	0	34—Susquehanna	0
		28—Maine	0
20—Navy	19	15—Illinois	3
26—Syracuse	0	33—R. I. State	0
20—Pennsylvania	0	27—Springfield	14
46—Allegahny	0	3—Yale	7
27—Wash. & Jeff.	0	35—Rochester	6
211	19	175	30
Lehigh		**Wash. & Jeff.**	
21—Ursinus	0	37—Bethany	6
41—Albright	0	53—Geneva	0
		21—West Virginia	0
0—Yale	12	27—Villetta	0
3—Lebanon	3	12—Westminster	0
27—Catholic Uni.	0	14—Yale	36
3—Muhlenburg	0		
7—Penn. State	10	6—Pittsburgh	37
128	25	184	85

YALE MUST BOLSTER LINE FOR TIGERS

Blue Coaches Furnished With Problem After Brown Game.

Brown's overwhelming victory over Yale has caused much gloom among Blue adherents. Prior to Saturday's unsatisfactory result, the Elis were looked upon as the greatest team moulded together since Ted Coy's famous edeven. Yale's stock however, has descended several points below par and there is a distinct hunch abroad in the realm that Princeton, in view of its good showing against Harvard, will rule favorite when the Tiger and Bulldog go to grips in Palmer Stadium, Princeton, next Saturday. Princeton looks like the better team for many reasons and should win because Yale appears unable to overcome its cardinal faults—poor generalship, a tendency to fumble and loose line work.

The opinion was prevalent here Saturday that Brown treated itself to the so-called Yale strategy. Brown, in fact, beat Yale at her own