

The RECORD-UNION is the only paper on the coast, outside of San Francisco, that receives the full Associated Press dispatches from all parts of the world. Outside of San Francisco it has no competitor, in point of numbers, in its home and general circulation throughout the coast.

NEWS OF THE MORNING.

EASTERN.—David Turpie has been declared elected United States Senator in Indiana. The striking freight hands will try the Irish plan of campaign. Anarchist Spies and Miss Van Zandt were married at Chicago by proxy. Fanny Blount had her jewels stolen at Memphis by a hotel clerk. The bill appropriating \$100,000 for a Soldiers Home west of Chicago is rather quiet. Alaska is to receive a civil government. Pagora Springs, Colorado, is in a state of anarchy. Silver in New York, 102 1/2.

FOREIGN.—England is putting things in order for the coming fray. There was great excitement in the European stock markets yesterday. The American stock market is in a state of confusion. The Egyptian expedition is to consist of 1,200 men. Egypt has raised the blockade of the Soudan. Silver in London, 47 1/2.

PACIFIC COAST.—The barn of Mrs. Perry was burned at Fresno. San Jose is to have a \$300,000 hotel. The Board of Trade at Gilroy intends to get up a boom for that place. A building boom has struck Napa. Thirty families from Michigan are soon to be located near Anderson, Shasta county. Farming lands are being extensively bought by outsiders in San Luis Obispo. Hiram Henderson, a Fresno blacksmith, has been committed to the Napa Asylum. W. H. Worth, of Petaluma, has invented a new machine to crush grapes.

LIENSING GAMBLING.

The advocates of gaming have at last been gratified by the introduction of a bill in the Legislature providing for the issuance of licenses to carry on gaming. Three-quarters of the money for licenses is to go into the county treasuries and one-quarter to the State. Gaming is to be conducted only on upper floors and in single rooms.

Exactly why limitation as to locality or number of rooms should attach, is not clear. If gambling is to be given rein at all, let it be a loose one. If we are to have gaming made lawful, then compel it to be practiced where every one can observe who are its devotees. Landlords having lower floors to rent should not be so unjustly discriminated against as is proposed in the bill in question. As the bill permits any game of chance by any device whatever, it runs foul of the Constitution prohibiting lottery gambling. What other reasons will be advanced for the passage of the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE.

THIRTY-SEVENTH SESSION—THIRTY-FIRST DAY.

SENATE.

The Senate met at 10 A. M. After reading the Journal of the Assembly, the Senate proceeded to the consideration of the bill, introduced by Senator Vrooman, relating to the right of private ownership of land in agricultural districts, and to amend the act in that behalf.

After receiving this message, what could the Church of Rome do, holding as it does the right and justice of private ownership in land, but to suspend the priest? Indeed, as we have already said, the priest who cannot conform to the doctrines and ethics of the Church whose regalia he wears, owes it to himself to leave the organization, and there, as we look at it, the whole matter ends.

The Church does not believe as does Dr. McGlynn, and, therefore, if it is to maintain its own respect, must forbid him indulging in such preaching. Thereafter, what Rev. Mr. McGlynn utters, becomes only the expression of Mr. McGlynn, layman. We fail, therefore, to see wherein there is persecution or wrong done. The Church of Rome had either to concur in Mr. McGlynn's communistic expressions or repudiate them. There is but one way for any church to do the latter. McGlynn, as an intelligent man knows this, and what he or his friends have to complain of is not, therefore, clear to the lay mind. How his private opinion becomes a matter to so stir up debate, any more than the opinion of Jones, Smith or Brown, passes comprehension. Nevertheless, we shall expect to hear the George organs still shouting "persecution." We will scarcely be classed with apologists for the Catholic or any other church, but in this matter, if that religious body has not acted as any self-respecting organization would, we cannot understand the ordinary ethics of its conduct. As the Chicago Herald tersely puts it:

The logic of organization is subordination. Disobedience is incompatible with an efficient union and is subversive of all discipline. Unless the authority of its superior is respected, the organization cannot be maintained. The proposition is simple and obvious that when a man in orders finds his conscience or his interest at variance with the society which has commissioned him, he should resign his office, and if he declines to do so, he can no longer accept. If the power of discipline were not exerted, the organization would be a mere name, and the discipline would be a mere form.

The advocates of gaming have at last been gratified by the introduction of a bill in the Legislature providing for the issuance of licenses to carry on gaming. Three-quarters of the money for licenses is to go into the county treasuries and one-quarter to the State. Gaming is to be conducted only on upper floors and in single rooms.

Exactly why limitation as to locality or number of rooms should attach, is not clear. If gambling is to be given rein at all, let it be a loose one. If we are to have gaming made lawful, then compel it to be practiced where every one can observe who are its devotees. Landlords having lower floors to rent should not be so unjustly discriminated against as is proposed in the bill in question. As the bill permits any game of chance by any device whatever, it runs foul of the Constitution prohibiting lottery gambling. What other reasons will be advanced for the passage of the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

We do not know, nor can we conjecture. It will not be concluded that it is a business of a moral character, or tending to benefit society. It must stand, if at all, therefore, upon the proposition that the prohibition of lottery gambling is an evil, and that the bill, than that threshold and false one "that gaming cannot be suppressed"?

COUNTED OUT.

Serious Accusation Made by the Administrator of T. G. Hodgson's Estate.

In the general election of 1884 the late Thomas G. Hodgson and S. S. Hinsdale were opposing candidates in Washington precinct for the office of Supervisor of the First District of the county. According to the count, Hodgson was elected by seven majority. Hinsdale's friends protested that their man had been counted out, and a recount was demanded and had, apparently verifying the original count, as it increased Hodgson's majority from seven to eight. Hinsdale's friends then declared that the ballots had been tampered with and the recount, but the matter there dropped. The Yolo Mail of yesterday says that there is a civil case now pending in the Superior Court of the county which probably throws some light on the question. It is a suit brought by Albert May, of Washington precinct, to enforce the payment of a note for \$500, made to him on October 22, 1885, by Thomas G. Hodgson. May says Mrs. Hodgson, the administrator of the late T. G. Hodgson, refused to pay the note, and that she had been counted out, and that the circumstances through which plaintiff May came into possession of the note are as follows:

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

By Rucker—Appropriating \$200,000 for the use of the patients at the California Reformatory for Chronic Insane.

SOCIAL AND PERSONAL.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

United States Appraiser Thomas Beck, ex-Secretary of State, is in the city yesterday.

LEGISLATIVE NOTES.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

The Senate Committee on Claims will report favorably upon all the claims growing out of the late war.

COUNTY TREASURER'S REPORT.

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31, 1887:

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31, 1887:

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31, 1887:

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31, 1887:

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31, 1887:

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31, 1887:

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31, 1887:

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31, 1887:

Following is the report of J. N. Hutton, County Treasurer, for the month ending January 31