

Extraordinary Bargains.

WHEN YOU CAN PURCHASE DESIRABLE goods that are an every-day necessity, at prices far below actual value, it is to your interest to do so.

TREMENDOUS TUMBLE IN PRICES.

- LOT 1--Boys' suits, Knee Pants, all-wool... from \$ 6 00 to \$ 2 50
LOT 2--Youths' suits, ages 14 to 18 years... from 7 00 to 4 85
LOT 3--Men's suits, Union Cassimere... from 6 00 to 3 85

Trunks, Valises, Hand Satchels, Shawl Straps, all reduced.

PRICES IN MEN'S FURNISHING GOODS!

Too, have come under the pruning-knife, leaving our customers no room to be dissatisfied.

OUR IMMENSE SHOE STOCK MUST BE REDUCED!

- Men's whole stock kip Working Shoes, whole form, no seam on instep, with or without laces... \$1 80
Our celebrated \$3 Railroad Shoe... 2 65
Ladies' lace Dongola, with long vamp and square toe... 1 80

MILLINERY DEPARTMENT--SPECIAL!

- 200 Trimmed Hats and Bonnets at the following very low prices:
25 elegant Bonnets... from \$18 00 to \$7 00
25 dress Hats for Ladies' and Misses' marked down... from 15 00 to 6 00

RED HOUSE.

No. 714 and 716 J Street, AND 718 and 715 OAK AVENUE, SACRAMENTO, CAL.

THE NONPAREIL.

Corner of Fifth and J Streets.

EMBROIDERIES!

WE PLACE ON SALE TO-DAY:

2,000 PIECES, From 1 Cent

UP TO--

All Widths, \$4 per Yard.

CAMBRIC EMBROIDERIES

NAINSOOK EMBROIDERIES

SWISS EMBROIDERIES

The lot arrived just on time, for everybody seems to be busy making up White Goods for the coming season.

THE NONPAREIL.

Corner of Fifth and J Streets, Sacramento.

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE.

XXVIIIth Session--TWENTY-FOURTH DAY.

SENATE.
Sacramento, January 30, 1889.
The Senate met at 11 A. M., President pro tem.

Roll called, and all present except Dargie, Health and Williams.

The Journal of yesterday was read and approved.

Leave of absence was granted Mr. Dargie for the balance of the day.

PEOPLE-MINDED CHILDREN.
The special order for this hour was the consideration of the bill for the purpose of...

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

Mr. Murphy--I have introduced several bills relating to the subject of this special order.

Mr. Mead moved that the reports be made in the order for to-morrow after the second order of business.

Mr. Conklin favored immediate consideration of the report.

RIGHTS AND DRAINAGE, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Assembly Bill No. 70, regulating water companies, recommending passage as amended.

Mr. Ostrom, of the Committee on Corporations, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 48, to amend Act creating a Board of Bank Commissioners.

Mr. Crawford, of the Committee on Education, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 112, relating to the assessment of real property in the name of the owner as shown by the records of deeds and mortgages.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

Mr. Seawell, of the Committee on Judiciary, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 85, relating to road poll taxes.

THE NATIONAL CAPITAL.

ALLISON ADMITS BEING OBFUSCATED BY A PORTFOLIO.

The Senator Correspondence--Dolph on the Situation--The Railroad Funding Bill.

(SPECIAL DISPATCHES TO THE RECORD--CON.)

CONGRESSIONAL PROCEEDINGS.

In the Senate.

WASHINGTON, January 30th.--In the Senate this morning on motion of Senator Mitchell, a resolution was adopted directing the Secretary to inform the Senate of the amounts in the sinking fund to the credit of the Union Pacific and the Central Pacific Railroads on February 1st.

The debate on the railroad claims to the diplomatic and consular bill was resumed. The debate was opened by Senator Dolph, who said that the bill was a measure of justice to the United States, and it was time to give to the United States the policy of the government.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.

Mr. Allison, of the Committee on Finance, reported back favorably Assembly Bill No. 286, to provide for levees and levee districts in the Sacramento Valley.