

GERMAN SUBMARINES WREAK HAVOC AMONG MERCHANTMEN OF NORTH SEA

BOTH SIDES TO BE HEARD TOMORROW ON STRIKE ISSUE

Chamber of Commerce Committee to Investigate Status of Waterfront Situation

POLICE GUARD NON-UNION MEN GOING TO THEIR WORK

Strikers Write Letter to Mayor Setting Forth Statement of Their Case

The latest activities of the police in the strike situation was escorting of about 75 Chinese gathered up about the city to launch this afternoon to be taken to work the Selyo Maru. This afternoon Bonafacio and Pablo Robis, said by the police to be ringleaders who tried to start something yesterday evening, were charged with rioting and will be arraigned in police court in the morning. Both are Filipinos. Bonafacio is said to have made threatening speeches and Robis is accused of going dangerously armed. He is said to have brandished a wicked-looking knife.

All Reserves On Duty
All the reserves of the regular police force are doing duty on the waterfront this afternoon with Deputy Sheriff Ash and Sheriff Rose in close touch with conditions. Capt. Kamahu and Capt. Lauka conferred late this afternoon on the distribution of officers along the piers during the night.

There seems to be an apprehension at the police station that some trouble may break loose and those in charge are taking every precaution.

Developments in the waterfront strike situation during the last 24 hours are as follows:
Chamber of Commerce committee decides to call investigation meeting beginning at 9 o'clock tomorrow morning to hear both sides of the controversy.

Police guard strike-breakers going to work on the docks this morning. Jack Edwardson, spokesman for strikers, says he has cabled assurances from waterfront workers of San Francisco, that they will back up local action, providing local union requests them to make moves. Edwardson says no such request has been made or decided upon.

Working of vessels proceeds, with some delays, and strikers claim additions to their ranks.

An investigation into the strike situation will begin tomorrow morning at 9 o'clock in the Chamber of Commerce rooms. This was the decision arrived at today by the arbitration committee and officials of the chamber. The meeting, however, is not for arbitration. It was expressly stated by Secretary Raymond C. Brown, who said that the committee would act as an "investigation committee" the action of the chamber yesterday referred the controversy to the arbitration committee "for investigation."

Both sides are to be heard tomorrow. It is planned, Brown called on representatives of both sides after the meeting and they have promised to be present. The strikers' side of the controversy will be heard from 9 to 10 o'clock and the employers' from 10 to 11 o'clock.

San Francisco Promises Support
That the San Francisco local of the riggers and stevedores' union will support the Honolulu local, No. 38-26, of the International Longshoremen's Association, is stated in the reply which Jack Edwardson, business agent of the sailors' union and a member of the longshoremen's union committee, claims to have received today from the San Francisco organization.

At 12:45 this afternoon Edwardson announced to the Star-Bulletin that such news had been received, but he made it clear that while it means the San Francisco union will declare cargoes loaded on steamers here by non-union labor unfair on their arrival at that port if the Honolulu local requests, it the local here has not yet made such a request.

No Request Made Yet
"Whether we ask the San Francisco union to declare such cargoes unfair depends on the arbitration meeting tomorrow morning," said Edwardson. "Our side of the situation will be presented from 9 to 10 o'clock, and the employers will have their inning after that hour. The reply we received today means the San Francisco Riggers and Stevedores' Union of the Pacific Coast District of Longshoremen are with us and will declare cargoes loaded here by non-union labor unfair if we ask them, which we have not yet done."

Engineers Donate \$250
Officers of the Honolulu longshoremen's local said shortly after noon today that at a meeting last night of Honolulu Local No. 611, International Union of Steam and Operating Engineers, \$250 was donated to the longshoremen's relief fund, which is now large enough to keep the strikers supplied with food for 30 days longer.

Late News At A Glance

FRENCH FLYER HAS MARVELOUS ESCAPE
PARIS, France, Sept. 29.—Lieut. Guynemer, famous French military aviator, today went to the rescue of a comrade whose machine was attacked by five German aeroplanes, and fell 10,000 feet to the earth. He landed unhurt, the wings of his biplane catching the air just before he struck and breaking the force of the fall, but the machine was wrecked. Before the accident he had downed three German aeroplanes in two minutes and a half.

NEW YORK UNIONISTS WON'T ADMIT FAILURE
NEW YORK, N. Y., Sept. 29.—Although it is freely declared that the street car strikers and other unionists have failed to bring about the great sympathetic strike they expected, the unionists refuse to admit defeat, insisting that nearly 200,000 workers have quit. Meetings will be held next Monday in an effort to call out others.

BEACH WALK CONTRACT IS SIGNED
The contract for the construction of the road in Beach Walk, Improvement District No. 2, was signed today by Mayor Lane and County Clerk David Kalanokalani, which gives permission to the contractor, Lord-Young Engineering Company, to begin work. The work will cost \$31,264.17.

THREE CASES BEFORE GRAND JURY TODAY
At a meeting of the territorial grand jury this afternoon the following cases are believed to be under investigation: William Spencer, charged with extortion; James Fernandez, Christian Freitas and Thomas L. Keala, charged with the theft of a gold bracelet from a Chinese at Waipahu; and Baxter W. Roscoe, charged with assault with a dangerous weapon. It was expected that a partial report would be submitted today.

COMPENSATION PROVIDED FOR U. S. EMPLOYEES
Marshall J. J. Smiddy was notified by the attorney-general today of the passage of an act on September 7 providing compensation for injured employees of the United States government. The act, says the marshal, provides that employees while suffering from injuries shall receive two-thirds of their monthly wages each month. In case of death the wife receives two-thirds of her husband's salary each month until she is married again or dies. The children, in this case, receive 35 per cent of their father's salary each month until they are of age or able to provide for themselves.

ASHFORD FAVORS INCREASED WAGE FOR STEVEDORES

But Thinks Strikers Should Have Made Their Demands Before Walking Out

"I regard the action of the stevedores in having struck for higher pay without having made any demand for an increase as being indefensible," declares Circuit Judge Clarence W. Ashford, in a statement given the Star-Bulletin today relative to conditions on the waterfront.

"They should return to work at the old rates," he continues, "and then make their demands, thus giving the shipping interests a fair opportunity to meet or to reject those demands."

"Regarding the rate of pay which prevailed before the strike, I am informed it was only 20 cents an hour, thus calling for a 10-hour working day in which to earn \$2. I regard this rate of pay as being absurdly—almost wickedly—inadequate for the character of labor performed by the stevedores.

Favors Wage Increase
"An increase of 50 per cent in this rate would fall considerably short of an unreasonable figure. There is probably no class of labor in these islands so severe as that of stevedores and they should be liberally paid."

"As regards the effort of the union to enforce the principle of the 'closed shop,' it is as wrong as possible both morally and economically. It is intolerable that any class or number of men having banded themselves together in a union should, merely by virtue of that banding together, be permitted to dictate by whom available labor shall be performed or to decree that none but union members shall be employed."

"We in Hawaii should stamp out that heresy at the threshold. Any interference with so-called strike-breakers in the performance of their work or in going to or from their labor should be promptly squelched and adequately punished."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We in Hawaii should stamp out that heresy at the threshold. Any interference with so-called strike-breakers in the performance of their work or in going to or from their labor should be promptly squelched and adequately punished."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

"We surely do not wish to import coast labor conditions into Hawaii. There is no sanctity and should be no monopoly attaching to membership in a labor union. Let the strikers refrain from work if they see fit—that is their privilege. But let them also refrain from interference with men who are willing to work. Such interference is a serious moral and legal offense."

MAYOR RECEIVES LETTER GIVING UNION'S POINTS

Stevedores Declare Their Compensation Too Small at Living Expense

The aide of the striking stevedores is fully set forth in a letter written and delivered to Mayor Lane yesterday, signed by Moses K. Kahue, secretary and treasurer of the local union. The letter says:

"Referring to our recent letter to you requesting your good offices as mayor in seeking to secure for us a conference with certain firms in Honolulu employing stevedores, we note with regret that you were unable to have any of the firms discuss existing difficulties. We appreciate, however, your efforts in this behalf and hereby tender our sincere thanks therefor. We believe our claims for an advance in wages to be absolutely just and likewise believe the public generally will recognize them as fair."

"To begin with, the work of a stevedore is not continuous. He may earn \$10 this week and little or nothing next week. One of the facts always dwelt upon by the plantations when claim is made, is that they are not work all the year round, which is not true of agricultural laborers on the mainland. While we are supposed to be always subject to call, yet we are paid for only the hours when our employers need us."

"Again referring to plantation laborers, attention has always been directed to the fact that they receive house rent, fuel, medical attention and other things free. We receive none of these."

"The work of a stevedore is hard, and at times dangerous. It is certainly more strenuous than that of field laborers or laborers on county roads."

"It seems to us that our compensation is pitifully small. We receive 20 cents per hour. For all over eight hours we are paid at the rate of 40 cents per hour. Certainly neither rate is enough for the hard work done by us. It is less than half of that received for similar work on the mainland, and less than that paid for any other labor of equal requirements in Hawaii."

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

"If times were hard with our employers; if dividends had to be passed, and expenses curtailed, perhaps it might be said that our claims were unjust. But the contrary is true. The firm of McCabe, Hamilton & Renny, with a capitalization of \$50,000, depends on its earnings solely on stevedores, and whatever sums it is able to pay its stockholders come solely from the fruits of our labor. Just what that firm is paying now in the way of dividends we cannot exactly say, but believe that it aggregated last year not less than 30 per cent. Surely it is not presumptuous, nor extravagant for us to ask that part of this sum be paid us, who really earn it for the company. We have, of course, no way of telling what other concerns save for their stockholders by the meager wages paid us, but

KOREANS COLLECT FUNDS FOR FIGHT OF INDEPENDENCE

Since September 1 More Than \$5000 Has Been Raised and More Promised

WOULD CAST OFF YOKE OF JAPAN ON NATION

Movement is inaugurated on August 29 and Has Grown Steadily Since

In response to a plea made through the Korean National Association in an editorial printed in the Korean National Herald on August 23, representatives of the race in Hawaii are subscribing daily to a cause which the editor today states is a fight for the independence of Korea from Japan.

Since the editorial appeared in the local paper approximately \$5000 has been subscribed.

Money is still coming in, it was stated today at headquarters of the Korean National Association by Young M. Park, the man who wrote the editorial plea, and so deeply has the subject of their independence from Japan struck home that practically every Korean in the territory has given to the cause.

Even little children have brought to the headquarters of the fund in by mail from other islands contributions from 10 cents to 25 cents.

All Classes Contribute
Many of the subscriptions are given as for six years back to the year that Japan assumed control of the country, laborers and businessmen drawing from their savings on August 29 of each year to subscribe to the cause.

August 29, 1910, was the date of annexation.

(Continued on page two)

ARMED MEXICANS GO OVER BORDER; SOON IN RETREAT

(Associated Press by Federal Wireless)
WASHINGTON, D. C., Sept. 29.—According to a despatch from Gen. Funston, 30 armed Mexicans today crossed the American border near Ysleta, Texas, soldiers have been notified and are out on the hunt, a later rumor being that the Mexicans retreated.

GERMAN DEPUTY WAR MINISTER HAS RESIGNED

(Associated Press by Federal Wireless)
BERLIN, Germany, Sept. 29.—Deputy War Minister von Wandel has resigned from his post for reasons of ill-health, and the Kaiser, accepting his resignation, has nominated him for a general of infantry.

It was first reported that von Wandel had been dismissed. This was later denied.

NEW YORK STOCK MARKET TODAY

	Today	Yesterday
Alaska Gold	13	12 1/2
American Smelter	115 3/4	113 1/2
American Sugar Rfg.	112 1/2	112 1/2
American Tel. & Tel.	134 1/2	134 1/2
Anacosta Copper	98 1/2	98 1/2
Atchafalpa	106 3/4	106 3/4
Baldwin Loco.	88 1/4	85 1/2
Baltimore & Ohio	87 1/2	89 3/4
Bethlehem Steel	56 1/2	56 1/2
Calif. Petroleum	24	23 1/2
Canadian Pacific	179	178
C. & M. & St. P. (St. Paul)	96 1/4	97
Colo. Fuel & Iron	62 1/2	58 3/4
Crucible Steel	96 3/4	93 3/4
Erie Common	40 1/4	40 1/4
General Electric	182 1/2	184
General Motors	119 1/2	120
Great Northern Pfd.	119 1/2	120
Inter Harv., N. J.	116 1/4	115
Kennecott Copper	56 1/2	57 1/2
Lehigh R. R.	83	82 1/4
New York Central	109 3/4	109 3/4
Pennsylvania	58	58
Ray Consol.	26 1/4	26 1/4
Southern Pacific	102	102 1/4
Studebaker	132 1/2	132 1/2
Tennessee Copper	25	25 1/2
Texas Oil	21	22 1/2
Union Pacific	151 1/2	150 1/2
U. S. Steel	120	118 1/4
U. S. Steel Pfd.	121 1/2	121 1/2
Utah	96 1/2	96 1/4
Western Union	100 1/2	100 1/4
Westinghouse	85 1/2	84 1/2

*Bid. †Dividend. ‡Unquoted.

NEW JAPANESE ENVOY WHO FACES PROBLEM ON REACHING POST

Amoro Sato, Japan's new ambassador to the United States, who at the outset of his term is confronted with the situation brought about by the now-rapidly uttered utterances of Baron Sakatani of Tokio, that Japan will renew her opposition to the exclusion and anti-alien land acts.



Amoro Sato, Japan's new ambassador to the United States, who at the outset of his term is confronted with the situation brought about by the now-rapidly uttered utterances of Baron Sakatani of Tokio, that Japan will renew her opposition to the exclusion and anti-alien land acts.

Amoro Sato, Japan's new ambassador to the United States, who at the outset of his term is confronted with the situation brought about by the now-rapidly uttered utterances of Baron Sakatani of Tokio, that Japan will renew her opposition to the exclusion and anti-alien land acts.

Amoro Sato, Japan's new ambassador to the United States, who at the outset of his term is confronted with the situation brought about by the now-rapidly uttered utterances of Baron Sakatani of Tokio, that Japan will renew her opposition to the exclusion and anti-alien land acts.

PITCHING D