

PRESERVATION OF GAME.

An Admirable System of Protection and Management.

Some Suggestions Which American States Might Adopt—How Scarcity of Game May be Prevented—A Maryland Example.

There is a law on the old Maryland statute books which was intended to prohibit a master from feeding his slaves or servants on canvasback duck and terrapin often than twice a week, says a Washington correspondent of the St. Louis Globe-Democrat. According to Maryland tradition duck and terrapin were so plentiful in the old days that householders found them the cheapest food, because they were most abundant. Not only did they appear constantly upon the tables of the old planters, but they were served daily to the servants and the slaves. This high feeding was indulged in so constantly that the monotony of the diet distasteful, and finally an appeal went up to the Maryland lawmakers to end this process of starvation. They passed the law, and after that the Maryland "laboring classes" were obliged to subsist on the canvasback and canvasback duck only twice a week.

Conditions have greatly changed since then, and terrapin is now more scarce, first because they are rare, and second because they are palatable. Maryland now has game laws protecting the canvasback, and there are also laws protecting "game laws"—which are intended to protect the terrapin. Other States, too, have adopted the system of protecting the wild game, but in no other State have any sections of the country the laws for this purpose are absolutely disregarded or else far from perfect. In many of the older States game has become scarce, and unless a better system of game protection and management is adopted and rigidly enforced it must entirely disappear. A somewhat novel suggestion of a hunter to kill 2,000 prairie chickens out of season and in violation of law, as occurred in South Dakota not long ago, certainly needs to be considered.

Consul-General Frank H. Mason, of Frankfurt, Germany, in a report just submitted to the State Department, makes some valuable suggestions, and at the same time gives an interesting account of the German system of game protection and management from a purely economic standpoint. In beginning he says:

"Observant Americans traveling by rail through Germany during the late summer or autumn months are astonished by the abundance of hares, partridges and pheasants which are to be seen in the fields and thickets along the railways, or by rookery—often by a dozen or more together—feeding in pastures and meadows, and scurrying into the adjacent woods on approach of the train. This surprise is usually augmented when, at some country station, the traveler sees a party of sportsmen returning to town with their day's shooting. Remembering the denuded condition of the older and more thickly settled portions of our own country in all that respects field and woodland game, the impression is apt to come upon the transatlantic tourist that, in this respect at least, the Germans manage better than we have done. In fish-culture and the skillful breeding of many kinds of animals the Americans are unsurpassed, if equaled, by any people of Europe; but in raising game birds and in the production of fields that have been cultivated since many centuries before America was discovered, the Germans have, it would seem, set an example which we may study with interest, if not profit."

The game birds and animals of Germany which are still so plentiful include principally the stag, the fallow deer and roe deer, hares and rabbits, the capercaillie (or Auerhahn), pheasants, partridges, snipe, woodcock, wildducks and swans, not to speak of fish, otters, foxes and badgers.

"It was but natural," continues Consul-General Mason, "that a people busy with the task of clearing and settling a country so vast as ours should until within recent years, have regarded game birds and animals as part of the spontaneous product of the land, the property of whomsoever might take the trouble to pursue and kill them. Not within many centuries has any such easy-going indifference on that subject prevailed in any part of Europe. From the days when the game belonged to the Crown and hunting was the exclusive privilege of the King and the nobility, game birds and animals have been recognized as property not less tangible and defensible than domestic poultry or cattle."

"With the Imperial preserves of Germany and the vast estates of the wealthier aristocracy, where stags and pheasants are reared and tended by liveried gamekeepers for wholesale slaughter on princely hunting days, the present reports, for obvious reasons, no concern. All that belongs to such and political conditions so remote from our own as to divert it of all practical interest in this connection. But the Imperial and Grand ducal preserves, covering a small portion of German territory, the vast bulk of it is possessed by individual farmers and communities, and is leased, so far as shooting privileges are concerned, to individuals or small clubs of professional and business men in the neighboring towns and cities for an annual rental, which amounts in the aggregate to many millions of marks and constitutes one of the important revenues of the agricultural class."

Prussia, Bavaria, Hesse, Wurtemberg and Baden have each their separate code for the protection of game and the regulation of the shooting privileges, and in no respect are the provincial governments of Germany more jealous of national interference than in regard to their game laws. Consul-General Mason takes as an example the Code of Prussia. Regarding it he says:

"One of the important provisions of the Prussian code is that which permits any proprietor of landed property to kill game at proper seasons in any part of his premises that may be inclosed by a fence or wall, but which denies him this privilege on any piece of open or enclosed land which is less than 200 acres in extent. In the latter case the game on the farmer's land reverts to the care of the communal authorities, which then regulate the shooting privileges of all such territory within its limits, crediting to each farmer his due share of the aggregate rental, proportionate to the area of his land."

"As nearly all farming lands in Germany are owned in small tracts by peasant farmers who rarely or never inclose by any semblance of hedge or fence, it follows that most field shooting is leased by the communal authorities, and which vary from 20c to 75c per acre annually, thus adding an additional crop, so to speak, to the yearly produce of the ground. These shooting privileges are leased usually for terms of six, nine, or twelve years. Competition is by auction at the close of the communal burgomasters, and the lease goes to the highest bidder who can furnish satisfactory guarantees as to financial responsibility. The lessee then becomes responsible, not for the proper care of the game in the fields and woods covered by his lease, but also for whatever damage the game inflict upon growing crops. Should the hares, pheasants, partridges and turkeys, or the deer from the adjacent forest trespass upon the wheat or rye fields, the farmer summons the two communal assessors, and they, for that purpose, who examine the premises and estimate the amount of damage, which the lessee of the shooting is required to make good. The peasant farmer is usually nominated a third member of the board and call for a reappraisal of the damage. The lessee also employs a local gamekeeper, who receives a yearly salary ranging from \$200 to \$300, and whose business it is to look after the game, kill foxes, hawks, and other carnivorous creatures, and prevent poaching."

"Nothing could better illustrate the universal respect for the rights of property in this country, and the absence of that lawless predatory spirit which pervades some less strictly governed communities, than the entire immunity from irregular deprivations which is secured to partridges, pheasants, hares, rabbits and other small game, even in the immediate neighborhood of populous German villages. The peasant farmer is satisfied with a system which secures to him a full cash value for all the game which his land may produce, and will promptly pay for winter damage the same amount which he would incur if he were to inflict upon his crops, and at the same time protects his fields from trespassing hares, partridges, pheasants, and other animals which might be injured thereby, for the game laws carefully prohibit field shooting until such crops are gathered in."

"An important feature of the protective system is the law which forbids any person from hunting or using a gun unless he has a license, and the cost of such a license is not less than \$10. The license is issued by the local magistrate in each district to applicants of good standing, who must be not less than 21 years of age, and who, for two years, must be vouched for by some responsible person. The pass is for one year, costs from 75 cents to \$2, according to the varying regulations of the different provinces, and bears on its reverse side a checked design showing the open and close months of the year for each kind of game. To be found outside of one's own premises with a rifle or fowling-piece and without a *judgpass* involves the confiscation of gun and accessories, and the offender is summarily eliminated the professional poacher and the predatory small boy with the cheap shotgun, who have been so destructive to the game birds and mammals of the forest and feathered game, in some other countries."

"Mr. Mason suggests that, as the climate of Germany is so much more temperate than that of the Northern and Middle States of this country, it would be easy to transplant some of the varieties of game mentioned in the report, and to establish them here. "After all that has been so successfully done in our country to re-stock the inland lakes and streams with fish," he concludes, "it would be equally easy to restore, in a measure, the game birds and animals which were formerly so abundant and which have become so rare to the sportsmen, so costly in our markets. This can only be done by making preservation easy, inexpensive, and, as far as possible, profitable to owners of the land. The German system has made game abundant throughout the empire and yields an important income to the class which is most interested in its preservation. "The experiment in America would need to be systematic, but not necessarily expensive. A dozen pairs of partridges, pheasants and hares, or a pair of quail, many or Austria, turned loose on almost any American farm and protected from molestation three or four years, would multiply so that the world would hold their own against any reasonable sportsmanlike pursuit. The larger the territory inclosed in such experiments, the more certain would be its success."

A SABBATH EPISODE.

Another Kansas Immigrant Comes to Grief Among the Divs.

STORAGE RESERVOIRS.

Eighteen of Them Constructed in Lassen County.

About a Hundred Thousand Acres in Honey Lake Valley to be Supplied With Water.

Great progress has within the past three years been made toward reclaiming the arid lands in Lassen County, especially those of Honey Lake Valley. No less than eighteen storage reservoirs of large size have been built for the purpose of supplying water for the cultivation of the large bodies of arid, but exceedingly fertile, lands of that county. Another project of the same sort is now under way, which, when completed, will rank among the most in the State.

The location of the new enterprise is in Honey Lake Valley, and from the report of L. H. Taylor of Sacramento, the engineer in charge of the work, the following interesting facts are learned: "The source of supply is Long Valley Creek, which has a drainage area of over 400 square miles in the Sierra Nevada Mountains. About six miles above the mouth of the creek, there is a narrow canyon through which the stream flows, and here it is proposed to construct a dam. This will be of earth, with a puddle core, and will have a height of 443 feet, sloping gradually at the same angle on both sides to the top, where it will be twenty feet thick. The extreme height of the dam will be 740 feet. At the base in the bottom of the canyon it will be 250 feet long, at a height of eighty-five feet the length will be 740 feet, and at the full height the length will be 900 feet. A reservoir with an area of 1,000 acres will thus be constructed, which will have an average depth of water of thirty-one feet. This will contain a volume of 1,250,000,000 cubic feet, or 10,125,000,000 gallons of water, or considerably more than the amount of water in the reservoir. The source of supply is the Honey Lake Valley, which is a large body of water, and the water will be conveyed from the reservoir in open canals to the lands which it is proposed to irrigate, and which comprise a large area of fertile soil. The reservoir will have a capacity to supply 100,000 acres, but it will be some time, of course, before so large an area will be irrigated. The entire cost of the dam and the diverting canal, however, will not exceed \$100,000, from which it will be seen that this is one of the cheapest irrigation enterprises in the State."

The lands that are to be irrigated from this reservoir lie at an elevation of 4,000 feet, and are now a sterile, unproductive soil. The water will be conveyed from the reservoir in open canals to the lands which it is proposed to irrigate, and which comprise a large area of fertile soil. The reservoir will have a capacity to supply 100,000 acres, but it will be some time, of course, before so large an area will be irrigated. The entire cost of the dam and the diverting canal, however, will not exceed \$100,000, from which it will be seen that this is one of the cheapest irrigation enterprises in the State. "The lands that are to be irrigated from this reservoir lie at an elevation of 4,000 feet, and are now a sterile, unproductive soil. The water will be conveyed from the reservoir in open canals to the lands which it is proposed to irrigate, and which comprise a large area of fertile soil. The reservoir will have a capacity to supply 100,000 acres, but it will be some time, of course, before so large an area will be irrigated. The entire cost of the dam and the diverting canal, however, will not exceed \$100,000, from which it will be seen that this is one of the cheapest irrigation enterprises in the State."

"The manufacture of rubber shoes is not very much different from the manufacture of the American article. It is a developer to Denver News reporter. "It is about the color of molasses, and is of a spongy nature. "First it goes through a crushing or rolling process, and comes out in rough sheets and looks very much like a compound of hide. Then it is taken into a mill, where it is mixed with a small amount of vulcanizer. "After that it is cut up into small pieces, according to the parts of shoes which are to be made. The pieces are then pressed into lasts by the workmen in the same manner that leather is. "How much pure rubber is contained in the material is not known. About 70 per cent. The best Para gum costs ninety-five cents a pound, so you see rubber boots and shoes can not be made for nothing. In the smaller rubber shoe made there are about four ounces of pure rubber, and from that to probably four pounds in a pair of rubber boots. "Old rubbers are ground up, lined and all, into what we call rag carpet, and it is used for insoles. "The work is nearly all done by hand, in the factories, which employ a few children, men and women. A bootmaker gets twenty cents a pair for making them, and a good man can make from ten to twelve pairs a day. "There are between fifteen and twenty rubber boot and shoe factories in the country, which in total capacity cover 150,000 pairs of boots and shoes a day. "There are four factories having a capacity of over 25,000 pairs each, and one having a capacity of 10,000 pairs a day. It is a mystery where they all go to."

Get Rid of that Bad Taste. When you wake up in the morning with a bad taste in your mouth, with your throat and tongue dry and a yellow coating on your teeth and gums, don't imagine it was all caused by what you ate the night before. Of course everybody does that and everybody "swears off" from again eating such food. The trouble is that your liver is clogged, your kidneys are overworked and your bowels are not doing their duty. Take from three to ten of BRADFIELD'S PILLS and note the sudden and wonderful change in your system. Michigan farmers are being swindled by a glib canvasser, who is introducing a thistle exterminator at \$30 each a bottle.

"Unlucky Friday." The theory of the ill-fortune of Friday is contained in a book that was published on the day of the crucifixion, but the superstition was more ancient than the Christian era. A day corresponding to Friday, and which has been known since the beginning of the world, was marked "unlucky" on the Roman calendar, for on that day, B. C. 105, two Roman Consuls were slain and their army defeated by the Gauls at the battle of the Cremera. The ill-omened character of the day was probably readily admitted by Roman Christians. So far as America is concerned, the day has been anything but unfortunate. Columbus sailed on Friday, on Friday discovered land, on Friday started on his return voyage, and on Friday arrived at Pales. On the same day he reached Hispaniola and discovered the Continent of America. On Friday St. Augustine was founded, and on Friday Washington was born on Friday, and on the same day Bunker Hill was fortified, and the British evacuated the city of Concord and Cornwallis at Yorktown. Arnold's treason was discovered on Friday, and on Friday John Adams moved in Congress and declared his independence of Great Britain. The Friday superstition is like the prediction that "something is going to happen," men remember the few realizations and forget the numerous failures.

Cigarette Pictures. In the best schools of New York City the teachers seize the cigarette pictures which they find in the pockets of the school-boys. This is not because the boys get the pictures with cigarettes, for they do not, but it is because the young smokers are getting into the habit of playing with these picture cards. The most taking of the games is one who will give a picture to a boy who has a picture, and then the boy will give a picture to another boy, and so on. All which that way are won by him who throws them; the rest are lost. Seven hundred of these cards were confiscated in one primary school the other day.

Out of five bushels of potatoes brought into Marceline, Mo., the other day the smallest in the lot weighed two pounds.

ROYAL Baking Powder.

Highest of all in Leavening Power.—U. S. Gov't Report, Aug. 17, 1889.

ABSOLUTELY PURE

EXCELLENT ADVICE.

Words to be Remembered by Young Men Starting in Life.

The New York Herald has this address to graduates of the country's colleges for the year: "It is strong, and if a little course, is wise, and does the Herald credit:—Get rid of the idea that you 'know it all' at the start. The cold, hard fact is that you know nothing, and you must expect to have a good deal of useful information kicked into you. Fortune is not like an overripe apple which drops into your lap while you sit lazily under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up it will be under the branches. If you want that apple you must climb up the tree and tear your clothes while doing so. Microwave had a firm conviction that something would 'turn up' if he dawdled long enough, but all creation proves that the theory is incorrect. You can wagger all you like, but if anything turns up