

GEN. ROSECRANS CRITICALLY ILL.

Though His Physician Says He Has a Fighting Chance,

It Is Not Generally Believed the War Veteran Will Long Survive.

The Two-Year-Old Daughter of a Yuba City Citizen Fatally Burned, Her Clothing Catching Fire From a Burning Brush.

LOS ANGELES, March 4.—General William Starke Rosecrans is lying critically ill at his ranch home a few miles south of this city, suffering from nervous prostration. His critical condition can hardly be said to be due to old age, for he has not yet reached the fourscore mark.

FLANNELLY MURDER TRIAL.

The Deceased's Partner on the Witness Stand.

SAN JOSE, March 4.—When Thomas Flannelly's trial was resumed this morning the jurors asked that the court stenographer read the testimony of Mrs. Patrick Flannelly, which was given yesterday afternoon. The poor woman was so weak and grief-stricken that she could speak but little above a whisper. This reading occupied some time, and the only witness put on this morning was Dan Doyle, the partner of the defendant in the dairy ranch.

Doyle said that Flannelly told him on October 12th that his father had notified him that they must pay his rent. October 20th, in the evening, just before the killing, Doyle said a Constable served notice on them to vacate the ranch. He did not remember anything he said to the Constable except that he invited him in to have tea.

So far, of course, all the testimony is for the people. In this case, however, the defense brought out that Patrick Flannelly had owned a pistol and was in the habit of keeping it under the head of his bed. This little comfort is all they have had.

In the afternoon the examination of Doyle was resumed. He testified that Flannelly told him he had a pistol before he left the ranch to go to see his father, and that the defendant, while angry with the Constable for serving the papers, showed no ill-feeling toward his father.

Dr. James Crow, Coroner of San Mateo County, was the next witness. He arrived at Flannelly senior's house fifteen or twenty minutes after the shooting, and found the old man lying dead across the middle of the bed, with his feet on or near the floor. Witness also said that he found a pistol under the top mattress, with all the chambers loaded.

At 5 o'clock the trial was adjourned till Monday.

RAILROAD TO YUKON.

The Rothschilds Said to be at the Head of the Project.

JUNEAU (Alaska), March 4.—(via Port Townsend, March 4).—That the Rothschilds will attempt to build a railroad to the Yukon is now believed a certainty. The steamer Wakarusa, which arrived here last night, landed a party of over forty men and equipments for railroad building at Pyramid Harbor, near the Pyramid Harbor Salmon Cannery, last Sunday. S. Oronderok, the engineer in charge of the party and of the construction immediately ordered the men to locate and build houses upon the lands near the cannery. Lumber was taken along for the purpose. This place had been surveyed in January, and a plan of the district was submitted at that time to the Surveyor-General of Alaska. There was filed notice that a grant from the United States was wanted for a railroad terminal, trading post and factory, for a right of way, for a railway over the Dalton trail.

These transactions were all accomplished in the name of H. Bratner, who is known to the mining expert and mineral purchasing agent of the Rothschilds, and who a few weeks ago left for London to consult with the Rothschilds and to arrange with the Canadian Government for the privilege of crossing their territory.

Mr. Oronderok reports that the grades are several per cent. less on the Dalton trail than on the Canadian Pacific, and that the climatic difficulties are no more difficult to overcome.

It is said here by those who accompanied Bratner on his expedition to the interior that he thinks that in several places where excavations for roadbeds are necessary enough low-grade ore will be loosened to finance many miles of construction.

Twenty or thirty employees of the Treadwell Company who have learned of the objects of the expedition have chartered a tug and scow, and will leave as soon as the weather can get the stuff loaded for Pyramid Harbor to squat upon town lots, that being a profitable form of investment in Alaska at present.

FIRE AT SANTA CRUZ.

Causes Losses Estimated at Fifteen Thousand Dollars.

SANTA CRUZ, March 4.—At 1:30 this morning fire was discovered in the Bernheim building, owned by C. Hoffmann. After several hours' hard work it was brought under control, but the upper part of the building was badly damaged by the flames, while the stores on the ground floors were injured by water.

The fire is supposed to have been caused by a lighted cigar or cigarette dropped among the paraphernalia of Native Sons' Hall, where a meeting of Foresters was held, followed by a "smoker." The loss is estimated at \$15,000, fully insured.

INDIVIDUAL LOSSES ARE AS FOLLOWS: A. C. SNYDER, dry goods, \$2,500; S. J. STRAUSS, dry goods, \$3,000; A. BAGGE, confectionery, \$500; Dr. F. W. BLISS, dentist, \$2,000; Native Sons, \$1,000; C. Hoffmann, \$5,000.

The Native Sons' Hall and club-rooms are a total wreck.

DEFENSE FOR SAN DIEGO.

Work of Placing the Third Gun for Ballast Point.

SAN DIEGO, March 4.—The third gun for the fortifications at Ballast Point is now at the end of the Spreckels wharf, and a force of men were at work to-day getting ready to move it upon a large lighter. It will be put on board the lighter at high tide to-morrow morning and towed to the fortifications. All the material for the fortifications at Point Loma is now here and all that remains is to mount the guns, the last carriage having been delivered last week.

There is now a force of between thirty and forty men from Battery D making roadways and other preparations for the handling and mounting of the guns. The original intentions of the Government were to allow the emplacements and cement work at the point fully six months to set and mature before the guns and carriages with their enormous weight were put in place, but present orders are to hasten the work at least to a point where the guns can be put in promptly if needed.

Boilers Refuse to Work.

SAN FRANCISCO, March 4.—The Naval Reserve steamer Pinta, which started for San Diego last night got no further than Black Point. Had she gone outside Golden Gate she would probably now be drifting about on the ocean with a limited spread of canvas. The Pinta's boilers refused to work and steam escaped as fast as it could be made. It will be ten days before the Pinta can be put into condition to warrant taking her to sea. She had just been turned over to the Naval Reserve by the Mare Island navy yard, and was supposed to be in perfect condition.

Brookliss Must Stand Trial.

GENOA (Nev.), March 4.—The motion to dismiss the case against Sheriff John Brookliss of Douglas County, who was indicted by the Grand Jury for willful misconduct in office in permitting lynchers to hang Uber on December 24th, was to-day overruled by Judge Mack. The motion has been on the grounds that the facts alleged did not constitute willful misconduct, but felony. Judge Mack decided that they constituted either or both.

Incendiary Fire at Placerville.

PLACERVILLE, March 4.—The Pacific Box Factory and Planings Mills in this city were burned down this morning at 4 o'clock. The loss is estimated at \$60,000; insurance, \$3,000. The fire is supposed to have been the work of an incendiary, as it is the second time the factory was burned within four years.

Two Days Without Food.

SANTA CRUZ, March 4.—Two Indians from Victoria, B. C., who belong to the sealing schooner City of San Diego, arrived here to-day in an Indian dugout. They deserted from the vessel near Pigeon Point, and got into a boat near Placerville and were two days without food or drink.

Fatal Accident at Santa Monica.

SANTA MONICA, March 4.—Edward H. Clark, only son of J. H. Clark, formerly a prominent business man and capitalist of Minneapolis, Minn., to-day fell from the roof of the Women's Christian Temperance Union building, breaking his neck and killing him instantly.

Harvest Will Not Appeal.

SANTA CRUZ, March 4.—Ex-Constable J. H. Harvest, convicted of murder, his victim being a barber named Harris, will be taken to San Quentin to-morrow to begin a fourteen years' sentence, having decided not to appeal.

A Child Fatally Burned.

YUBA CITY, March 4.—The two-year-old daughter of Mark Plase was fatally burned this afternoon, her clothing catching fire from a burning brush pile.

COAST AND EASTERN RACES.

DOG A STRONG FACTOR AT THE INGLISIDE TRACK.

Only One Event Decided With an Unobstructed View of the Horses—At New Orleans.

SAN FRANCISCO, March 4.—Fog was again a strong factor at Ingliside to-day. One event only was decided with the spectators enjoying an unobstructed view of the horses. The favorite met with three reverses. Tod Sloan piloted three and Thorpe two to a win.

One mile, Twinkle Twink (Thorpe), 7 to 10; won; Durward (Shields), 8 to 1; second; Whirlwind II. (H. Martin), 8 to 1; third. Time—1:44. Santa Clara, Salas, Rockefeller, Terra Cyca, Manigaster and Jay Wheeler also ran.

Six furlongs, selling. Panther (T. Sloan), 4 to 1; won; Prompter (Clawson), 5 to 2; second; Moringa (Devlin), 12 to 1; third. Time—1:15. Allie Bell, Bonnie Ione, Formella, Rio Frio and Nova also ran.

Four furlongs, Gold Scratch (Thorpe), 12 to 1; won; Primavera (E. Jones), 7 to 1; second; Moss Brae (Clawson), 10 to 1; third. Time—0:50. Ach, Formero and Lobos also ran.

One mile, selling, Refugee (H. Brown), 10 to 1; won; Rey Del Tierra (H. Martin), 2 to 1; second; Fashion Plate (Conley), 4 to 1; third. Time—1:43. Serena, Mascero, Claudiana, Somro and Mamie Scott also ran.

Six furlongs, selling, Hurley Burly (T. Sloan), 4 to 1; won; Bonito (Gray), 12 to 1; second; Chihuahua (Clawson), 15 to 1; third. Time—1:14. Zamar II, Caliente, Montallade and Sybaris also ran.

Five and a sixteenth, handicap, Oster Joe (T. Sloan), 17 to 20; won; Giovanni (O'Connor), 12 to 1; second; Fensovannah (Clawson), 4 to 1; third. Time—1:48. Greyhurst also ran.

RESULTS AT NEW ORLEANS.

NEW ORLEANS, March 4.—Weather fine; track lumpy. Results: Six furlongs, Belle of Fordham won; Scriver second; Duchess of York third. Time—1:19. Half mile, Nellie Prince won; Pansy H. second; Lafayette third. Time—0:52. One mile, Mount Washington won; Dr. Williams second; Rockwood third. Time—1:48. One mile, handicap, Elkin won; David second; Tabouret third. Time—1:45. Seven furlongs, Nabob won; Domsie second; The Doctor third. Time—1:33.

CARPENTER HAS A GRIEVANCE.

Has Filed a Suit Against Those Who Prosecuted Him.

Asks the Superior Court of San Joaquin to Grant Him Heavy Damages.

The Rothschilds to Build a Railroad Through Alaska to the Yukon, Probably Over the Dalton Trail.

STOCKTON, March 4.—A. H. Carpenter, one of the attorneys accused of grand larceny, brought suit for damages to-day against District Attorney Nutter, who prosecuted him; justice of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny; J. W. Wernberg and Louis Sapiro, who swore to the complaint, and B. F. Langford and W. H. De Vries, Mr. Nutter's bondsmen. The action is against the damages for \$15,000 the amount of the Peace Parker, who held him to answer on two charges of grand larceny;