

How This Bank Can Help You

Very few people have a clear idea of the inner workings of a bank. Further, they are reluctant to ask, for fear of "troubling" the busy officials.

Our officers and clerks are here to attend to customers, and answer questions courteously; but for people who want extensive information, we have printed a booklet called "Banking Made Plain" which we will present you on request.

Savings deposits in this bank draw 4 1/2% interest, compounded semi-annually.

THE BANK OF HAWAII, LTD. Fort and Merchant Streets

Capital and Surplus, \$1,000,000.00

Claus Spreckels. Wm. G. Irwin

CLAUS SPRECKELS & CO., BANKERS

HONOLULU T. H.

San Francisco Agents—The Nevada National Bank of San Francisco.

DRAW EXCHANGE ON SAN FRANCISCO—The Nevada National Bank of San Francisco.

LONDON—Union of London & Smith's Bank, Ltd.

NEW YORK—American Exchange National Bank.

CHICAGO—Corn Exchange National Bank.

PARIS—Credit Lyonnais.

BERLIN—Dresdner Bank.

HONGKONG AND YOKOHAMA—The Hongkong and Shanghai Banking Corporation.

NEW ZEALAND AND AUSTRALIA—Bank of New Zealand, and Bank of Australasia.

VICTORIA AND VANCOUVER—Bank of British North America.

TRANSACT A GENERAL BANKING AND EXCHANGE BUSINESS.

Deposits Received, Loans Made on Approved Security, Commercial and Travellers' Credits Issued, Bills of Exchange Bought and Sold.

COLLECTING PROMPTLY ACCOUNTED FOR.

THE YOKOHAMA SPECIE BANK LIMITED.

Capital (Paid up).....Yen 24,000,000

Reserve Fund.....Yen 15,940,000

HEAD OFFICE, YOKOHAMA.

The bank buys and receives for collection bills of exchange, issues Drafts and Letters of Credit, and transacts a general banking business.

The Bank receives Local deposits and Head Office Deposits for fixed periods.

Local Deposits \$25 and upwards for one year at rate of 4% per annum.

Head Office Deposits Yen 25 and upwards for one-half year, one year, two years or three years at rate of 5 1/2% per annum.

Particulars to be obtained on application.

Honolulu Office—67 S. King Street, P. O. Box 168.

M. TOKIEDA, Manager.

ESTABLISHED IN 1830.

BISHOP & CO. BANKERS

Commercial and Travellers' Letters of Credit issued on the Bank of California and The London Joint Stock Bank, Limited, London.

Correspondents for the American Express Company, and Thos. Cook & Son.

Interest allowed on term and Savings Bank Deposits.

Have You Joined Our Watch Club?

NOW IS THE TIME You will save by doing so

Come and see us about it

J. A. R. Vieira & Co. JEWELERS

115 Hotel St.

THE SENATE FIGHT ON LIQUOR BILL

THE SENATE. NINETEENTH DAY. Afternoon Session.

By way of prelude a report of bills printed from the Printing Committee was presented by Brown.

The House sent in its bill relating to holidays, which passed first reading.

THE LIQUOR BILL. Moore renewed his motion to take up the substitute bill of the Judiciary Committee relating to the manufacture and sale of intoxicating liquor.

Fairchild understood more communications were expected from Hawaii on the subject. In that case they might as well defer action on the bill.

Moore would like to proceed with the bill. He believed some members from Hawaii were pledged against any change in the law. As this was the second reading the Senate might go ahead and save time.

There being no motion to the contrary, President Smith directed the reading to proceed.

Fairchild took occasion to point out that in the printed report he was represented as saying in noncurring that he was pledged to change instead of the contrary.

Moore moved the passage of section 1 to amend sections 4, 9, 17, 24, 31 and 35 of the law of 1907. Carried.

The sections as amended were then read, Moore moving the passage of each paragraph.

McCarthy, on the license clauses, explained that the saloon fees were unchanged, but hotel and restaurant licenses were raised from \$500 to \$750 where Sunday and all hours were included. He also moved to strike out the privilege of election hours selling given in the bill as well as the present law. Carried.

Moore stated that the \$250 annual fee, for a period of ten years, for manufacturing intoxicating liquors wholly from fruit grown in the Territory—the previous section providing a fee of \$5 for liquors made wholly from Hawaiian fruits—was intended to meet an emergency that had sprung in the Territory.

Kalama said that the Legislature two years ago passed a law to encourage the wine industry in this Territory. Would not this section destroy the benefit of that law?

McCarthy answered that it was not intended to have any effect on local vine makers. It was intended for persons having Federal distillers' licenses at \$5 a year, who made all sorts of intoxicants out of drugs.

Chillingworth asked if the bill would not apply to the makers of rum from sugar cane.

Moore said the manufacture of rum came under a different proposition entirely. The United States Internal Revenue Department prescribed conditions of manufacture in such cases.

Chillingworth insisted that the bill would apply to the manufacture of rum from sugar cane or beer from hops.

McCarthy now recalled that the section was put in for the manufacture of sake. Without it the brewery paying \$250 or whatever it is would be subjected to unfair competition with the Japanese manufacturers of sake under a \$5 annual license.

The committee's amendment carried.

McCarthy explained the elimination, by the majority of the Ways and Means Committee, of the provision requiring good moral character of an applicant for a license. Of course the commissioners would refuse a license to a man of bad moral character, so that the clause was a waste of words and hence was eliminated.

In the voting the opposition members did not take the trouble to raise their hands for the contrary. So it looked like a walkover for the liquorites until the enacting clause was read.

SMITH'S HEAVY KNOCKS. President Smith, calling Kalama to the chair, took the floor and moved that the enacting clause be indefinitely postponed. Knudsen seconded the motion and the president went on to say in substance:

"It may be useless, from all the indications, to oppose the passage of this bill, but I feel that I would not be doing my duty to the people of this Territory if I did not raise my voice in protest.

"We all know that the regulation of intoxicating liquors is a difficult matter in every country. The use of intoxicating drink is a curse to any community. It has been ruinous to the Hawaiian people.

"I do not believe in prohibition because I do not think it can be carried out. An attempt to prohibit the traffic in Hawaii at the present time would do more harm than good.

"The law of 1907 is recognized as having been a great step in advance. It has been a benefit to the community, especially to the Hawaiian people. It has diminished the number of places where liquor was sold bringing misery to hundreds of people all over the islands.

"We have received numberless protests against any change in the law—protests from Hawaii, protests from Kauai, protests from Oahu and today a protest from Maui—all praying that we should not make any change in the

present liquor law. Every paper received in this house on the subject since the introduction of this bill has been against any change. The mothers, the wives, the children have protested. Not a single name has appeared on any petition from Hawaii to Kauai asking that this law be changed. Not a voice, except from the liquor interest, has been heard in favor of this bill. But we have a volume of protests against any change.

"We are here as representatives of the people. Not a voice has been heard here in favor of the bill excepting from the liquor interests, but a general acclaim of voices against it. And what are we doing? I think it would be impossible for us to get a perfect liquor law, one against which fault could not be found. Yet after years of experience a law was enacted at last session which has proved a great improvement. It has protected even the liquor interests in a reasonable manner. I know that there are hardships in the existing law, yet some of the seeming hardships are really a benefit to honest liquor dealers. It is not however for the benefit of the liquor traffic that a law is required, but for a more wholesome regulation of the liquor traffic. It is known to all that the law as it stands has been for the best interests of these islands—for law and order, for the protection of women and children. Why should we change this? Where is the demand? Has there been a single request from any of the counties for a change? I say it with all respect to those who are acting in behalf of this bill that they are acting against their own interests. I know they say they can look out for their own affairs, but they are making a great mistake in trying to force this bill through.

"I suppose it is no use to talk but I want it to be a matter of record, seeing that we are confronted with protests from a large proportion of the people. There is that petition from Hilo representing 110 churches, meaning a large number of people, and whatever their profession or creed their voice is entitled to be heard. There are protests from Honolulu, every organization that has spoken being against the bill, but not a single word, not a single protest, except from the liquor dealers, on the other side. I call for the ayes and noes.

McCarthy REPLIED. "I agree with a great deal that Mr. Smith says and that the present law is a great improvement over the former law. But when the present law came to a final passage at last session things were done hurriedly.

"I had nothing to do with the drafting of this bill as it was originally introduced. I certainly was opposed to that part of the original bill which knocked out the local option feature.

"I agree with those protests but they were made to the original bill. We have in the amended bill raised the fee for hotels and restaurants in Honolulu and Hilo, leaving such small places as Haleiwa untouched. Asiatics have been selling flasks at fifteen cents, debauching the Hawaiians, and we have made it that they cannot sell less than a gallon at wholesale.

"If the present law is wrong it should be amended. I believe we all have sufficient confidence in the integrity of the courts to believe that they will do right on appeals.

"I would not have brought in a bill but it is here and I regard it as an improvement. The whole matter is the right of appeal. I was one of those who put in the present law the section giving the board absolute powers. I do not care to say why I put it in. It was taken from the law made for the police commissioners of San

Francisco, but they are elected by the people."

FAIRCHILD'S REASONS. Fairchild spoke as follows in opposition to the bill:

"As a former member of the board of license commissioners for the island of Kauai I wish to make a few remarks. I have been told one of the reasons this bill was introduced was partly on account of arbitrary action on the island of Kauai. I do not believe it. I was glad to see the other day that the grand jury of Kauai was against any change in the law. It is not so much a matter of law as one of men. The bill should be deferred to hear from all the islands.

"I do not think all the other islands should be subjected to a change undesirable on their part to meet alleged arbitrary action in Honolulu. It seems to me that if this law is to be changed, it should be under some condition of being left primarily to the choice of the different counties.

"I shall vote for indefinite postponement in the hope that some way may be found to adjust difficulties of any one island. If Hawaii, Maui and Kauai do not want any change, why force us to submit to change in a law that we feel is satisfactory to all parties?"

OAHU SATISFIED. President Smith said:

"I wish to state, and I believe I am correct in stating, that the same sentiment prevails on the island of Oahu as has been shown to exist on the other islands. There is not one voice outside of those asking for this bill in their own interests in favor of any change. Not a voice from Hawaii, Maui, Kauai or Oahu. It is not the County of Oahu which is asking for this.

"The motion to indefinitely postpone may not be the right way to deal with it. I believe that if we act as we ought to act we should delay the consideration of the bill a little further. I will withdraw my motion to indefinitely postpone and make a motion that the further consideration of this bill be postponed until two weeks from next Saturday.

"If the bill cannot stand a little more delay, if it cannot stand on its own merits, then it should fall.

THE DECISION. Moore addressed the chair but Vice President Kalama ruled that a motion was not debatable. President Smith called for the ayes and noes, and the motion to defer the bill to March 27 was carried on this vote:

Ayes—Baker, Chillingworth, Fairchild, Kalama, Knudsen, Makekani, Quinn, Smith—8.

Noes—Brown, Coelho, Harvey, McCarthy, Moore, Robinson, Woods—7.

OTHER BUSINESS. Senate bill raising the salary of the secretary of the Mayor of Honolulu was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Senate bill relating to the practice of medicine and surgery was referred to the Health Committee.

Senate bill for "a specific tax of two cents per share upon the stock transferred" was referred to the Taxation Committee.

Senate bill relating to mechanics' and materialmen's lien was referred to the Judiciary Committee.

Coelho introduced a bill to provide for a public administrator and to define his duties.

McCarthy introduced a resolution for \$100,000 for schoolhouses on Oahu, and Robinson one for \$11,500 for schoolhouses on Maui, which were tabled for consideration with the appropriation bill. It was explained that they were introduced at the instance of the Superintendent of Public Instruction.

Coelho renewed on a question of privilege his unsuccessful motion of the morning to raise his resolution on the Waialua water works and refer it to the Maui delegation.

"Is there any way of getting at the facts?" Robinson asked.

"There is a way," Coelho replied. "The honorable member may not know all the intricacies of legislation. Our people want the matter settled."

The motion carried.

At 3:30 the Senate adjourned.

SPORTS SONNY GAY TALKS MONEY

SPORTING EDITOR STAR.—In the Advertiser of March 9, under the heading "A Challenge for Sunrise," I notice that some Ewa people would like to match Stranger alias Frank against Sunrise. I wish to notify the Ewa sports that I accept their challenge and will run Sunrise a quarter of a mile against their pony on May 30 for a purse of \$300, and more if desired. I shall require that a forfeit of \$100 be posted at the time preliminary arrangements are made. The remaining \$200 can be put up two days before the race. Both horses to carry 120 pounds. I should like to hear from the Ewa sports before March 18 and if I don't hear by then my horse will remain in the manie pasture where he is at present. Now put up Ewa sports. Aroney talks!

SONNY GAY.

SPORTDRIFT

The High School will hold its interclass meet at the Boys' Field this afternoon.

The soccer players aboard H. M. S. Cambrian may play a game with the 5th Cavalry.

The rain has put a damper on cricket prospects.

The regular monthly meeting of the Chinese Athletic Club will be held tonight.

YOU MAY HAVE NOTICED. That your face gets red, you have a fullness in the chest, breath comes short and quick and feel a sinking sensation, when you walk fast, go up stairs, are excited or frightened. This is the first stage of heart disease, and must have immediate attention. Don't delay. Then Dr. Miles' New Heart Cure, which will strengthen the nerves of the heart and restore it to health. Money back if first bottle fails to benefit.

Oahu Railway TIME TABLE

OUTWARD. For Waianae, Waialua, Kahuku and Way Stations—9:15 a. m., \*3:20 p. m. For Pearl City, Ewa Mill and Way Stations—7:30 a. m., \*9:15 a. m., \*11:15 a. m., \*2:15 p. m., \*5:15 p. m., \*9:30 p. m., 11 p. m. For Wahiawa—9:15 a. m. and \*5:15 p. m.

INWARD. Arrive Honolulu from Kahuku, Waialua and Waianae—8:36 a. m., 5:31 p. m. Arrive Honolulu from Ewa Mill and Pearl City—7:46 a. m., \*8:36 a. m., \*10:38 a. m., \*1:40 p. m., \*4:31 p. m., 5:31 p. m., \*7:30 p. m. Arrive Honolulu from Wahiawa—8:36 a. m., and \*5:31 p. m. The Haleiwa Limited, a two-hour train (only first-class tickets honored), leaves Honolulu every Sunday at 8:22 a. m.; returning, arrives in Honolulu at 10:10 p. m. The Limited stops only at Pearl City and Waianae. \*Daily. \*Ex. Sunday. \*Sunday Only. G. P. DENISON, F. C. SMITH, Superintendent. G. P. & T. A.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States, For The Territory of Hawaii. The United States of America, plaintiff

vs. John A. McCandless, et al., defendant.

Action brought in said District Court, and the Complaint filed in the office of the Clerk of said District Court, in Honolulu.

THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, GREETING:

To JOHN A. McCandless; ELLA McCandless, wife of JOHN A. McCandless; ELIZABETH K. PRATT; GORDRICH PRATT; KATE KENNEDY; MARIA BREWER; EMMA PRATT; LEVI GORDRIDGE PRATT; EMILY G. PRATT; ELIZABETH S. NOYES; SARAH E. PRATT; WILLIAM PRATT; ROBERT PRATT; EVELYN PRATT and FRANCES PRATT, unknown heirs at law of FRANKLIN S. PRATT, deceased; JAMES H. MONSARRAT, Trustee for SUSIE F. CARTWRIGHT; SUSIE F. CARTWRIGHT; HATTIE M. DAMON; SAMUEL M. DAMON; JAMES BLACK; MARY PURPLE; JOHN BROWN and JANE BLUE, unknown owners and claimants, and the TERRITORY OF HAWAII, Defendants.

You Are Hereby Directed to Appear and answer the Complaint in an action entitled as above, brought against you in the District Court of the United States, in and for the Territory of Hawaii, within 20 days from and after service upon you of a certified copy of Plaintiff's Petition herein, together with a certified copy of this Demurrer.

And you are hereby notified that unless you appear and answer as above required, the said plaintiff will take judgment for any money or damages demanded in the complaint, as arising upon contract, or it will apply to the Court for any other relief demanded in the Complaint.

WITNESS the Honorable SANFORD B. DOLE, Judge of said District Court, this 10th day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eight and of the Independence of the United States the one hundred and thirty-third.

A. E. MURPHY, Clerk.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, as Territory of Hawaii, City of Honolulu. I, A. E. MURPHY, Clerk of the District Court of the United States of America, in and for the Territory and District of Hawaii, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the original Summons in the Case of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. JOHN A. McCandless et al., as the same remains of record and on file in the office of the Clerk of said Court.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said District Court this 10th day of December, A. D. 1908.

A. E. MURPHY, Clerk of United States District Court Territory of Hawaii.

Endorsement No. 58. District Court of the U. S. for the Territory of Hawaii. The United States of America vs. John A. McCandless, et al. Summons Robert W. Breckons, Plaintiff's Attorney. Filed December 12, 1908.

A. E. MURPHY, Clerk.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE United States, for the Territory of Hawaii. The United States of America, plaintiff, vs. Joseph O. Carter, et al., defendants.

Action brought in said District Court, and the Complaint filed in the office of the Clerk of said District Court in Honolulu.

WITNESS the Honorable SANFORD B. DOLE, Judge of said District Court, this Fifth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eight and of the Independence of the United States the one hundred and thirty-third.

A. E. MURPHY, Clerk.

By..... Deputy Clerk.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss. Territory of Hawaii, City of Honolulu. I, A. E. MURPHY, Clerk of the District Court of the United States of America, in and for the Territory and District of Hawaii, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the original Petition and Summons in case of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. J. O. CARTER, et al., as the same remains of record and on file in the office of the Clerk of said Court.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said District Court this 5th day of December, A. D. 1908.

A. E. MURPHY, Clerk of United States District Court, Territory of Hawaii.

Endorsement No. 57. District Court of the U. S. for the Territory of Hawaii. United States of America vs. Joseph O. Carter, et al. Summons, Robert W. Breckons, Plaintiff's Attorney.

By..... Deputy Clerk.

FISH FOR LENT

SALT AND SMOKED FISH FISH OF EVERY VARIETY in Tins

HENRY MAY & CO., LTD. Leading Grocers Phone 22

called HIRAMA, deceased; KEKOA-NUI; HENRY GILES; ANNA GILES; SAMUEL GILES, JANE GILES and PETER GILES, unknown heirs at law of HENRY GILES, deceased; MELE; PAOA; JULIA PAOA; HENRY PAOA; DAVID PIHKOI OKIU; MARY K. HARBOTTLE; MARIA K. PIHKOI; JOHN SMITH and JAMES THOMPSON, unknown heirs at law of MELE, deceased; ELIZABETH K. PRATT; GORDRICH PRATT; KATE KENNEDY; MARIA BREWER; EMMA PRATT; LEVI GORDRIDGE PRATT; EMILY G. PRATT; ELIZABETH S. NOYES; SARAH F. WALSH; WILLIAM PRATT; ROBERT PRATT; EVELYN PRATT and FRANCES PRATT, unknown heirs at law of FRANKLIN S. PRATT, deceased; JAMES H. MONSARRAT, Trustee for SUSIE F. CARTWRIGHT; SUSIE F. CARTWRIGHT; KATE L. VIDA; SARAH SAVIDGE; CHARLES J. LUDWIGSEN; SUSIE E. LUDWIGSEN; MARY PORTER, MARY ALICE PORTER, a minor; J. H. MACPHERSON, whose true and full name is unknown; ABRAHAM S. HUMPHREYS; CHARLES F. PETERSON; EMMA DREIER; EMILE THOMAS DREIER; ADELE DREIER; AUGUST DREIER Jr.; EDWARD DREIER, DOROTHEA REINCKE, ANNA MARKHAM; W. A. KINNEY, Guardian ad litem of EMILE DREIER; F. A. SCHAEFER and CECIL BROWN, Executors and Trustees of the last Will and Testament of AUGUST DREIER, deceased; EMMA DREIER, Guardian of the persons of the minor children of AUGUST DREIER, born of her body; F. A. SCHAEFER, Guardian of the property of the minor children of AUGUST DREIER, born of the body of EMMA DREIER; PHILIP OFFERGELT; JOHN OFFERGELT and MARY OFFERGELT unknown heirs at law of PHILIP OFFERGELT; LILLIANET L. COOKE; A. F. COOKE; EMILY F. DAY; SEELY I. SHAW; ANNA L. SHAW, wife of SEELY I. SHAW; GEORGE F. McLEOD; THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HAWAII, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the Congress of the United States of America; FRANK E. THOMPSON; WILLIAM HENRY; THE BANK OF HAWAII, LIMITED, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the Territory of Hawaii; THE TERRITORY OF HAWAII; THE HAWAIIAN ELECTRIC COMPANY, LIMITED, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the Territory of Hawaii; MUTUAL TELEPHONE COMPANY, LIMITED, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the Territory of Hawaii; COMMERCIAL PACIFIC CABLE COMPANY, a corporation organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York; EDWARD M. WALSH; HARRIET BROWN WALSH; JOHANNA ADELE DREIER; JOHN BROWN; JAMES BLACK, MARY PURPLE and JANE BLUE, unknown owners and claimants. DAVID NOHOLO; ENOCH JOHNSON; HENRY SMITH, S. M. KANAKANUI; WILLIAM R. CASTLE; J. J. SULLIVAN; and COIT HOBORN; claimants, Defendants;

You Are Hereby Directed to Appear, and answer the Complaint in an action entitled as above, brought against you in the District Court of the United States, in and for the Territory of Hawaii, within 20 days from and after service upon you of a certified copy of Plaintiff's Petition herein, together with a certified copy of this Summons.

And you are hereby notified that unless you appear and answer as above required, the said plaintiff will take judgment for any money or damages demanded in the complaint, as arising upon contract, or it will apply to the Court for any other relief demanded in the Complaint.

WITNESS the Honorable SANFORD B. DOLE, Judge of said District Court, this Fifth day of December, in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and eight and of the Independence of the United States the one hundred and thirty-third.

A. E. MURPHY, Clerk.

By..... Deputy Clerk.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ss. Territory of Hawaii, City of Honolulu. I, A. E. MURPHY, Clerk of the District Court of the United States of America, in and for the Territory and District of Hawaii, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a full, true and correct copy of the original Petition and Summons in case of UNITED STATES OF AMERICA vs. J. O. CARTER, et al., as the same remains of record and on file in the office of the Clerk of said Court.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said District Court this 5th day of December, A. D. 1908.

A. E. MURPHY, Clerk of United States District Court, Territory of Hawaii.

Endorsement No. 57. District Court of the U. S. for the Territory of Hawaii. United States of America vs. Joseph O. Carter, et al. Summons, Robert W. Breckons, Plaintiff's Attorney.

By..... Deputy Clerk.