

BY H. W. SEVERANCE.

ON WEDNESDAY, MAY 10! At 10 o'clock, A. M., at Sales Room.

INVOICES MERCHANDISE, FURNITURE AND A VARIETY OF SUNDRIES.

HAWAIIAN PACKET LINE FOR SAN FRANCISCO.

Regular Dispatch Line FOR SAN FRANCISCO!

Whistler Commodore John Paty.

FALL OF 1865! FOR BOSTON OR NEW BEDFORD!

C. BREWER & Co's LINE!

Ceylon

Iolani

FOR SALE! A FINE DOUBLE-SEATED ROCK.

FLOUR

BRICK AND CEMENT.

AMERICAN BEEF

FIRE BRICKS!

NOTICE

HEAVY RUSSIA DUCK!

STEEL QUOITS!

COAL FOR PLANTATION USE.

NEW BOOKS

RECEIVED FROM New York via San Francisco!

MOTLEY'S DUTCH REPUBLICS, 3 VOLS.

NEW BOOKS RECEIVED FROM New York via San Francisco!

BY J. H. COLE.

ON TUESDAY, - - - MAY 9! At 10 o'clock, A. M., at Sales Room.

AN ASSORTMENT OF MERCHANDISE, REGATTA SHIRTS, WOOL SHIRTS, BLANKETS, &c. ALSO A LOT FURNITURE.

Hawaiian Steam GENERAL INTER-ISLAND NAV. CO. The Steamer

'KILAUEA!' On MONDAY, - - - May 15th.

Returning Saturday Morning.

Best Quality Cement and Lime.

BOLLES & CO!

Have Received by Bark WHISTLER, Commodore Paty,

FINE PILOT BREAD, CO. & CO. FLOUR.

FRAZIER RIVER SALMON!

BOLLES & CO!

Plaster and Cement.

Assorted Meats, CLAMS, LOBSTERS, OYSTERS, &c.

TEAS.

KEROSENE OIL.

Paints and Paint Oil.

CANVAS.

Seizing Stuff and Marline.

GUNNY BAGS.

Philadelphia Hams in Pickle.

CRUSHED SUGAR.

German and Manila Cigars.

NEW AND DESIRABLE STATIONERY!

BOOK STORE!

STANDARD BOOKS!

THE PACIFIC Commercial Advertiser.

SATURDAY, MAY 6. (For the Pacific Commercial Advertiser.)

Bishop Stanley's Pastoral Address.

The Bishop says that "this denominational system without creeds, without formularies, is no match for the compact and solid organization of Rome."

"KILAUEA!"

On MONDAY, - - - May 15th.

Returning Saturday Morning.

Best Quality Cement and Lime.

BOLLES & CO!

Have Received by Bark WHISTLER, Commodore Paty,

FINE PILOT BREAD, CO. & CO. FLOUR.

FRAZIER RIVER SALMON!

BOLLES & CO!

Plaster and Cement.

Assorted Meats, CLAMS, LOBSTERS, OYSTERS, &c.

TEAS.

KEROSENE OIL.

Paints and Paint Oil.

CANVAS.

Seizing Stuff and Marline.

GUNNY BAGS.

Philadelphia Hams in Pickle.

CRUSHED SUGAR.

German and Manila Cigars.

NEW AND DESIRABLE STATIONERY!

BOOK STORE!

Church Missionary Society for the Roman Catholic Propaganda appear to have been hampered by any such limitations.

In consequence of the above consultation, as is stated in the published sermon, representations were made to the Bishop of California.

It will be observed that the Bishop's statements fully confirm the main point contended for, viz, that the idea of sending out a Bishop did not originate with the King of Hawaii, but England.

Several months after Mr. Hopkins' self-constituted committee had been set up, Dr. Anderson wrote to His Grace, the late Archbishop of Canterbury, stating strongly the objections to such a scheme.

At his Majesty's request, on page 24, soon after his Majesty's letter to Queen Victoria had been received, in April, 1861, a debate took place in the House of Convocation of Prelates of the province of Canterbury, on the subject of Missionary Bishops.

It may be that the opposition of the Bishop of London to others only related to matters of form, but it seems that five months elapsed after the nomination of the Bishop before the Royal License was obtained.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

It is interesting to note that the Bishop of London, who is the only one of the English Bishops who has been appointed to a foreign see, is the only one who has been appointed to a foreign see.

in his path must ride with him, or lie flat, or retreat; but at all events recognize that it is they, and not he, who are to move out of the appointed course.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

Canon Amosson.—The fact is, that Hood's retreat has restored to the Federals nearly all they had lost by Sherman's countermove in districts where, in our judgment, the issue will be eventually decided by results obtained on the seacoast, or the maritime States.

A CERUOUS PHASE OF THE YELVERTON MARRIAGE CASE.—The case of the Yelverton marriage did not, of course, excite as much interest here as it did with the British public, for with them the persons were known, and their positions in society gave importance to the case additional interest and importance.

It will be recalled that the case went up by appeal from the Courts of Scotland, where the lady had obtained a decree pronouncing her the wife of Major Yelverton, to the House of Lords, by which the decree was reversed, and she was ordered to abandon the contest, even after this decision of the Court of last resort against her.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

The answer to these arguments was that in this particular case there were no third parties, and that the fact of the bigamy would not be a bar to a second marriage, if it were proved to have been a marriage, but on the second one. To this it was rejoined that you might say that, but you could not get rid of the fact that, if Major Yelverton should marry the first wife, he would be guilty of bigamy, and the effect of his marrying in the affirmative would be to prove him guilty of bigamy.

OFFICE STATIONERY

SETS BANK ACCOUNT BOOKS, including Journals, Cash, Receipts, &c. All of best construction, and superior to anything else of the kind.

OFFICE PAPER!

Letter and official envelopes, including all the latest styles, and of the most superior quality.

1865.

FOREIGN PERIODICALS.

NOTWITHSTANDING THE RISE IN THE PRICE OF PERIODICALS, we have endeavored to supply our subscribers at the old rates, at least until further notice, in some instances, however, we are obliged to raise the price of our periodicals, and we are sorry to do so.

Subscriptions Payable Always in Advance

Table listing various newspapers and magazines with their subscription rates, including The New York Herald, The Times, The Illustrated London News, and others.

CALIFORNIA PAPERS.

The following are received by Express regularly, and general agents are invited to subscribe, postage paid, by me at the annexed rates.

Hawaiian Marriage Certificates.

Price \$1. PER DOZEN. For sale by H. M. WHITNEY.