

No North no South, no East, no West under the Constitution; but a sacred maintenance of the common bond and true devotion to the common brotherhood.

REMOVED.

The State Sentinel Office is removed to the Tomlinson Building, opposite the Wright House. Sign of the Pierce and King Pole.

GREAT DEMOCRATIC MASS MEETING AT INDIANAPOLIS, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER 13th.

Hon. Stephen A. Douglas, of Illinois, will be in attendance and will address the meeting. Gen. Sam. Houston, of Texas; Gen. Wm. O. Butler, of Ky.; Gen. J. O. Lane, of Oregon; Col. John B. Weller, of California; Gen. Picher, of Ky.; Hon. Jesse D. Bright, Hon. John W. Davis, Hon. A. P. Willard, Hon. John L. Robinson, Hon. C. L. Danham, Hon. Willis A. Gorman, Hon. D. Mace, Hon. G. N. Fitch, and other distinguished speakers have been invited, and we have the assurance that most of them will be in attendance.

Come, let us have a grand council. Let the people all come—come in cars—in wagons—on horse-back, and on foot, and bring your wives and children.

- W. J. BROWN, Chairman.
J. P. DRAKE.
A. G. PORTER.
WM. STEWART.
N. BOLTON.
A. F. MORRISON.
JOHN GAIN.
A. D. GALL.
State Central Committee.

A REQUEST.

Will our Democratic brethren of the press, please examine the State and Electoral ticket, at the head of their columns, and see that their names are right? See that Samuel A. Hall is inserted for Elector in the Ninth District, and that the name of Andrew Davidson, one of the candidates for Judge, is not spelled Davidson. Attend to this.

Arrangements for the Mass Meeting.

The procession will move from the Madison and Indianapolis Depot, on the arrival of the cars at 12 o'clock, to the grove in front of the State House, where Judge Douglas, and other distinguished speakers, will address the meeting. Seats will be provided for the ladies near the platform. The procession will be under the direction of Gen. James P. Drake, as Chief Marshal, aided by Gen. William J. Elliott, Colonel Allen May, Captain Michael Fitzgibbon, Julius Nicolai, Wm. Robson, Joseph T. Roberts, I. Walker Hunter, and Henry H. Nelson, as assistants.

- W. J. BROWN, Chairman of State Central Committee.

It is in your power to carry this State at the approaching elections. Let no one become discouraged because of former defeats. A majority of the voters of this State, we are very confident, desire the election of Gen. Scott, and if they can all be brought to the polls, the electoral vote of the State will be given to him.

A thousand cheers and votes to spare for Winfield Scott.—N. Y. Times.
Iowa is safe for Scott and Graham.—Whig papers.
Read was elected in North Carolina upon the free suffrage question and his own personal popularity. National politics did not enter into the canvass at all. The old North State is perfectly safe for the Whig nominees.—Id.

That Scott will be elected we have never entertained a doubt.—N. Y. Tribune.
Ohio will be all right in November.—Ohio State Journal.

There comes the dawning of a brighter day. Men of thought, and men of action, clear the way.—Id.
That poet "hit the nail on the head," who said "Hope springs eternal in the human breast; Whig never are, but always to be blest."

Since the candidates for President and Vice-President were nominated by the Whig and Democratic National Conventions, elections have been held in four States with the following results:

- IOWA.
A Democratic Secretary of State!
A Democratic Auditor of State!
A Democratic Treasurer of State!
A Democratic majority of nine in the State Senate!
A Democratic majority of nineteen in the House of Representatives!
Two thousand Democratic majority on the State Ticket!
A Democratic majority of twenty-eight on Joint Ballot!
A Democratic Congressman for the First District.
Election of a Democratic Senator for four years secured.

MISSOURI.

- A Democratic Governor.
A Democratic Lieutenant Governor.
A Democratic Secretary of State.
A Democratic Auditor of State.
A Democratic Attorney General.
A Democratic Treasurer of State.
A Democratic Register.
Three Democratic members of Congress out of five, being a gain of one.
A Democratic majority in both branches of the General Assembly.
A Democratic majority of 12,000 on the State Ticket.

NORTH CAROLINA.

- A Democratic Governor by 6,000 majority.
A Democratic majority on Joint Ballot in the Legislature, thus securing the election of a Democratic United States Senator for six years in the place of Willie P. Mangum.

ARKANSAS.

- A Democratic Governor without Whig opposition.
A majority of three to one in the Legislature.
An average majority of about 10,000 on the county tickets.

To offset these Democratic triumphs, the Whigs have achieved an immeasurable amount of bragging, boasting, and blustering, and have carried the elections two-thirds of the time, in steamboats, canal-boats, and cars, frequently by large majorities.

If the Whigs are satisfied with the canvass so far, the Democrats certainly should be. While they boast and bluster, we vote; while they carry steamboats and cars, we carry States; and while they parade any quantity of Whig votes in the newspapers, we quietly deposit ours in the ballot box. Hurrah for Pierce and King!

On the 17th of August Hon. Rufus Schoonmaker, a Whig member of Congress from the eleventh District in New York, made a speech in Congress in which he distinctly repudiated the authority and validity of the Platform of Principles adopted by the recent Whig National Convention.

The Albany Evening Journal—Thurlow Weed's paper—says: "This speech is a reasonable and eloquent vindication of the position of the Whigs of this State."

Mr. Weed evidently thinks the Whig Platform a gull-trap to catch Southerners, while the Yankees are too "cute" to be caught in any such manner.

The Democrats of Clinton county held a large and enthusiastic convention at Frankfort, on Saturday, the 29th ult., and nominated the following ticket: For Representative—Wilson Sawright. For Treasurer—Jas. G. Fraser. For Sheriff—Isaac Cook. For Recorder—C. J. Miller.

This is a good ticket. C. J. Miller was a member of the Constitutional Convention, and will make an excellent Recorder. Clinton is good for 300 Democratic majority.

The Democrats of Fountain county, to the number of 2,500, met in convention in Chambersburg on Saturday, August 28th, and nominated the following ticket: Senator—H. Reynolds. Representative—John Stevens. Treasurer—James King. Sheriff—Z. Ferguson. Judge of Common Pleas—D. Rawles. Pros. Atty. Com. Pleas—H. Claypool.

Great enthusiasm prevailed. Fountain will roll up a heavy Democratic majority at the coming elections.

The New York Courier & Enquirer, (Whig.) comments severely on the Whig presses, and Whig politicians, who are daily in the practice of retailing falsehood and slander upon General Pierce. The following is an extract from the article referred to. The State Journal will please copy: "We are led to these remarks by a request to copy one of the squibs at General Pierce's fainting, which so constantly appears in one of the penny papers of our city; but we should not only hold ourselves disgraced by so doing, but would bring upon the Courier & Enquirer the odium of belonging to the same class of Presses as those referred to."

The Cincinnati Gazette says "such volunteer citizen soldiers as Pierce ought to be sneered at." Because, we suppose, he did not go into the army from an aristocratic military school, instead of the ranks of the people. It is well known that Gen. Scott and most of his Whig subordinates entertain a great contempt for "citizen soldiers" and civilians generally; but we supposed the Cincinnati Gazette was rather too cautious thus boldly to express its sentiments and the sentiments of its leader.

Rich, Decidedly.—The Whig presses are publishing various extracts from the London papers. The wish for the election of Pierce is coldly expressed. These are the same extracts that went the rounds in 1844, only that Pierce's name has been substituted for Polk's.—North River Reporter.

The editor of the Journal keeps a lot of these spurious extracts standing in the editorial columns of his paper. Wonder if our neighbor can tell where he got them from?

The Union party in Georgia have withdrawn the electoral ticket nominated by them a few weeks since. There is now but one Pierce and King electoral ticket in the field, which secures the united action of the Democratic party. The Whigs are divided between Webster and Scott. The former will, doubtless, receive much the larger number of Whig votes.

Has our neighbor of the Journal heard from Iowa yet? If he has, why don't he let his readers know the correct result of the recent election in that State? It would be cruel in him to let his friends die in ignorance, especially when he so innocently contributed to that ignorance.

Hon. JEREMIAH SMITH, Judge of the present seventh circuit, declines running for Judge. His reason is that the salary (\$1,000 per annum) is an insufficient one; and he brings forward strong arguments to prove his reason a good one.

The Vincennes Gazette is out in opposition to the \$5,000 appropriation made by the last Legislature to free the negroes of this State. Mr. McCarty voted for it.

Hon. G. H. HAYEN, formerly a Whig Representative in the Illinois Legislature from Wills county, recently, at a Democratic meeting in that county, announced his intention to support Pierce and King.

At a Boston Free-soil ratification meeting last week, John G. Palfrey announced that Hale accepts the Pittsburgh nomination.

OFFICE OF M. & I. RAILROAD CO., } MADISON, Sept. 2, 1852.
EDITOR SENTINEL.—I am not in the habit of noticing anonymous communications in newspapers, and have no desire to participate in the controversy now pending between the papers of this city and Indianapolis, in regard to the future prospects of the Madison road.

The Leavenworth of the 28th, however, suffered a correspondent, among other things, to charge this company with having paid "counsel, and others interested, to hand round the Legislature, to defeat every measure brought before it, for another act of competing outlet from this city."

This statement is utterly and totally false. I have been at the head of this company for four years, and am conversant with all its affairs. I have not myself, nor has any officer of the company, to my knowledge, opposed, or endeavored to defeat, the passage of any charter in the State; nor has the company ever paid, or offered to pay, one dollar to any person for any such purpose. The charge is wholly gratuitous; and as it affects me personally, I brand it as it deserves. If the writer made it ignorantly, he is no less a falsifier; if wilfully, he is worse than that.

Very respectfully, JNO. BROUGH.

Whig Ridicule of the Irish. The N. Y. Tribune has lately published a picture book in which Scott is represented in scenes that he was never in, and incidents that never took place. No one disputes the right of the Whigs to put Scott where his feathers make the most display—but the publishers of such a catch penny affair, have no right to ridicule other people, in elevating Gen. Scott. Those who have looked over its silly pages, must have been struck with the horrid caricature of a party of Irishmen, whom Scott is represented as standing over in lordly attitude, bestowing some favor; as if it was a monstrous condescension on the part of the Whig candidate—just as the Whig party are now pretending to be Catholics, for the sake of catching Catholic votes. The Irish American, printed in New York, thus indignantly alludes to Greeley's picture-book: "The Whigs have issued a campaign picture-book of Scott, which contains one of the vilest caricatures of Irishmen which ever emanated from the filthy pen of its evil author. In a scene representing certain returned Irish prisoners, one man is represented as kneeling in the most slavish and abject attitude, while the faces of all are represented in such a hideous deformity, that humanity has, thank God, nothing equal to it. For the profile of one of my countrymen the artist seems to have studied an ape or baboon! This is, certainly, a strange way of complimenting a man, as making us the laughing stock of a nation, and then asking us for our votes for such true friends. 'Save us from our friends,' we may well exclaim."

In Clinton county, Kentucky, they have a Scott Glee Club, who are great manufacturers of Whig enthusiasm. One of their refrains has a chorus thus: D—m the Locos, Kill 'em—kill 'em— Give 'em hell— With Scott and Graham.

The Democratic majority in the Arkansas Legislature is thirty-two.

The Soap Factory.

P. A. Hackleman, one of the Whig Electors for the State at large, in an article on the State expenditures, in the Scott Eagle, says: "What has the State realized from these securities? Mr. Bright says in his report of 1846, that the oil 'Factory' in Brooklyn had sold for \$20,000. We find by reference to the acts of Congress of 1848, pages 105-6, that certain lots in Brooklyn had been sold to the General Government for \$285,000. We have learned that this is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have heard that the oil factory is the identical 'Soap Factory' property about which we have heard so much recently. Somebody has received \$250,000 for this 'Soap Factory'; for the General Government retained but \$30,000 to cover claims against the property. We have