

WILLIAM J. BROWN, Editor. AUSTIN H. BROWN, Publisher.

THE INDIANA STATE SENTINEL. A GAZETTE OF THE PEOPLE. OFFICE IN THE TOMLINSON BUILDING. CORNER OF WASHINGTON STREET AND HICKORY ALLEY. SIGN OF THE HICKORY POLE. AUSTIN H. BROWN, Publisher. THE Weekly Indiana State Sentinel, (TO SINGLE SUBSCRIBERS,) IS ONLY ONE DOLLAR A YEAR! Eleven Copies for Ten Dollars!! TO BE PAID IN ADVANCE IN ALL CASES.

Appointments by the Governor. MARCHES TO COLLECT THE VOTE FOR PRESIDENT AND VICE PRESIDENT. 1st Congressional District—Henry W. Barker, of Dubois county. 2d Congressional District—E. C. Sugg, of Perry county. 3d Congressional District—Charles Carpenter, of Bartholomew county. 4th Congressional District—Joseph V. Bemis, of Decatur county. 5th Congressional District—Edmond F. Donnell, of Wayne county. 6th Congressional District—Patrick Cahill, of Marion county. 7th Congressional District—John Saum, of Parke county. 8th Congressional District—Thomas J. Scott, of Montgomery county. 9th Congressional District—Banner Lawhead, of Fulton county. 10th Congressional District—Thomas Tigar, of Allen county. 11th Congressional District—William C. Fleming, of Madison county.

The Journal's Excuse. We clip the following from the Journal of Saturday morning:

The increased Democratic majority has been occasioned by the immense foreign population brought in under the new Constitution, which permits persons, who resided in Europe little over a year ago, to vote. Aside from that vote the Whigs, the friends of American labor, and of the improvement of rivers and harbors, have a majority in this State.

How absurd! The Journal and Whig party have been bragging all summer about getting this vote. Gen. Scott declared, at Madison, that he was delighted with the rich brogue of the Irish, and the foreign accent of the German citizen.

Their argument is that if these foreigners "who resided in Europe little over a year ago" could only be excluded, Indiana would be a Whig State. This tells where the shoe pinches. After the November election they will talk a little plainer. Mark our prediction.

How the Whigs Expect to Carry Indiana. This matter was all settled at the Chippewa Club on Friday evening. The Whig orators explained it all clear as the sun. "The Democrats," said they, "are now elected with excess. Certain of triumph, they will cease all further exertions, and lie down to sleep on the field of victory, when they will fall an easy prey to the Whigs." Democrats, remember the language of McDonald at the battle of Wagram: "Forward—one step more—the enemy's center is broken, and the victory won." The Whigs will redouble their exertions. Democrats, you know your duty. Do not lay down your weapons until the great battle in November is fought and won.

The Sentinel says the Whigs don't want to elect Mr. Benge. That is a fool's notion, and needs no further confirmation than the above remark. The Whigs not only want him elected, but they intend that he shall be elected. If he is not, it will be because they have not got votes enough to do it.—Indiana Journal, October 9.

We said from the beginning that Mr. Benge would fall below the Whig strength. Here is the result: B. R. Snigrove, Whig, 2,148. C. Benge, Whig, 2,000. We leave our readers to judge whether all the fools are dead or not.

Whig Talk before the Election. We clip the following from the Louisville Journal, published a short time before the election: "INDIANA.—We had a call yesterday from Mr. McCarty, the able and indefatigable Whig candidate for Governor of Indiana. He is a keen and close political observer, and he assures us of his strong conviction that the State will go for Gen. Scott. "In support of this conviction, he laid before us such facts, as, in our opinion, indicate with almost absolute certainty the result which he anticipates. "If we are not strangely mistaken, the Locooco party next November will be the most amazed and astonished party ever heard of in these United States."

Whig Calculations. We clip the following from the Wabash Courier: The Pennsylvania Inquirer shows a bright sky for Gen. Scott, and foretells the result as follows: "The whole number of electoral votes is 296—necessary for a choice 149. The friends of Winfield Scott believe that he will certainly carry the following States—Vermont 5, Massachusetts 13, Rhode Island 4, Connecticut 6, New York 35, New Jersey 7, Pennsylvania 27, Delaware 3, Maryland 5, North Carolina 10, Ohio 23, Kentucky 12, Louisiana 6, Tennessee 12—171. The Inquirer might also have 13 more votes for Indiana, making altogether 184.—Ed. Courier.

Why not add New Hampshire and Arkansas? Hurrah for Florida! The Whigs are hurrahing for Florida and claim to have carried it. They may have done so, but the few scattering returns received show that they have not carried it. The returns are from strong Whig counties, and the falling off shows very clearly that Maj. Ward, the Scott candidate for Governor, is defeated. Cabell, the Whig candidate for Congress, from his open and undisguised opposition to Scott, has run ahead of his party and will be elected.

INDIANA, the banner State of the Democracy, presents her compliments to her sister States, with TWENTY THOUSAND DEMOCRATIC MAJORITY, and begs leave to assure her elder sisters, that on the second day of November, she expects all her family at home, and will then send out her complimentary card with TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND MAJORITY FOR PIERCE AND KING.

Terrible. The Nobleville Whig, issued on the morning of the election, thus describes the glorious Whig victory that was to be achieved on Tuesday last: "One charge more, and the ravens around you will be filled with fallen heroes and riders, fainting beneath the weight of our noble Hero's virtues."

Glorious News from Maryland. The Democrats have carried the city of Baltimore by three thousand majority. This makes the State safe for Pierce and King.

It is "marble time" with the boys. Few who were not once boys in the city, appreciate the jealousy with which juvenile citizens guard their sports within the proper season of each. The lad who ventures to toss a ball out of "hall time," or trundle a hoop out of "hoop time," is put down as a boy of no spirit, who probably has no brothers and was brought up among women.

As we have said, now is "marble time." That is to say, now is the time that one may expect, as he walks, to find a small lad plunging in between his "standards," after his truant marble—when, if he walks carelessly, he may stumble over a group who are huddled around a hoop. They whose names fifty years hence will govern the price of stocks in foreign markets—whose voices will electrify Senates, and nerve armies to desperate combat—who will speak of us as the "old folks," and of the Whigs as now so beautifully attired, as the "unfashionable old women of a past generation,"—they talk now of marbles, and little else. The future "Hon. Secretary" is now kneeling on the pavement, regardless of who it is that knocks him half over, suspending the marble in the crook of his finger, while he charges Bob, the future "gallant General," with gouging.

Rather hard for pedestrians is marble-time. But the ladies prefer it, with all its perils, to the risks of "hoop time," which is so trying to silk dresses—bringing the richest brocades into most unprofitable acquaintance, of a sudden, with hoops just emerged from the gutter. But these boys, if they live, will all have votes. We will speak carefully, then, of all their amusements.—N. Y. Times.

This is rather an overgrown picture. The future Senators, Secretaries, Foreign Ministers, and the Commanders of Armies, are not to be found among the idle boys that play marbles on the pavements in the crowded cities. They are the barefooted hardy sons of toil that are now found plowing, hoeing, and chopping on the farms. Speak kindly to the cold and shivering mill boy that you meet. He may be the President of this Republic.

We are pleased to learn from Mr. Smith, President of the Indianapolis and Bellefontaine Railroad, that the road will be completed to Winchester, 74 miles, next week, and to Union, the end of this link, in all next month. The daily mail is to be extended also, Munich, as the road is opened. The machinery to stock the road, including nine first class engines, six passenger cars, two baggage and mail cars, have been contracted for. Mr. Baldwin, of Philadelphia, makes seven of the locomotives, and the Amoskeag works of New Hampshire two. The locomotives are each eighteen tons—being the heaviest engines to be used on the road—the grade being only thirty feet maximum to the mile, with seventy-six miles of straight line out of eighty-three miles—the length of the road—and no curve of less radius than one mile. Mr. Clough, of Madison, makes the passenger and baggage cars. This company appear to be making every preparation to meet the expectations of the business and traveling public.

Mean Revenge. On last night, some Whig rowdies threw a stone into the window of the family Grocery Store of Messrs. Volmer & Vonnegut, on Washington street, in this city, breaking several panes of glass—shooting, at the same time, "Hurrah for Scott, and down with the Dutch." These Whig gentry had better look out; they are known and will be marked. If freemen cannot exercise the right of suffrage without being insulted and mobbed, it is time that law should interfere. If not, there is a law of self preservation which is above statutes.

The news from Pennsylvania, is most glorious. The Old Keystone stands firm this time. Experience is a dear school. In that school has Pennsylvania been taught, and its lessons have had a most salutary effect. They had a three years Whig Administration of the State Government, and four years of the National. The Democratic majority will be increased in November. Mark our predictions. The entire Native American vote which Gen. Scott will get, can't save him.

Marion County. The result in this hitherto strong hold of Whiggery, is most glorious. The Democracy fought the battle with great zeal, and success crowned their labors. The average Democratic majority is over three hundred. There was not a full vote polled in Lawrence and Pike, two of the strong Democratic townships.

Hancock County. The triumph in this glorious little Democratic county is complete. Gov. Wright's majority is 222; Hendrick's, for Congress, majority is 220; while State ticket's average is 221; T. McAllister, Democrat, for Senate, 149 majority; and William Handy, Democrat, elected to the House of Representatives by 233 majority. All the Democratic county ticket elected. Well done Hancock.

The Whigs have universally carried the elections on Railroads during the past summer, but the Democrats at the ballot box, when the votes are officially returned, have succeeded by unprecedented majorities. The Whigs can take the glory of their triumphs. The Democrats are satisfied.

Governor Wright at Home. The vote in Marion county, where Governor Wright and "Honest Nick McCarty," both reside is most gratifying to the friends of the Governor. Although Mr. McCarty, is a highly worthy and estimable citizen, yet there are other men in this community who are entitled to the sobriquet of "Honest."

The Native Americans. Our returns show that this vote in Pennsylvania, has been cast in favor of Buffington, the Whig candidate for Judge of the Supreme Court, and the whole Whig ticket. In Southward the head quarters of the Natives, Woodward, whom the Whig papers charged with being a Native, was best 1,000 votes.

Only Local Elections. The Whigs console themselves that the Democratic victories in Pennsylvania, Ohio, and Indiana, are only local triumphs in local elections. Look out for the great "Scott."

Democrats, console your Whig friends in their afflictions. They should remember that the blessings of the Democratic policy and Democratic administrations, both State and national, are like the dews of Heaven, they descend alike upon the just and the unjust.

Gen. Scott was caught with his "breches down" in Kentucky. The Whig party in Indiana were caught with their "breches down" on Tuesday last. Accidents will happen.

The Whig Expositor, issued by thousands, only exposed the folly of Whigs in supposing that Democratic votes could be influenced by such stuff. The Whigs have paid dear, and lost the whistle.

The Democrats have carried the city of Baltimore by three thousand majority. This makes the State safe for Pierce and King.

Pierce and his Whig Standerers. Inasmuch as the Journal a few days ago published the Cooney certificates referred to in the following article, we publish from the Boston Pilot, a Catholic paper, the following overwhelming relation of the lies contained in those certificates. Read it!

A Mr. Cooney, of Albany, went to New Hampshire, and in conjunction with several others, succeeded in getting the names of a great number of persons, professing to be Irish Catholics, to documents setting forth that Robinson is right; that Pierce has done nothing for the Catholics of New Hampshire, and that the Catholics who, with their pastor, the Rev. Mr. McDonald, signed a document, some weeks ago, stating that Pierce was friendly to us, and had consented to give power to aid us in New Hampshire, had signed their names to a falsehood. These documents were obtained from General Manchester, Dover, and Nashua. We do not think much of these documents, and we will make short work with them. Here, in America, people who sign documents seldom take the trouble to read what they sign. It is easy for a man to get up a certificate, numerously signed, asking for anything, or testifying to anything. The Rev. Mr. McDonald's published statement, last week, in which the four documents are effectually disposed of. This letter has been extensively copied, and we presume that most of our readers will have seen by the time this issue reaches them. We know that forged documents circulate briskly in these electioneering times, and it may be that some of our readers will suspect the authenticity of the letter. We can assure them, however, that the statement, last week, in which the four documents are effectually disposed of, was called in question, and because Catholics under his spiritual charge were called upon to testify to the truth of the statement, and because the story told to make them do so was wholly false. His letter confirms our opinion of those documents. Few knew what they signed. Several were forced to sign. Most of the signers were led to believe that the paper was anything but what it really was. The over-seers and employers, in some instances, used arguments calculated to make their workmen sign.

The documents look marvellously as if they were drawn up by Protestant hands. Moreover, it is strange that the Catholics of New Hampshire need two strangers, and two politicians, Messrs. Robinson and Cooney, to come all the way from New York and Albany to help them understand that they are badly treated. But it was quite enough to convince us that the documents were not to be at all trusted, when we saw that they recommended Robinson's most disgraceful lecture as a true statement of the Convention in our country. The documents contradict one another shockingly. For example, one of them says that Pierce did something for the Catholics, and another denies that he did anything. One of them, signed by a number of persons, says that he, who was, we are told, very gravely and most comically confesses that the certificates are party documents, and that the men signed to hurt one party and to help the other. We were convinced that the Catholics who signed it, did not call meetings, were doing for several reasons. Catholics would hardly come out in that way, against a paper signed by their pastor. Irish Catholics are generous,—none in the world more so,—and they never forget a kind word. In the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the subject, and it was his own negligence in either speaking extemporaneously, or in not preserving notes of his speeches. He made another in the Concord Town Hall. He was earnest in the faith world have secured the desired result. It is not likely that they would be ungrateful to the man who had labored so earnestly, though unsuccessfully, to serve them. Finally, Irish Catholics would not, we trust, publicly announce that the Convention in our country was more gross than the story the Pierce was opposed to Catholic emancipation, or that he did nothing for the cause. Even these documents contradict themselves by admitting that he did something. The fact is, he made the best speech of his kind on the