

THE DAILY JOURNAL.

SATURDAY, MAY 26, 1883.

WASHINGTON OFFICE—513 Fourth-st. St. P. H. HEATY, Correspondent. NEW YORK OFFICE—104 Temple Court, Corner Beekman and Nassau streets. TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION. DAILY. One year, without Sunday... \$12.00

Reduced Rates to Clubs. Subscribe with any of our numerous agents, or send subscriptions to THE JOURNAL NEWSPAPER COMPANY, INDIANAPOLIS, IND.

THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL.

Can be found at the following places: LONDON—American Exchange in Europe, 449 Strand. PARIS—American Exchange in Paris, 35 Boulevard des Capucines.

NEW YORK—Gilesey House and Windsor Hotel. CHICAGO—Palmer House. CINCINNATI—J. P. Hawley & Co., 154 Vine street.

LOUISVILLE—C. T. Deering, northwest corner Third and Jefferson streets. ST. LOUIS—Union News Company, Union Depot and Southern Hotel.

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Riggs House and Ebbitt House. Telephone Calls. Business Office... 238 | Editorial Rooms... 242

THE Democrats of the Indian Territory have elected Grant Left Hand, an Apache Indian, delegate to the St. Louis convention.

The White Caps still go on their merry way in Southern Indiana undisturbed by any thought of the Governor's interference.

COLONEL INGERSOLL is charged with plagiarizing much of his Conkling oration and other beautiful bits of his rhetoric from Buckle.

MR. CHAMBERLAIN, who murdered his sweetheart and then tried to kill the sheriff in order to escape from the "unwholesome" Monticello jail, seems to be one of those individuals who, for the healthfulness of the community, should be speedily put where a free circulation of air is no object.

DURING the balloting for bishops in the Methodist Conference, it was the venerable senior Bishop Bowman, who said, as he brought down the gavel: "Brethren, where are we? Is this a political convention that you must give way to expressions like these?"

IN the opinion of the Boston Transcript the rule adopted by the South Carolina Prohibition convention—"No Republican or woman delegates to be admitted"—was an exhibition of simple, primitive politics.

THE London Standard, commenting on Archbishop Wales's prompt submission to the Pope's rescript on the Irish question, says: "The fate of Father McGlynn is not calculated to encourage the fancy that a priest in politics can defy the thunders of Rome."

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

THE renomination of Mr. Cleveland, at St. Louis, is to be made by Daniel Lockwood, of New York. Lockwood discovered the germs of greatness in Cleveland before they had even begun to sprout, and has been cultivating them ever since.

money while in politics by outside operations or investments, however careful he might be to do nothing dishonest. While the necessary expenses of politics are increasing, with official salaries stationary, the opportunities for bright and able men to make money in the private walks of life are increasing.

THE presidential bureau of lying and misrepresentation has started up again with a fresh impetus. The Journal has paid its respects to the concern once or twice, and the gentlemen engaged in it, who imagined they were so shy as not to be known, have exhibited some signs of soreness.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

There are two candidates, and only two—that is to say, there are two gentlemen whose names are prominently mentioned in connection with the presidential nomination—who have been the recipients of the attentions of the bureau and its agents—Senator John Sherman, of Ohio, and General Harrison, of Indiana.

handicap his candidacy. The bureau tactics are not likely to beget weakness among his adherents, but rather to add to the strength and persistence of their purpose. If the bureau thinks to set Governor Porter and Colonel Thompson by the ears by the insinuation of foolish falsehoods concerning them, they are wasting their time and words.

Not only the Indiana, but the delegations from other States, will be subjected to heavy local pressure when they reach Chicago. All the wind of the windy city will be let loose upon them in full fury. The papers will screech every day. The streets and hotel corridors may swarm, and the galleries may yell as the local boomers pull the string; but the members of the convention will not be stamped. That was tried in Cincinnati, in 1876, on behalf of Mr. Bristow, but without avail.

The Chicago convention will meet to deliberate. Every name will be fairly and fully considered, and the result will be such, we make no doubt, as will best represent the calm, judicial judgment, not only of the convention, but of the party. Wind, bluster and brag will not win; the Chicago bureau will have had its day.

MR. PATRICK WALSH, of Georgia, is a very mad man. The occasion of his anger is that he has been "set down" on by the administration and run over by the Cleveland machine. Mr. Walsh is a Democrat of the strictest Georgia school. He believes in white man's government, using "persuasive measures" with the negroes, maintaining the solid South and all that sort of thing, but he has views on the tariff question. Being a Southern man and in favor of the development of the South by the encouragement of manufacturing, he favors a protective tariff. This is what brought Mr. Walsh to grief. He wanted to go to St. Louis as a delegate, and, being a working politician with a good Democratic record, he naturally expected to obtain the desired honor without difficulty. But it was not to be so.

Word had quietly been sent out from Washington that the success of the President's policy required that none but free-trade Democrats should be placed on guard. This meant that Mr. Walsh must not go to St. Louis, and it was so ordered by the convention. He was elected to stay at home, thus verifying the assertion of the Washington Post that "there is an Allentown for every Souders." The President of the United States dictating to State conventions who shall and who shall not be elected delegates is something new in American politics.

Mr. Walsh is not a mad man but that he has been able to write a signed letter giving his reasons for refusing to endorse the Mills bill and the President's free-trade message. He says: "I am for protection to develop the vast and varied resources of the South. New England, with its barren soil and frigid climate, has become the treasury of the Nation under a protective tariff. In twenty-five more years the South, under the same system, will become the richest, as she is the most favored, section of the Union. I plead, not so much for the manufacturers of the East, but for the struggling industries of the South. Monopolies and trusts I detest. I am for a policy that will benefit the South, and especially the farmers. Diversified industries will appreciate the value of the land and the soil, and bringing in capital and population. Manufacturers will compel our farmers to diversify their crops, to raise feed supplies, for which they will obtain remunerative prices from the people employed in factories, mines and workshops. Suppose we had one hundred factories like those in Augusta in the counties above this city, would not the farmer be greatly benefited? Think of it—there is more water power in the valley of Savannah going to waste than there is in all the New England States.

I would not give a cent for protection to build up monopolies, but I would give millions to foster and build manufacturing interests of the Southern States. I am for a tariff that will build up the South. Slavery is dead, and her twin sister, free trade, should be buried in the same grave.

This is the talk of a sensible, practical man, who sees what the South needs and how to secure it. The policy that has made New England populous and wealthy, benefiting the whole country at the same time, will be equally good for the South and West. True words were never spoken than those of Mr. Patrick Walsh, of Georgia, when he says "Slavery is dead, and her twin sister, free-trade, should be buried in the same grave."

THE Republican county convention has an important work to do to-day. The legislative ticket cannot be trifled with. Indianapolis has many interests that will need careful and able men in the next General Assembly. There are enough good names offered from which to construct a ticket that shall fairly and well represent the different interests that ought to be conserved. It will be easy for the convention to go amiss; it is quite possible for it to do well, and add to the strength of the county ticket already nominated. These candidates have a deep interest in the work of to-day's convention. Their influence, and the influence of every earnest Republican, should be exerted upon the members of the convention to induce the nomination of a ticket irrespective of all personal considerations or of past or future personal and political favors and obligations. The only purpose should be to select men who will fitly represent the Republican party and the various public interests involved, and thus challenge and command the support and confidence of the entire community. There should be no mistakes made. It will do to remember that a nomination and an election are two different things.

THE decision of the Senate to bring out in public discussion the facts in relation to the suppression of the negro vote which have been gathered by the investigating committee, is certainly proper and commendable. Whenever reference is made by Northern speakers or press to the frauds upon the ballot, and the outrages upon negro voters practiced by white Democrats of the South, a cry of "bloody shirt" is raised by the party claqueurs, and loud assertions made that the negroes either remain away from the polls voluntarily or come preferring to vote the Democratic ticket. This clamor has had its effect upon the timid and conservative Republicans who are impressed by noise, and

who regard agitation of a question which arouses Southern fire-eaters as something to be deprecated, even though silence involve disregard of the political wrongs of millions of citizens. It is time that the facts and figures in this matter were given official shape, and no better opportunity could be afforded than a disclosure of the information obtained by the Senate committee.

THERE is a painful lack of harmony among the organs at Chicago. The *Inter Ocean* and the *Tribune* are continually criticizing each other's boom methods, and how comes the *Evening Journal* and talks this way: "If Gresham could have his way, he would probably not only shut Vorhees up, but a good many others who are damaging him by overdoing the work of supporting him. Every word of praise for Gresham that comes from free trade, or from mugwump or semi-mugwump sources is an injury to him."

This is very sad; but dear brethren, it is better to retain friendly relations with local contemporaries than to fall out over trifles. A few weeks later, when all are united in support of our Indiana's favorite son, the matters that disturb them now will be but the dim recollection of a troubled dream. Let each fellow boom on his own line, free trade, mugwump, or what not; but don't quarrel. Harmony of feeling, brethren, above all things, is essential to the proper development of a boom.

THE illness of General Sheridan was very serious, and his death at any time is imminent. He is suffering from disease of the heart. The death of the gallant hero of Winchester would come with a sense of personal loss not only to the many thousands throughout the country who followed his victorious standard, but to almost every loyal man, woman and child in the country. "Phil" Sheridan was an ideal soldier—gallant, brave, impetuous. His deeds stirred the blood, and his knightly chivalry filled the imagination. The hopes and prayers of all the people will be for his recovery. General Sheridan has just passed his fifty-seventh birthday.

THE Methodist General Conference yesterday decided to elect a missionary bishop for India, and Dr. J. M. Thoburn, who has for so many years been superintendent of missions in that country, was chosen by almost a unanimous vote. Messrs. Phillips and Hunt were re-elected book agents at New York and Cranston and Stowe at Cincinnati. The conference decided to elect three missionary secretaries, and a ballot was taken, the result of which will be announced this morning. Dr. Reid and Chaplain McCabe will, of course, be re-elected, but there may be quite a contest over the third one. The conference also made a deliverance upon the question of temperance legislation.

THE United States grand jury reported to the court yesterday that there was no evidence that the jury which tried Coy and Bernhamer had been tampered with or approached in any way. Coy thus added perjury to his other crimes. He is a fine specimen of humanity.

THE "Mystery of Cleveland" is the title of a small pamphlet written and issued by a resident of this city, who modestly hides his identity under the letter "S." It seeks to analyze the character of Mr. Cleveland and the possible providential meanings of his election as President. It makes some strong and telling points, is well and clearly written, and is worth the reading of all who, like the author, has never been able to understand the "mystery of Cleveland."

"What could his election mean? Was he a King? Did he lead us in contemptible good enough for a people so insensible to their great history; so soon become careless of their great trust; abandoning in 'good citizens' too largely to the hands of the old party, the ruling up the country, and especially the great cities, to the easy control of bosses and ring leaders? Was he given in hot indignation as the quail to the Irrigation for the desert, at the spectacle of a vast party forgetting the great salvation, and the redemption from slavery, sinking every sacred consideration for the sake of the office and the money? Or was it the men who saved them? There is a striking resemblance in the cases, and as the party that wished to return to Egypt made them a solemn appeal to the leaders of the Democratic party were permitted to set up a veally statesman of two years' growth, who was nothing in the world but a prodigy of swift and premature success in getting offices; a prodigy of growth and physical appearance he was a wonderful symbol of the flesh-pots, and the full meaning of the victory. And the likeness between the two cases is not a mere coincidence. I saw the bitter disappointment, the joy turned to cursing, the gnashing and howling, as, compelled by a previous bargain, he for a time sustained his hold upon the office, and seemed snatching it from their very teeth! Or was his election a retribution for our cool abandonment of the freedmen, and notice that the Nation was to go indefinitely under the yoke of a fraudulent solid South and fraudulent New York State? Or was this man after all to develop some great unsuspected qualities? Was he to be a real representative of himself, McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow, and it succeeded, just when in one sense, it deserved success, when it selected a real representative of itself. McClellan, Seymour, Tilden—success with these would have been dangerous, perhaps fatal. Greeley and Hancock were fraudulent heads and carried all the party behind him. Both were blameworthy. The party had not a principle or belief it dare honestly avow