

SEEIN'S BELIEVIN'

If you will call and examine the quality and variety of patterns in our

\$6.89

Sale of Men's \$10 Suits, you will see they are genuine bargains. A minute of investigation will establish their superiority, and prove that OUR ADVERTISEMENTS are based upon facts, not fiction.

THE WHEN

TO THE TRADE

To find a Woman's Dongola Button Shoe of quality to insure wear and of design to insure style and of price to be cheap, is the desire of many in purchasing shoes for everyday use. We offer in the "Melnot" a woman's shoe, combining the above points in so marked a degree that the shoe is sold to the wearer with but little effort on the part of the retail merchant. The "Melnot" is Western-made, and is superior to many high grade goods. Sample dozens or sample pairs sent on application.

McKEE & CO.,

136 & 138 South Meridian St., 35 & 37 McCrea St., Indianapolis.

BIG 4 ROUTE

On and after Sunday, November 5, passenger trains will leave Indianapolis Union Station, as follows: TRAINS FOR LAFAYETTE: 12:45 a. m., 7:10 a. m., 12:01 p. m., and 5 p. m. TRAINS FOR LOUISVILLE, Ky.: 8:55 a. m., 7:10 a. m., and 7:25 p. m. TRAINS FOR SHELBYVILLE, GREENSBURG, LAWRENCEBURG AND CINCINNATI: 3:55 a. m., 4 a. m., 7 a. m., 11:05 a. m., 1:10 p. m., and 10 p. m. TRAINS FOR CLEVELAND, BUFFALO, ALBANY, NEW YORK AND BOSTON: 7:00 a. m., 3:00 p. m., and 7:25 p. m. TRAINS FOR ANDERSON AND MUNCIE: 7 a. m., 11:55 a. m., 3 p. m., and 7:25 p. m. TRAINS FOR GREENCASTLE, TERRE HAUTE AND MATTOON: 7:30 a. m., 11:50 a. m., 5:20 p. m., and 11:20 p. m. TRAINS FOR BLOOMINGTON, PEKIN AND PEORIA: 7:15 a. m., 11:55 a. m., and 11:25 p. m. TRAINS FOR CLAWFORDVILLE, DANVILLE AND CHAMPAIGN: 7:15 a. m., 11:55 a. m., 5:10 p. m., and 11:25 p. m. TRAINS FOR DAYTON, SPRINGFIELD AND COLUMBUS: 8:50 a. m., and 3:00 p. m.

THE C. H. & D. R. R.

ARE STILL SELLING MILEAGE BOOKS AT 2 CENTS PER MILE GOOD ON 21 DIFFERENT ROADS. TRAINS FOR CINCINNATI LEAVE INDIANAPOLIS

City Ticket Office corner Illinois street and Kentucky avenue, 134 South Illinois street and Union Station. General Agent.

Monon Route.

THE VESTIBULE PULLMAN CAR LINE. LEAVE INDIANAPOLIS. No. 30—Chicago Limited vestibule coaches, Parlor and Dining cars, daily, 12:31 p. m. Arrive Chicago, 5:59 p. m. No. 31—Chicago Night Express. Pullman vestibule coaches and sleepers, daily, 8:20 p. m. Arrive Chicago, 7:35 a. m. No. 52—Delphi Accommodation. Daily, 6:00 p. m. ARRIVE AT INDIANAPOLIS. No. 32—Vestibule, daily, 3:35 p. m. No. 33—Vestibule, daily, 2:20 p. m. No. 51—Delphi Accommodation, daily, 8:30 a. m. Pullman vestibule sleeper for Chicago stands at west end Union Station, and can be taken at 8:30 p. m. daily. Ticket Office, 25 South Illinois street and Union Station and Massachusetts avenue.

A HUSTLER IS A MAN

Who does things with all the energy that is in him. He doesn't sit down and mope under any circumstances. He keeps moving—AND HE GETS THERE. There are Hustlers in business and they Advertise. Such of them as are in Indianapolis advertise in

THE JOURNAL

Because it always brings results. Results! Profits! That's what business men want. They get them if they use the papers to tell what they have to sell.

WAGON WHEAT 57c

ACME MILLING COMPANY, 263 West Washington Street.

Pinaud's Quinine Tonic

For the Hair, \$1 bottles, 59c. 50c bottles, 31c.

Pinaud's Brilliantine

50c bottles, 33c.

Pantzer's Drug Store,

54 West Washington Street, Bates House Block. Open all night.

WAGON WHEAT Highest Market Price. ARCADE MILLS.

BOLD "HOLD UP."

Attempt to Rob a Train in Illinois—Brakeman Fatally Shot.

DECATUR, Ill., Nov. 13.—An attempt was made to-night to hold up the Peoria, Decatur & Evansville passenger train, due here at 10 p. m. Three men, masked with handkerchiefs, got on at Lincoln coal shaft. When the train pulled out they started with the combination car to the express. Then brakeman George Trot and some passengers tried to stop them and three shots were fired by the robbers, one hitting the brakeman in the stomach. He is expected to die. The robbers left the train at Salt creek bridge. They got nothing. Sheriff Hays, of Logan, is after them.

Six miles from Lincoln the men presented

revolvers at some of the passengers and demanded money. The conductor of the train soon stopped. Brakeman George Trot made a brave struggle with the robbers, endeavoring to knock one of them down and overpower him. He succeeded in getting the better of one, but another shot him, as stated above, and it is not thought that he can live. The robbers held the other people in the car in check with their revolvers until the train was near Mount Pulaski. Then one of them jumped off the train, which was running about twenty-five miles per hour. He had compelled the engineer to start again after the train had stopped. The others got off at Mount Pulaski, and up to 1 a. m. nothing has been heard of them. They did not succeed in getting any money or valuables from the passengers.

DOESN'T LACK COURAGE.

A Chicago Woman Who Has Captured Two Burglars.

CHICAGO, Nov. 12.—There is one woman in the land who does not, when she hears strange noises about the house at night, awake her husband and compel him to search for burglars. Mrs. Mary Ruppert, of Chicago, performs that work herself, and so successfully that she captures the burglar every time. Mrs. Ruppert's husband owns a shoe store at No. 166 Harrison street, and his family occupy apartments on the second floor. At 3 o'clock yesterday morning Mrs. Ruppert was awakened by two noises. The first was the voice of a dog, the second that of a man. "What's that?" she asked herself as she sat up in bed and listened. More growls, more human voices. Mrs. Ruppert drew on a skirt, made her hair straight before a mirror, took a big revolver from the dressing case and went down stairs. There she saw a man in close and intimate communion with the dog. The dog was taking a light lunch off the man's leg and the man was trying to make an impression on the dog with a big stick. Such was the picture presented to Mrs. Ruppert, who at once called off the dog and covered the burglar with her pistol. Then she called out to her son, who she posted to the Harrison street station for an officer. The burglar, being arrested, said his name was Daniel Garity. Three years ago Mrs. Ruppert saw a burglar sandbag her husband, take the till and run. She followed him, had him apprehended, and he is now learning an honest trade in Joliet.

Negro Evans' Family Safe.

BARDSTOWN, Ky., Nov. 12.—At noon today it was learned that Evans' wife, mother and child had been found. They were spending the night with a friend when the explosion occurred.

Ladies Will Find Relief

From their headache, constiveness, swimming in the head, cold, sore stomach, restlessness, etc., by taking Simmons' Liver Regulator. It is mild, safe and pleasant.

WILLIS AT HONOLULU

Minister Blount's Successor at the Scene of His Labors.

He Recognizes the Provisional Government by Presenting His Credentials to President Dole.

INSTRUCTIONS STILL SECRET

No Effort Made, Up to Nov. 7, to Restore Queen Liliuokalani.

The Latter, However, Evidently Had a "Tip" that She Was to Rule Her Island Kingdom Again.

NEWS RECEIVED BY GRESHAM

A Dispatch That Was Hurriedly Taken to the President.

Congress Likely to Worry the Administration—Seathing Letter from Ex-Minister John L. Stevens.

SAN FRANCISCO, Nov. 13.—The steamer China arrived this morning from Honolulu, via Honolulu. Up to the time the steamer left Honolulu Minister Willis had not made known his instructions from President Cleveland, consequently there was no disorder of any kind. The new minister arrived early on the morning of Nov. 4 and went directly to Blount's headquarters at the Hawaiian Hotel. He refused to see the reporters until Monday, as he was ill from the effects of travel. On Sunday and most of Monday the minister remained at the hotel resting, while the people of Honolulu wondered what he was going to do. The correspondent of the Associated Press, writing under date of Nov. 7, the day the steamer sailed, says that on Monday morning, Nov. 6, Minister Willis submitted to an interview, and his remarks left no doubt that he is duly accredited to the provisional government. He said: "I am sorry I can say nothing on the political question at the present time. In the course of the morning I intend to address the Minister of Foreign Affairs, asking when it will suit the convenience of President Dole to receive my credentials from the United States government. At the same time I shall send him a manuscript copy of the remarks I intend to deliver on the occasion of my presentation to him. This is my first experience in diplomatic matters, but I understand such to be the custom. Whenever President Dole notifies me of his readiness to receive me I shall be on hand. Under the circumstances it would be an act of discourtesy to the Hawaiian government to discuss the political situation at the present time."

Continuing, the correspondent says that President Dole promptly replied to Minister Willis's note, and it was arranged that the United States envoy should present his credentials to the provisional government at 11 o'clock Tuesday morning. Tuesday morning Minister Willis was again interviewed and again declined to state whether he would do more than present his credentials on the occasion of his first meeting with the representative of the provisional government. He positively declined to state when he would make his official communication from the United States government to the Hawaiian authorities. At the time of the last interview preparations were made for the departure of the steamer China for San Francisco. The next steamer, the Australia, will sail Saturday, Nov. 11. Minister Willis even refused to say whether the exact nature of his mission to Hawaii would be made known before that date. The steamer China put to sea between 11 o'clock and noon Tuesday.

On Monday night, Nov. 6, there was a large gathering at the house of Wiedemann, one of the Queen's supporters. The gathering was attended solely by men in sympathy with the cause of ex-Queen Liliuokalani. The ex-Queen had passed word around among her supporters that she was to be restored to the throne Wednesday, Nov. 8, the day following the departure of the steamer China for San Francisco. It was reported that the gathering at Mr. Wiedemann's house was of the nature of a jubilee.

When Admiral Irwin arrived at Honolulu, on Nov. 6, on the steamer China, accompanied by Lieutenants Adams and Parmenter, he immediately went on board the Philadelphia, and was busily engaged on board until Tuesday morning. Admiral Skerrett, who was relieved by Admiral Irwin, and Lieutenants Wilson and Fox, also relieved, took their formal farewell of President Dole and the provisional government on the morning of Wednesday, Nov. 8. Admiral Skerrett delivered a short and felicitous address and President Dole responded in kind. When the naval party arrived the provisional troops were drawn up along the driveway from the gate to the executive building, and the Hawaiian band played "America" as the Admiral's party passed. President Dole, in his speech, said he had hoped Admiral Skerrett would remain in Honolulu until Hawaiian affairs were settled, as the Admiral had been in Honolulu during so much of the recent trouble. When the naval officers left the building the band played "The Star-Spangled Banner."

Although Admiral Skerrett had never expressed an opinion on the situation, or given any indication as to which side he sympathized with, he was highly esteemed by the officers of the provisional government. They look upon his removal at this time as an indication that the United States has done a decision adverse to annexation. One reason for this feeling may be an encounter which the chief of Admiral Irwin is said to have had with Commissioner Blount when that officer first arrived in Honolulu. When Commissioner Blount was introduced to the Admiral, the latter said he was glad to meet a man so well known as Mr. Blount, but said he could not recognize Mr. Blount as the representative of the United States until he had produced "My credentials are at my cottage," replied Mr. Blount.

The Admiral is reported to have said: "I cannot recognize you until you have produced your credentials." Mr. Blount recognized the justice of this position, but he was very angry, just the same. He reported to the President that Admiral Skerrett was not the proper man to have in Honolulu in case he should decide to put the Queen back on the throne.

Admiral Skerrett Surprised.

SAN FRANCISCO, Nov. 13.—The steamer China did not dock until 10 o'clock this morning, owing to the low tide. Owing to the fact that she arrived two days ahead of time, it was thought she brought news of great importance. But it turned out

that her quick trip was due to favorable weather. Among the passengers were Admiral Skerrett and family, Lieutenant Fox, his wife and two children, and Secretary Dole's wife. The naval officers were greatly surprised when they heard the news of the steamer's arrival. They would not express an opinion of any kind. It would be contrary to naval etiquette to do so. The Admiral stated he had received a cable message to go to China, but that he would not do so until he had received further orders will be received here.

Hawaiians in San Francisco are wondering how the royalist faction obtains its inside news. From the day the Queen was deposed the royalists have been in the lost confidence in their cause. They have made predictions as to the action of the United States, and the annexationists in Honolulu, when they heard the Queen had ordered her removal. It is the opinion of those who came from Honolulu on the steamer China that the royalists have seen no resistance if the United States orders the Queen put back, but that this government would not do so. The Queen would constantly on shore to maintain her on the throne, and that will practically amount to annexation. The singular anomaly of a territory of the United States governed by a woman who calls herself Queen, is a subject which has been discussed in this city for some time. It was reported by the steamer China which would throw further light on the situation at the Hawaiian Islands. The report is that in case the administration should ask the provisional government to step down and out the request could not be granted, but that in case the use of force should be threatened the provisional government could have but one course to pursue. Resistance to the power of the United States would be useless, but it would protest with all its moral force against such a high-handed outrage. Should a United States protectorate of the monarchy be withdrawn, the Hawaiian people would not be withdrawn. The next revolution would not be as peaceful as the last, and the monarchy would surely be overturned once and forever.

Purposes of the American League.

SAN FRANCISCO, Nov. 13.—The newspapers which support the monarchy have lately had much to say about the political organization known as the American League. This league is said to be a society composed of American residents of Honolulu pledged to maintain the provisional government in all things except compromise with the monarchy. The members are also said to be pledged to armed support of the authority of the provisional government. The establishment of a stable government, the organization is said to favor the increase of the Hawaiian population, the exclusion of military to a volunteer basis and popular representation in the advisory council, also the preference of American citizens as candidates for office. The league is a secret order and is supposed to have seventy or eighty members.

A CIPHER DISPATCH.

Gresham Gets News from Hawaii and Hurries to the President. WASHINGTON, Nov. 13.—The State Department to-day received a communication from Honolulu, telegraphed from San Francisco. It arrived by the steamer China early this morning. It is written in the Navy Department cipher. Experts were set to work upon the dispatch in the afternoon and labored over it for several hours. After securing the substance of this official communication, Secretary Gresham took a copy of it out to Woodley for a conference over the matter with President Cleveland. Nothing was given out from the State Department during the afternoon as to the substance of the dispatch.

It is said that the Hawaiian legation, was shown the dispatch from San Francisco containing the news of Minister Willis's arrival in Honolulu and his appointment to present his credentials to President Dole. This news was obviously a great relief to the minds of the members of the legation. They declined to talk about the matter as usual, but the satisfaction expressed at the presentation by Minister Willis of his credentials to President Dole suggested that they might have been accredited to the Queen. The plan of the Hawaiian representatives evidently is to stand rigidly on the rights they have acquired under the Hawaiian constitution. So much to the contrary, Minister Thurston touched the marrow of their hopes when he said yesterday in Chicago that any attempt to overthrow the present government by a foreign power would be an act of war. An act of war against the United States would mean that Congress would undoubtedly take up the subject of Hawaii in some way immediately. The light, it is said, will be made on the administration policy on the line that it has the China but said that he had received no information from the Hawaiian government. He said that the Hawaiian government should peacefully yield to the persuasion of the American minister is also discussed. It is suggested that the Hawaiian government should proceed to make a peaceful proceeding could be regarded as an act of war. It is argued that it would be the less because the power of the Hawaiian government is so overwhelmingly superior to the Hawaiian government.

It still remains an unsolvable problem to those of diplomatic experience how the American minister accredited to the Hawaiian government can immediately present his credentials, set about to destroy that government. This gives rise to the question, such as that the royalist party be pursued by the State Department has not hitherto been announced at all, but Secretary Gresham's report to the President was simply designed to give opportunity to read the public sentiment on the subject. It is not at all probable that this is true, but it shows that the policy of the Gresham report is considered so important that it is being discussed in a speculation cluster about it. There is a shrewd surmise in Washington that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will never be proffered to the United States. It is also suggested that the Hawaiian government is not to be followed by the entire withdrawal of the influence of the United States with the work of the Hawaiian administration once undone a protectorate may be established over the monarchy. One view is that the Hawaiian government would seem to be that annexation will