

THE DAILY JOURNAL

SATURDAY, OCTOBER 12, 1895.

WASHINGTON OFFICE—1410 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE

Telephone Calls. Business Office. 28 Editorial Rooms. 15

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

DAILY BY MAIL. Daily only, three months, \$2.00; six months, \$3.50; one year, \$6.00. Single copy, 5 cents.

WEEKLY. Per year, \$1.00.

Subscriptions to any of our numerous agents or send orders to the

JOURNAL NEWSPAPER COMPANY, Indianapolis, Ind.

Persons sending the Journal through the mails in the United States must pay on the right-hand page of the paper a three-cent postage stamp.

Foreign postage stamps must be enclosed with the subscription.

Advertisements intended for publication in this paper must, in order to receive attention, be accompanied by the name and address of the writer.

THE INDIANAPOLIS JOURNAL. Can be found at the following places: Franklin Hotel, 101 West Broadway; Chamberlain, 101 West Broadway; Chamberlain, 101 West Broadway; Chamberlain, 101 West Broadway.

PHILADELPHIA—A. P. Kemble, cor. Lancaster and Second streets.

CHICAGO—Palmer House, Auditorium Hotel and P. O. News Co., 43 Adams street.

CINCINNATI—J. H. Hawley & Co., 14 Vine street.

LOUISVILLE—C. T. Deering, northwest corner of Third and Jefferson streets.

WASHINGTON, D. C.—Riggs House, 1010 Pennsylvania avenue, and F. Street.

In Connecticut the Republicans more than held the gains made in the local elections last year at the voting on Tuesday.

The Democrats of Illinois are greatly relieved to hear from Governor Altgeld that he will not be a candidate for re-election, which means that he knows that he cannot be re-elected.

The Journal sincerely hopes that the Journal in Louisville with a Courier attachment is inspired by the true spirit of prophecy when it says that Senator Birkett "has never had the slightest chance of re-election."

When the result of the Indianapolis election reached the editorial writers of the New York World the Democratic majority was between 3,000 and 4,000. As a matter of fact, where it was a test of party voting the Democratic plurality was less than 1,200.

If it is true that Senator Brier will no longer contribute funds to support the Democratic State ticket, it is to be expected that the Therman committee on fighting Brier legislative candidates the campaign is ended for all practical purposes on the part of the Democrats.

The President has declined an escort of volunteer cavalry on the occasion of his coming visit to the Atlanta exposition, and expressed a wish that his journey may be as free from display as possible. He will be accompanied by several members of the Cabinet, and there will doubtless be some re-arrangement of the program.

During the past two weeks a class of twenty-two noncommissioned officers of the army have been passing an examination at Fort Leavenworth, Kansas, for promotion to lieutenants, and the result is that all except four have passed and will be commissioned. This proves that the best can win position in the army without West Point.

The negro building of the Atlanta exposition will be opened with appropriate ceremonies on the 21st inst. Every department of the building is said to be complete and creditable in every way. A brilliant programme of opening exercises has been prepared, in which colored men of national reputation will take part, and the event promises to be a notable one.

The report current in Madrid that the United States government has notified the Spanish minister at Washington that it is necessary for Spain to act promptly in suppressing the Cuban insurrection is not credible. It implies on the part of the administration a degree of spirit and sympathy with a struggling people which there is no reason to believe it possesses.

During July and August, 1894, 14,106,615 bushels of wheat were exported from the United States, while during those months in 1895 the quantity was 8,537,980 bushels. The value of wheat and flour exported during these months in 1894 was \$17,816,125, against \$13,128,194 during the corresponding months of 1895—a loss of \$4,687,941. On the other hand, we have rarely bought so many foreign goods as during the past three or four months. All of which proves that opening our markets to the world does not open the world's markets to us.

A prominent Spanish-American now in Washington, who is familiar with conditions in Cuba, says the insurgents are probably waiting for the "dry season" before putting forth their best efforts. This season begins with a north wind invariably on Oct. 24, and after that the surface of the country becomes parched and dry, and fields and forests so inflammable as to make wholesale destruction by fire very easy. The prospect of a campaign of incendiarism is not pleasant to contemplate, but neither is war in any form, and when people are fighting for their liberty the end justifies the means.

A circular sent out before the election urged everybody to vote the Prohibition ticket so as to be on the Lord's side. If that is not sheer blasphemy the result shows that the Lord's side is very weak, considering that He speaks through martlets, where the voice of the people is the voice of God. A total vote of 247 in an aggregate vote of 31,751, does not indicate a very loud voice. The Populists were not quite as strong, but they laid no claim to a monopoly of the mind of the Lord. But for the blasphemy of its assumption one might really enjoy the pleasure this toyog with politics seems to afford these people just as he enjoys the mimicry of children with their footings.

The following: Republicans, 15,145; Democrats, 14,713; Prohibitionists, 322; Unk., 1,431. In the Democratic figures, Mr. Cleveland directed his Attorney-general to enforce the anti-trust law against this Chicago "combine" that has been holding up the farmers with one hand and butchers and meat dealers with the other and levying tribute on everybody?

STATE BANK MONEY AGAIN. The fact that the Massachusetts Democratic declared in favor of State banks of issue and that the New York convention declared for the redemption and retirement of all government notes shows that the Eastern Democratic leaders and the Cleveland people will repeat their declaration of 1892 in favor of repealing the tax on the notes of State banks. The South wants State banks, and the bankers of the East would like a paper money system, which would afford them large profits as redemption agents. The Cleveland managers expect to win the South from free coinage for silver by offering it to the people the untaxed State bank notes. Free coinage of silver, it will be argued, can be of no use to a section which produces no silver, while the free issue of notes under State laws affords speculators a money without price and in almost unlimited quantities. The South will then have a money which, "will stay with them." The President is already committed to the scheme of the advocates of State banks of issue. The Cleveland-Carlisle currency scheme sent to the last House and defeated was chiefly in the interest of State banks of issue. It was defeated by Republicans and Northern Democrats.

The country has tried the State bank money. If the banks were sound and would redeem their notes they would not be current money outside those States, and legal tender nowhere. But such banks never have been sound, and under general State laws, with State supervision, they never can be made so. In many respects such paper money would be a greater curse to the people than the free coinage of silver. New York and Indiana might have laws which would make the notes issued by their State banks redeemable, but the majority of States would have no law banking laws that millions of dollars of irredeemable paper money would be put into circulation to become worthless in the hands of the masses who have always been compelled to take the poorer money when there was more than one quality. We must have a national paper as well as national metallic money. Once having had national bank bills, which are good everywhere, the people, in spite of the boycott of Mr. Sevier, will insist upon having such notes. Thirty years ago, when the mass of people traveled but little and traffic between sections was largely barter, good State bank notes would have answered, but now that so many people go from State to State they will not put up with bank notes upon which a discount must be paid in every other State to get notes which will pass.

Those people who are for State banks of issue are not, in fact, sound-money advocates. Any money which is not good for its face in all parts of the United States is not sound money.

THE NEW YORK WAY. The New York law requiring street-railroad franchises to be sold at auction to the highest bidder gives rise to some sharp practice among competitors for these properties. The law requires that not less than 3 per cent. of the gross receipts for the first five years and 5 per cent. thereafter shall go to the city. A few days ago a franchise was offered for sale which carried the way for railway purposes of more than twenty miles of streets in the upper part of New York city. There were three companies competing for it, and the first bid offered one-eighth of 1 per cent. over the legal requirement. Another company advanced the bid to three-sixteenths, and the third to five-eighths of 1 per cent. Then one of the companies dropped out and the other two continued to bid against each other, advancing the bids by fractions of sixteenths, eighths, quarters and halves until 4 per cent. was reached. The bidding at this rate continued four hours, when 10 per cent. was reached. The law says "the right, franchise and privilege of using any street, etc., shall be sold at public auction to the bidder who shall agree to give the city the largest percentage per annum of the gross receipts of that corporation," and the company which had bid 10 per cent. claimed that under this provision it was entitled to the franchise because the bidding could not go any higher. But the city controller and corporation, after consultation, concluded to let the bidding go on, and it did so by fractional percentages until it reached 6.975-16 per cent. of the gross receipts. At this point the city controller, through sheer fatigue, adjourned the bidding till next day. The highest bid at the time of adjournment was for nearly seventy times the gross receipts of the roads. As no company could afford to pay any such price for the franchise, it is evident that the bids were not in good faith, and that the competing companies were merely bidding for a technical advantage to be used in future litigation.

The largest annual import of wool and woolen goods, up to last year, was 286,645,545 pounds. In the past year the importation reached 449,071,134 pounds in wool and goods. At the same time that the wool has come in free and the woolen goods at a lower duty, \$42,000,000 of revenue, on the basis of the McKinley law, has been thrown away, and bonds have been sold abroad to supply the deficit.

In the matter of the divorce of Mrs. Amelia Rives Chanler from her husband there are premonitory symptoms of an avalanche of gush. The plain truth is that an emotional young woman married in haste and repented at leisure. In divorce nomenclature "incompetence" covers a wide range of faults, but they are generally pretty equally divided. Mrs. Chanler ought not to be encouraged in her attempt to pose as an injured child of genius.

BUBBLES IN THE AIR. Unlearned. Wats—Do you understand French? Potts—I do, when I speak it myself.

Natural. Minnie—I wonder if her complexion is natural? Mamie—Well, it is natural for a girl like her to paint, so I suppose it is.

His Flowing Locks. Harry Foote Ball—I have half a notion to resign from the team.

Who's Freshman?—Who is up, dear boy? "Blooming" old guy with a Populist badge on came up to me and shook me by the

hand and asked me how 16 to 1 was coming along down in my neighborhood." The Cheerful Idiot. "I see," said the reader board, "that Mrs. Amelia Rives-Chanler has got a divorce. I wonder what was the cause?" "Probably," said the Cheerful Idiot, "she preferred to be the widow of the quick rather than the dead."

THE RESULT YESTERDAY can be charged to Republican blunders—Porter County Victim.

The election of the Democratic candidate for Mayor at Indianapolis was no dot largely due to the unpopularity of the Nicholson liquor law.—Gas City Journal.

The Indianapolis Democrats are crying "victory," "victory," when there was no victory. The contest was not in any sense a party contest except that the candidates bore party names.—Lithart Review.

There are "good citizens" who expend so much time in getting Republican Legislatures to pass temperance laws that they are not fit to be entrusted with the party on election day.—Terre Haute Tribune.

The extensive street improvements now under way in Indianapolis, under Mayor Denny's strict enforcement of the law and the warm antagonism to the Nicholson law created an opposition that carried the day.—Lithart Review.

The election has proven that when Republican voters get real mad they will refuse to go to the polls and cast their ballots. The fact is, the party had a big load of work to do, and was crushed under the weight of it.—Evanville Journal.

The Republican who is not a good enough Republican to vote for General Harrison, under any and all circumstances, ought not to be entrusted with the party on election day.—Lithart Review.

It is a sad commentary on Indianapolis morals, but shows pretty clearly who rules in the large cities of the State. It also demonstrates that a mugwump is not the proper man to run for an office when the State is liable to be close.—Petersburg Press.

The fact that the Democratic majority on the larger part of the ticket at Indianapolis was only about 1,000 proves that had it not been for the unpopularity of the Nicholson liquor law, the result would have been a Republican slump in Indianapolis.—Terre Haute Tribune.

A combination of causes, with unlimited cash paid to Taggart by the brewers all over the State, defeated a man who would have made Indianapolis a good, business Mayor, and elected a man who is so engaged in the liquor traffic that he will be a disgrace.—Fowler Republican Era.

The Indianapolis Journal clearly demonstrates that the Democratic victory of Tuesday was not due to a general return of the Democrats to their own ranks, but to the head-on collision of the Republicans in the account of the unpopularity of the Nicholson liquor law.—Richmond Telegraph.

The Indianapolis election should teach the Republican party throughout the State that there is such a thing as straight-forward temperance, which is all right; and that there is such a thing as political expediency, which is all wrong in the defeat of the Republican ticket.—Elwood Call.

In cities there is a large class of persons who want great freedom in the saloon traffic. Temperance stands but little show in the large cities, and if morality and observance of the Sabbath is to prevail throughout the State, it is necessary to have a party regardless of party affiliation will have to vote to down the bumper element of the State.—Lithart Review.

The result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.

Truister's defeat has little political significance in it. The issues were local and personal. Truister has been too closely allied with machine politics and was popular among the uneducated and unprincipled. While Truister would no doubt have given the city a cleaner government, but a man who would not the man to lead in a race for an elective office.—Anderson Herald.

The Tribune believes it essential to future success in Indiana to look to the result of Tuesday's election in Indianapolis as of more than mere local importance—not that it is significant of the political undercurrent of the whole State—we can not say that it is. It is a very important work at once. It also shows the effect of choking unpopular candidates down the throat, and the result of the Indianapolis election is taken by some Democrats, and indeed by some Republicans, to indicate a revulsion of public sentiment in favor of the Democratic party. This is a very important question in the Indianapolis contest. The issues were purely local. We see the result of the Indianapolis election in the Indianapolis election.—Union City Eagle.