

Slit Waists Two advantages you have here. First, there's your collection of the latest Novelties; second, quality considered, they are quite less expensive. To look to buy, either way. We welcome you.

SEPARATE SKIRTS Find what your dressmaker would charge for the same goods, and how much can be saved by purchasing the ready-made. Most any cloth and made correctly, or we wouldn't have them.

SHIRT WAISTS There will be more demand for Shirt Waists this spring than ever before. We are showing some styles now, the

Up-to-Date Marquis Waist and they are perfect fitting. You can see them in the last week of the sale of the SIMON STERN'S MUSLIN UNDERWEAR SALE.

L. S. Ayres & Co. Butcher's patterns.

MARCH MEDLEY Time for all sorts of house fixing and furnishing.

At Pennsylvania and Market Sts. You will find in complete array, at lowest prices, goods in

Wall Paper and Furniture Upstairs, over Pearson's Music Store, opposite the Denison House, you will find

Carpets, Rugs and Curtains 'Twill be worth your while and money to come.

FASTMAN, SCHLEICHER & LEE. ON EXHIBITION The Famous Soderholm Photographs

Boston Public Library A BRONCHO BUSTER (BRONZE). By Frederick Remington.

THE H. LIEBER COMPANY, 22 South Meridian St. THE FAMOUS ALEXANDRE GLOVES

MADE FOR TUCKERS BY THE ALEXANDRE GLOVE FACTORY GLOVE STORE

TUCKER, Agent, 10 East Wash. St. THE STATE PHOTOGRAPHERS.

Some of the Finest Work in the Country Will Be Shown.

The photographers of the State take the city to-day and to-morrow. They will be in convention here, it being their annual meeting and exhibit. The first session will be held to-day at Maennerchor Hall.

Cloudy weather may be expected for two or three days. For the photographer generally come when the weather is bad and business at home is consequently slow.

The members of the State association began to arrive last night, many of them bringing exhibits of work. A number of firms dealing in photographic supplies are also here.

For the purpose of getting acquainted with the men who take pictures and have need for supplies, Maennerchor Hall was being arranged for the exhibit last night. The decorations were artistic, of course, for photographers have an eye for such matters.

During the exhibit some of the finest work in the country will be shown, including groups and collections which have won prizes and medals. The public is welcome at the exhibit, and it is desired that people call and see what the photographers have done.

They no longer call it a business, but a profession, and in the past have made to take the annual meeting and exhibit away from Indianapolis. Visitors have criticized this city for being cold—not that kind of cold which comes from a want of fuel, but the chilliness of indifference.

For the purpose of making a list to have the next meeting held there.

HAD THE CHLOROFORM HABIT. Peter Ireland, a bright young Negro, Found Dead at Irvington.

Peter Ireland, a young colored man about twenty-three years old, was found dead yesterday in the rooms of the Commercial Club at Irvington. He died from an overdose of chloroform, which was probably not taken with suicidal intent.

Ireland was the janitor about the building. For some time he has had the "chloroform habit," resorting to the use of the drug almost with the persistence of an opium addict. He lived with his grandparents near the end of the Irvington car line, and was considered a bright young man. The coroner investigated the case.

A Doctor from India. Dr. W. J. Wanless, of Miral, India, addressed the students of the Medical College of Indiana last evening on the practice of medicine in India. He is in this country on a furlough of a year from his duties as a medical missionary in western India.

He was graduated from the medical college of the University of New York, and is now representing the student volunteer movement for foreign missions. He is a graduate of several medical colleges, and this afternoon, at 3 o'clock, will address the ladies of the Mayflower Congregational Church. He leaves to-morrow for his home, in Toronto, Canada.

Found Bound to a Post. George James, a seventeen-year-old lad, living near Central avenue and Twenty-second street, was tied to an electric light post by a gang of young toughs yesterday afternoon, and suffered considerably from exposure to the cold. The boy was found at his home at the time. He was bound so tight that he was unable to breathe, and remained tied to the post for an hour. The rope, by shutting off the circulation of the blood in various parts of his limbs, added to his misery. A woman, who happened to be passing by, released him.

ONE JUROR PROTESTS

DIDN'T INTEND THAT MURDERER ROOKER SHOULD GO FREE. Sellers Says He Would Have Spoken Had Prosecuting Attorney Wilcox Taken a Poll of the Jury.

THOUGHT ROOKER GUILTY AGREED, HOWEVER, TO HAVE HIM SENT TO THE INSANE ASYLUM.

Will Make Affidavit To-Day In Court—Further Light on the Bungled Murder Case.

The clouds of mystery which have hung about that remarkable verdict brought in Monday by the jury in the Rooker murder case are being gradually swept away.

Some startling things have developed in the last twenty-four hours, and the secret which the jurors sought so diligently to keep is no longer a secret.

Alleged facts came to light yesterday evening which show that no right verdict was reached.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

From every quarter of the city came murmurings of dissatisfaction. On every corner and in almost every business house the verdict of the jury was characterized in the strongest terms.

What effect these new developments may have in the case as it stands at the present time remains to be seen.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

From every quarter of the city came murmurings of dissatisfaction. On every corner and in almost every business house the verdict of the jury was characterized in the strongest terms.

What effect these new developments may have in the case as it stands at the present time remains to be seen.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

From every quarter of the city came murmurings of dissatisfaction. On every corner and in almost every business house the verdict of the jury was characterized in the strongest terms.

What effect these new developments may have in the case as it stands at the present time remains to be seen.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

From every quarter of the city came murmurings of dissatisfaction. On every corner and in almost every business house the verdict of the jury was characterized in the strongest terms.

What effect these new developments may have in the case as it stands at the present time remains to be seen.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

From every quarter of the city came murmurings of dissatisfaction. On every corner and in almost every business house the verdict of the jury was characterized in the strongest terms.

What effect these new developments may have in the case as it stands at the present time remains to be seen.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

From every quarter of the city came murmurings of dissatisfaction. On every corner and in almost every business house the verdict of the jury was characterized in the strongest terms.

What effect these new developments may have in the case as it stands at the present time remains to be seen.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

From every quarter of the city came murmurings of dissatisfaction. On every corner and in almost every business house the verdict of the jury was characterized in the strongest terms.

What effect these new developments may have in the case as it stands at the present time remains to be seen.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

From every quarter of the city came murmurings of dissatisfaction. On every corner and in almost every business house the verdict of the jury was characterized in the strongest terms.

What effect these new developments may have in the case as it stands at the present time remains to be seen.

When the public woke up yesterday morning, and at the breakfast table read the news that one of the foulest murderers that ever graced the Marion county jail had been acquitted, there was a general protest.

HE TALKS OF TROOPS

JOSEPH REILLY SPECULATES ON THE NEXT LEGISLATURE. Ex-Secretary of the Democratic Committee Shows What His Party Plans May Lead To.

The local Democratic leaders are apparently innocent, but none the less interested, spectators of the several steps that the Republican State committee has taken to reorganize the party.

Joseph Reilly, late secretary of the Democratic State committee, who is well posted on the Democratic programme, said he did not know that a meeting of Democrats had been called to consider the new conditions that have arisen by reason of the resignation of Governor Harrison.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

Reilly said that he had been called to a meeting of the Democratic State committee yesterday brought the information that Chairman Holt had gone to South Bend on private business and that he would not be back until to-morrow.

THE CITY A SQUATTER

COUNTY HAS NO RIGHT TO RENT COURT HOUSE BASEMENT. So It Would Appear from a Supreme Court Decision Handed Down Yesterday.

The Supreme Court yesterday rendered a decision which may have a peculiar bearing on the relation of Indianapolis and the County Commissioners.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county. The attorneys were paid \$200 for their services in the lower court, and asked the additional allowance for taking the case to the Supreme Court.

The County Commissioners offered \$100 for this service, but ex-Mayor Tappan presented the claim, said his clients could not accept of taking less than \$1,000. The attorneys will appeal from the board and present the claim to the Circuit Court.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

The County Commissioners have refused to allow the claim of attorneys Hanna and McBride for \$1,000 additional fees in the case of Willie Reed, who was tried for murder in Hancock county.

THE GOVERNOR'S INCONSISTENCY

He Himself Practically Declared One Law Unconstitutional. A prominent Republican yesterday called attention perfunctorily to a paragraph of Governor Matthews' reply to the Republican State central committee.

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."

The Governor's reply to the Republican State central committee. The Governor said: "You state your claim in your statement under consideration that the law of 1885 is self-evidently unconstitutional. I readily concede to you the right to prosecute this to be the case, but I cannot assume the law of 1885 to be unconstitutional until it has been declared so by the highest judicial tribunal of our State."