

SUNDAY MORNING, NOVEMBER 29, 1868. (For the Sunday Crescent.)

Blossom-Sweet.

A gentle maiden pure of guile, Her chiefest power that she was weak; A girl with lilies on her cheek, And violets in her virgin smile.

No way of use except more fair To make our life, and lift on high, The soul in carols up the sky, Like lark-songs to the morning air.

No other strength with beauty blent, She lived, and breathed, and blossomed so, As though you saw a flower grow, Nor ever asked why it was sent.

And as the flower doth feel the sun, Unfolding to his fervid rays, Its perfumed bosom; when the days Had circled rounds of sweetness run,

And twice nine summers come and gone, Had ripened radiance in her eyes, And maiden wisdom made her wise, She saw, and seeing, loved her sun.

Nov. 15, 1868.

A SOUTHERN WOMAN'S APPEAL TO SOUTHERN WOMEN.

In this matter, I make my appeal to women rather than to men. Because in their hands lies the remedy for the evil I deplore, as with them rests in a great measure the onus of countering scurrilous abuse and ridicule. It is, therefore, optional with them to discourage or to encourage it, and I cannot believe that the women of the South when the matter is laid before them to be viewed calmly, will deliberately do the latter.

Enter ninety-nine houses in the hundred in the South, where books and papers are purchased, and what is the status of the literature you will meet? Are they not Northern abolition trash, Harper's Monthly, Weekly and Bazar, Frank Leslie's, New York Ledger, Godey's Monthly, and different monthlies filled to repletion with abuse and ridicule and falsehoods of the South, her people and their institutions? Like the country man in the fable, we warm the viper at our hearthstone and then are astounded that it turns and stings. Are they not Northern abolition trash, Harper's Monthly, Weekly and Bazar, Frank Leslie's, New York Ledger, Godey's Monthly, and different monthlies filled to repletion with abuse and ridicule and falsehoods of the South, her people and their institutions? Like the country man in the fable, we warm the viper at our hearthstone and then are astounded that it turns and stings.

But if we are Southern, for Heaven's sake let us be Southern, and let us support and aid our Southern papers and magazines. If we do that they can afford to give us papers and magazines that are equal in attraction to illustrations and interesting in contents, and certainly superior in point of tone to the publications of the North flooding the South now. If we do our duty in this, no fear but it will meet an ample reward, and I trust my appeal will meet with the response that I crave for it from my Southern sisters.

CLARE.

PRESENTER, FURNITURE.—There are many who, whenever necessitated to purchase furniture, are always disposed to select such articles as they indelicately imagine to be commensurate with their limited means, apparently oblivious of the fact that a good piece of workmanship is ultimately far less expensive, and one from which more satisfaction is to be derived in every respect. We were induced to their remarks from a recent visit to the extensive establishment of Messrs. Meyer, Kottwitz & Co., No. 42 Royal street, the rare beauty of whose stock, durability of material and unprecedently low prices need to be inspected and inquired into to be fully appreciated. There are but few dealers in our city whose assortment possesses more actual completeness in every particular, or is better adapted for city or country patronage—for just only has the utmost precaution been bestowed upon the purchase of every article, but each piece upon its arrival is carefully examined, and if defaced in the remotest degree, is immediately transferred to the job department, where skillful mechanics restore it to original polish ere it is presented for sale, thereby obviating all unpleasantness and dissatisfaction which frequently arise from shipping packages just as they are received from first sources. We therefore enjoin on all who contemplate obtaining any articles in this line to pay a visit to these enterprising and courteous gentlemen, feeling assured that they have only to look through their magnificent establishment to release their purchases and gratify themselves.

FRESH ARRIVALS AT QUELLE & NIPPERT'S.—As this is the time for the ladies to make their purchases of Christmas and New Year's gifts, we will make mention of the fact that Messrs. Goeble & Nippert, 157 Canal street, are just in receipt of an immense stock of novelties fresh from European factories, comprising a rare and select assortment of jewelry, cloaks, trousseaux, worsted goods, ladies' furnishings, goods, kid gloves, and a variety of articles the like of which is not to be found elsewhere in the city. Their prices are in accordance with the times, and those visiting this establishment will always meet with the most courteous attention. Give them an early call or else you may not have the pleasure of making your selections before the pretties are pretty well culled over.

THE ICEBERG.—Quite a large and respectable delegation of Frank B. Richards' friends assembled last Saturday evening at the opening of his handsome drinking saloon, No. 570 Magazine street. The occasion was one long to be remembered, for Frank presided with his characteristic hospitality and dispensed the contents of flowing bowls with no parsimonious hand.

This cozy little retreat, which he has denominated the Iceberg, and although the name may suggest an iceberg, is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

As to the matter of the Iceberg, it is a most comfortable and pleasant place, and its salubrious interior, and the fastidious attention to the details of the establishment, fully justify the name which is so prominently and so happily given.

INDUSTRIAL ITEMS, ETC.

An English workman's own farm of sixty acres, and its profits are £60,000 a year. In Virginia farmers are raising pecan nuts for trade.

The annual agricultural products of Massachusetts are valued at \$14,000,000. Wolcott, Vt., has the largest pill-box manufactory but one in the world.

All the woolen mills on the Pacific coast are in successful operation. The dwellings of 25,000,000 people in this country are chiefly of wood, as are their outbuildings.

A turkey in Vermont laid 125 eggs the past season, and then hatched and raised a brood. Santa Clara county, Cal., has 17,000 English walnut trees.

At Ridgway, N. C., there is a peach orchard of 200,000 trees being planted. Since January last, Boston has received 150,000 hogheads and boxes of sugar.

A pig weighing 500 pounds was slaughtered in Shreveport last week. Two counties in California have 20,000 orange trees and 5000 lemon trees.

The best-root sugar crop of Europe last year was valued at \$100,000. The Amesbury Mills are building 400 tenements for their employes.

A cotton factory is to be established in Terra Haute, Indiana, with a cash capital of \$100,000. It is stated that in the immediate vicinity of Centralia, Illinois, were 2052 acres planted fruit.

A right to fish in Taunton Great river, Massachusetts, has just been sold for \$175. It costs \$25,000,000 annually to supply sleepers for the railways in the United States.

The annual value of French cutlery is about 20,000,000 francs. A farmer in Ohio has, this season, 2000 barrels of apples from an eight-acre orchard.

Last year, Paris consumed 14,000 tons of perch fish and 24,000 dozen oysters. On the 9th inst. Chicago had in store 2,920,500 bushels of grain.

The largest and best French prunes come from Touraine. The expenses of surveying the public lands are considerably over \$400,000 a year.

Sixty-six occupations subsist principally on the use of wood, and they must annually use more. The aggregate earnings of fourteen Northwestern railroads, during October, amounted to \$8,044,000.

The cranberry crop of New Jersey, which has just been harvested, is the finest and largest ever gathered in that State.

An egg-dealer in Worthington, Ohio, sells his egg-fruit, according to size. He has a board with three holes in it, by which he grades the eggs.

There is in Washington City a special manufactory of theodolites and surveying apparatus of various kinds. It takes 50,000 acres of wheat, averaging fifteen bushels to the acre, to keep one of the Chicago flour mills employed all the year.

A vein of coal three feet in thickness has for some time been opened out about two miles from Rochester, Cedar county, Iowa. The annual earnings of the American people are estimated at \$7,500,000,000 a year.

The weekly capacity of the Communipaw, N. J., abattoir for slaughtering, is, from 6500 to 7000 hogs, 25,000 sheep, and 35,000 swine. The number of trades unions in the United States is said to be 677, with an aggregate membership of 79,398.

During the last forty years 61,300,000 square feet of granite has been taken from the quarries in Quincy, Mass. A committee of Michigan fruit-growers has visited the region of Cairo, Ill., and think it the finest fruit country in the Union.

The Vermont House of Representatives have passed a bill, fixing the standard weight of a bushel of onions at 54 pounds. The Children's Aid Society of Brooklyn, New York, is engaged in teaching girls and women how to operate sewing machines of every make.

The manufactured goods of Newark alone last year amounted to \$40,000,000, and her sister cities in all to \$10,000,000 more. A way of giving an elegant polish to Quincy granite has been discovered and is being utilized for works of art.

In Hanover county, N. C., a mine of mica is being worked, in which sheets are found 24 inches long by 16 in width. An iron furnace will begin operations at Rockwood, East Tennessee, in a few days. Its capacity will be some ten tons daily.

From St. Albans, Vermont, there was sent last week 2,730,284 pounds of butter, most of which went to Boston. The castor oil beans in the St. Louis market range from \$9 to \$11 25 per bushel. Last year 50,000 bushels were sold in that market.

A New Haven inventor has machines now in operation which will pour out perfectly made fish-bone as ovals run from a rotating mill. Wood now pays more than one-half the entire internal revenue of this country, as used in buildings, manufactures, mills, ships, tools, roads, railroads, etc.

Mirabeau said that 32 per cent. of the whole area of a land should always be timbered. Rontsch places it at 20 to 25 per cent., in order to keep the soil productive and tillable. Mr. Hills, of Vernon Center, Iowa, has one hundred heads of bees, from which three thousand seven hundred pounds of honey have been produced this year.

A recent account of the industrial establishments in Leipzig states that the number of printing offices amounts to 60, with about 800 compositors. The yield of wine in California this year will reach 5,000,000 or 6,000,000 gallons, to which must be added from 300,000 to 400,000 gallons of grape brandy.

It is stated that not less than \$50,000,000 are involved in the mining of copper in Michigan, and 30,000 to 40,000 people are dependent upon the mines in this large capital investment. During the week ending the 18th there were 15,810 cases boots and shoes shipped from Boston, of which 1283 cases were shipped to New Orleans.

From 1st January to 21st October, 1868, New York imported 12,000 packages of almonds, 13,000 packages of walnuts, 2,740 packages of filberts, 4,016,000 cocoanuts; the whole valued at \$82,500. Two hundred and fifty millions of passengers, traveling last year, on 3,500,000 trains, over 70,000,000 miles on the railroads in England.

The crop of Barbadoes for the current year has been all shipped. It comprises 8806 hogheads of sugar, 23,643 puncheons of rum, 1319 hogheads and 1755 boxes of molasses. A reservoir intended to hold 1,000,000,000 gallons of water is being built in the San Andreas valley. See a notice on page 4.

The Germania Beet Sugar Company of Livings town county, Vt., consume about forty-nine tons of sugar every twenty-four hours, and expect to increase to sixty tons per day very soon. A miniature cherry tree, growing from ten inches to three feet high, with a round, globular head, as hardy as an oak tree, and bearing large crops of very sweet cherries, is indigenous in Utah.

The value of the wheat imported into France in the first seven months of this year was £10,520,784, as compared with £2,770,416 in the corresponding period of 1867, and £59,171 in the corresponding period of 1866. Large iron works in Boone county, Tennessee, are just going into operation, under the control of Gen. J. T. Wilder and W. O. Rockwood. The coal and iron are almost side by side, and the Tennessee river is adjacent.

THE COURTS.

Sixth District Court.

R. E. Diamond vs. George Cain. The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

THE COURTS.

Sixth District Court.

R. E. Diamond vs. George Cain. The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John R. Conway, the mayor of the city. That Conway's authority to appoint to such an office was derived from the charter of the city; that George Cain, without authority of law and in direct violation of his (petitioner's) rights, claims and usurps the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans, and that he is entitled to the office of chief of police of the city of New Orleans.

The petition filed in this case on the 23rd October, 1868, he was duly appointed chief of police for the city of New Orleans by John