

THE DEMOCRAT

Published at Honolulu, T. H., each day
except Sunday, by
H. A. JUEN

E. P. IRWIN Editor

FRIDAY OCT. 28, 1910

TERRITORIAL TICKET

DELEGATE TO CONGRESS:
ELELE:

L. L. McCANDLESS
(Linekona Eliwai)

SENATORS—NA SENATOA:

J. S. KALAKIELA
B. G. RIVENBURGH (Livini)
W. S. EDINGS (Ekini)
E. K. HANAPE

REPRESENTATIVES 4th DISTRICT:
LUNAMAKAAINANA APANA EHA:

SOLOMON MEHEULA
FRED TURRILL (Wela ka Hao)
G. K. KEAWEHAKU (Gabriel)
E. H. F. WOLTERS (Walaka)
F. C. BENEVEDES (Palakiko)
E. K. RATHBURN

REPRESENTATIVES 5th DISTRICT:
LUNAMAKAAINANA APANA 5:

GUS KALEOHANO
J. K. LUKA
DAVID KUPIHEA
EDWARD LIKE
J. M. POEPOE
SAM KAOHELE

COUNTY TICKET

MAYOR—MEIA:

JOSEPH J. FERN (Keo Pana)

SUPERVISORS—NA LUNAKIAI:

IOELA KIAKAHI
W. H. McCLELLAN (Makalena)
M. C. PACHECO (Kahuna Nui)
ROBERT PAHAU
H. H. PLEMER (Palima)
LESTER PETRIE (Pikale)
M. E. SILVA (Manuela Kiliwa)

SHERIFF—MAKAI NUI:

WM. PAUL JARRETT
(Haalilio Opio)

AUDITOR—LUNA HOOIA:

J. C. ANDERSON
(Keoni Anekona)

CLERK—KAKAUOLELO:

CHAS. BAKER (Kale Beka)

ATTORNEY—LOIO:

WADE WARREN THAYER
(Kea)

TREASURER—PUUKU:

R. H. TRENT (Kalena)

DEPUTY SHERIFFS:

NA HOPE MAKAI:

Honolulu:
CHAS. H. ROSE (Kale Loke)

Ewa:
J. KUHIMANA

Waialua:
THOS. CLARKE (Kalaka)

Waianae:
R. GILLILAND (Kililana)

Koolauloa:
HENRY K. KALAWAIA

Koolaupoko:
R. W. DAVIS

TYRANNICAL REIGN OF THE SUGAR OLIGARCHY

For years Hawaii has been under the domination of an oligarchy that has conducted public affairs exclusively for its own benefit and without any regard whatever for the good of the community as a whole. Sugar is King and has been king for years. But the sugar dynasty is about at an end. Its day has come—its tale is about ended.

There is nothing inherently wrong about sugar, nor is there any harm whatever in the growing of sugar-cane and the conversion of its juice into the finished product.

But for some inscrutable reason, treachery, fraud, coercion, intimidation and a whole catalogue of wrongs have for many years been connected with sugar. Sugar and the slave trade were intimately associated in the South before the war. Sugar and contract labor had their beginnings in Hawaii about the same time and contract labor in Hawaii was to all intents and purposes the same as slavery in Hawaii in the early days of the sugar industry.

Ed Towse, one of the candidates on the Republican ticket, in a speech at Punahou park last Wednesday night, made the statement that the day he landed on Hawaiian soil and walked up Alakea street, he saw pasted on the dead walls advertisements offering rewards for the capture of Chinese contract laborers who had escaped from their masters.

Those days, Towse said, are past—but it is plainly evident that the sugar interests, who are the masters of the Republican party, are sorry that they are past. If we still had slavery, or contract labor, perhaps the immigration question would not be worrying the Republican party in this present election campaign.

But from the days of contract labor peonage to the present Hawaii has been dominated by the oligarchy that has always been ready to sacrifice the interests of others to the attainment of its own selfish aims. It has pressed ahead to its goal regardless of the fact that the wheels of its progress crushed the poor man to the ground, forced the Hawaiian from his ancestral holdings, reduced him to serfdom or poverty and ground freedom and the rights of man into the dust!

This oligarchy is really the Republican party. Its members would just as soon be Democrats or Socialists or Prohibitionists or Anarchists if by so being they could better attain their objects, carry out their selfish schemes, make more money and acquire more power. But the Republican party and the principles of that party are admirably suited to their ends, and so they are Republicans.

These Special Interests that fatten themselves at public expense, that trample upon the rights of the poor that they may become richer, that contravene all the laws of God and man that they may attain their own objects, are the Republican party in Hawaii. They defy the victims of their selfishness to vote the Democratic ticket, and expect those victims to bow to their arrogated authority—to obey their behests and to continue in power the "Lords of the Land!"

For many years the Hawaiians have been subjected to the power of the Special Interests. Their lands have been taken from them by lawless but legal methods. They have contributed to the wealth of their masters and have received nothing for themselves. Their complaints have been ignored, their protests unheeded. The planters have offered to pay them a wage that no man can live on decently, and then because the Hawaiians refused to work for that wage, have branded them as lazy, as cumberers of the earth, as worthless, as vagabonds.

How long are the Hawaiians going to stand the ignominy? How long are

they going to continue to allow the Special Interests to make capital of their misfortunes and then to flaunt them with the same?

Are they always going to allow themselves to be called lazy, shiftless, worthless, simply because they refuse to accept the starvation wages offered by these impudent lords of the earth? Are they to be insulted day by day, deprived of their homes, subjected to ignominy and contumely merely because they will not become slaves?

That is what the Republican party requires of them. That is what the sugar interests demand!

And because the Hawaiians have refused to be made slaves, because they repudiate the system of peonage that the Republican Special Interests have tried to set up in these Islands, the Special Interests, Republican all of them, have resorted to assisted immigration. They have imported Portuguese, Spaniards and Russians, and when any of these people have learned that they do not have to be slaves, when any of them have asserted their rights under the American Flag and demanded higher wages, better conditions of life, better education of their children, when they have dared to espouse the cause of the Democrats who are trying to better conditions in Hawaii, they have been insulted by the Republican leaders, the Special Interests, and their paid organ, the Advertiser.

How long is this condition of affairs to last? How long are the people of Hawaii going to submit to the rule of an oligarchy? Is it always to be that when a man dares to tell the truth about conditions in Hawaii, he is to be discharged from his position and an attempt made by the Special Interests to run him out of Hawaii?

That is the system in effect now. It is time that it were changed. It is time that liberty became a right of the people of Hawaii as it is the right of the citizens of other parts of the United States.

What are the voters going to do about it? Are they going, on November 8, to vote for the Republican ticket and for a continuation of the vicious system that is now in effect, or are they going to declare their manhood, vote according to their own consciences and put an end to oligarchical rule in Hawaii?

It is up to the voters. They have their inalienable right to express their real convictions at the polls. The election comes on November 8. **Remember the date and don't forget the issues!**

The white men who were willing to pose for a photograph on a Jap job, to deceive the public into believing the work was being done by citizen labor, must have about as little respect for themselves as the man who asked them to pose had for them. Probably not one of them cares to face a mirror since that day. It must be rather hard to have to blush in one's own face over such a disgraceful act.

COMMUNICATED

Mr. Editor: From an outsider's point of view it does seem strange that the Advertiser should, day in and day out, harp about Mr. L. McCandless and his immigration policy, as though he could sway the issue to suit himself. Does not the Advertiser know—is its knowledge so limited that it does not know—that neither McCandless nor any other man can sway the issue one way or the other? It depends entirely upon Uncle Sam whether we are to have it or not. If he says we are to have it, that's a go; if, on the contrary, he says no, that settles it for all time,—and let no one forget it. That being the naked truth, why does the Advertiser keep on harping daily about McCandless' immigration policy? Is it possible that there is something mysterious behind the scenes that the Advertiser and the clique that it represents are afraid of? That is to say, if McCandless should be elected as our Delegate, he might tell Congress the ins and outs of certain things which this Secret Clique is doing for its own benefit, and naturally would want to keep concealed within the dark recesses of its own midnight chamber?

There is certainly something radically wrong somewhere, for where there is smoke there must be some fire. To voice the utterance of a Good Republican the other day: "I have known Link for a good many years. I have always found him a man, from every standpoint. Although I do not agree with him in his immigration policy, still at the same time the attitude the Advertiser has taken against him has made me do some very tall thinking, and I am persuaded that every sane man will view it in that light. There must be a nigger in the woodpile somewhere, that the Advertiser does not want the people to know about, hence this daily ranting about McCandless and his immigration policy, trying its level best to keep him from being elected, so they can, as usual, go on in the even tenor of their way and d—the public, as per Commodore Vanderbilt. For that reason alone, I shall vote for Link, as I am very anxious to find out who this nigger is that is hid in the woodpile."

DEMOCRAT.



W. P. JARRETT
For Sheriff

This space reserved for

"The Two Jacks"