

BY DUFF GREEN.

THE PILOT & TRANSCRIPT

Published at No. 11, Water Street, Baltimore, opposite Clearance, by Duff Green, Proprietor.

TERMS.—Daily, at Six Dollars per annum in advance, or Twelve and a half Cents per week payable to the carrier.

Extra, in pamphlet form, and double Royal size One Dollar, for twenty-five numbers.

TERMS OF ADVERTISING.—For one square 1 insertion, 50 cts. 2 do. 1 do. 100 cts. 3 do. 1 do. 150 cts. 4 do. 1 do. 200 cts. 5 do. 1 do. 250 cts. 6 do. 1 do. 300 cts. 7 do. 1 do. 350 cts. 8 do. 1 do. 400 cts. 9 do. 1 do. 450 cts. 10 do. 1 do. 500 cts. 11 do. 1 do. 550 cts. 12 do. 1 do. 600 cts. 13 do. 1 do. 650 cts. 14 do. 1 do. 700 cts. 15 do. 1 do. 750 cts. 16 do. 1 do. 800 cts. 17 do. 1 do. 850 cts. 18 do. 1 do. 900 cts. 19 do. 1 do. 950 cts. 20 do. 1 do. 1000 cts.

FIVE DAYS' LATER FROM ENGLAND.

LOUIS NAPOLEON IN PRISON—THE MOVEMENTS OF FRANCE AND ENGLAND.

By the arrival of the packet ship Quebec, Captain Hebard, we have received files of London papers to 12th of August, inclusive.

Louis Napoleon is in prison. The intentions of Louis Napoleon were known for several days to the French Government, and preparations were made to receive him at Calais, Boulogne, and Dieppe, which was uncertain at which of the three places his Imperial Majesty was to effect a landing.

No news was current on Saturday relative to the diplomatic relations of England and France. Public opinion was much calmer on the subject, and the funds advanced two per cent. on Friday and Saturday.

Our correspondent at Boulogne, informs us that Prince Louis Napoleon left that town on Saturday, under an escort of gendarmes. His destination is supposed to be the fortress of Ham or Picardy.

Paris, Aug. 10.—Louis Phillip came to Paris unexpectedly on Sunday morning, and Louis Napoleon was brought to Paris in the course of Saturday, for examination at the chief office of police.

The papers dismiss the eastern question for the present, and occupy their columns solely with the details of Louis Napoleon's mad attempt at Boulogne. They contain reports from the prefect, the mayor, and the commander of the port, giving the most minute circumstances, but not offering any material fact with which we are unacquainted.

The Paris papers all concur in viewing it as the most brainless expedition ever planned, and wonder how Louis Napoleon could have been entrapped into such an act of folly.

Some of the persons taken with him are said to be agents of the police, who have been paid for the risk of life they incurred on the occasion, and for the measure of punishment which, to save appearances, they will undergo.

If the prince was not misled by the manoeuvres of those persons, how is it possible that he could have been so easily taken, and that he should have been engaged in it, he did not take the common precaution of securing a retreat.

The plan of invading the army of forty was to have surprised the garrisons of Boulogne and Montreuil, and then marched up to Dieppe to secure the King's person at Chateau d'Eu. Louis Phillip, once their prisoners, the conquest of France was to follow of course, and which Napoleon II. was to be proclaimed, and the live eagle maintained at the public cost in Notre Dame, as St. Anthony's ravens are maintained in the cathedral church at Lisbon.

Fate, however, has outshone these brilliant designs. The Prince is now a prisoner at Ham, to be tried, not by the Chamber of Peers, as was at first supposed, but by the ordinary criminal courts in common with the other delinquents. It is said that the law will be allowed to take its course, as the assassin-like act of firing on an unarmed man relieves the Government of trying him for high treason, and places him on a level with other malefactors.

The case of the Paris press is much reduced with regard to the supposed cause of quarrel with Great Britain, and it is to their credit that none of the papers accuse us of having been parties to Louis Napoleon's escapade. Peace now seems to be the order of the day.

Before setting out for St. Helena with the Prince de Joinville, General Bertrand addressed a letter to the Municipal Council of Paris, urging on that body the importance of fortifying Paris, according to the plan originally proposed by Vauban, and which Napoleon also wished to carry into execution.

ROYAL ORDONNANCES.—The Bulletin des Lois promulgated a royal ordinance of July 25, opening the Chamber of War, and appointing of 800 men for 1846, an extraordinary credit of 1,000,000, to meet urgent expenses, not provided for by the Budget, and which is to be carried to the account of chapter 19 (materiel du genie) of the second section of the war budget for Algeria.

MILITARY AND NAVAL PREPARATIONS OF FRANCE.—The Sicile, alluding to the increase of the army and navy, says:—It is known that since the revolution of July, the period of military service has been reduced to seven years. The Chambers fix the amount of the annual contingents. This amount, which has not varied, is 80,000 men. The Government, therefore, can dispose of seven contingents, viz. 560,000 men. It is true that, at the end of each year the best soldiers are liberated from the service, but they are not, on an account taken from the national defence, for they are liable to serve in the moveable national guard for seven years more. If France had occasion to call up this important reserve, it could furnish 300,000 men accustomed to military discipline, and trained to the use of arms.

The effective force of the Budget of 1844 is 317,826 men, distributed for the divisions of the interior, 279,826 men and 54,000 horses; and for Algeria, 38,000 men and 9,777 horses. But in reality the present effective force is—Divisions of the interior, 294,631 men and 49,331 horses, and for Algeria, 60,731 men and 12,493 horses, making 355,362 men and 62,034 horses. The two contingents called upon by the ordinances will supply 100,000 men, and will make the effective force 450,000. But these, we repeat, are not the only forces of which the government can dispose without calling the Chamber together.

It can call up the seven contingents, which have been voted, and raise an army of 560,000 men, or 450,000, deducting the losses experienced since 1833—and the troops employed in Algeria. The only difficulty is in procuring with promptitude cavalry horses fit for a campaign. A precedent established by the Emperor in 1815, furnishes the means of nearly completing the cavalry of the line, by taking, at prices to be agreed

upon between the parties, 6,000 horses of the gendarmery. For the light cavalry, we must have other means, and to these the attention of the Minister of War has already been turned.

The French naval force now afloat in the Mediterranean comprises thirteen sail of the line, from eight to upwards. Eight of them are in the Levant, four off Tunis, one at Toulon. Government will, no doubt, reunite the two squadrons to avoid either of them being exposed to a contest with unequal forces.

At Toulon, there are three eighty gun ships, and one hundred and twenty, which could put to sea in six weeks; and the marine department could sail and fit out, within three months, eight other sail of the line, with ten or twelve large frigates.

"They talk," says the National, "of casting cannon, of calling out 500,000 men, and of confiding the defence of town and sea, and frontiers to the reserve of the National Guard."

FRENCH NAVAL FORCES.—"The five ships of the line now afloat," says the "Constitutionnel," "and ready to be fitted for sea, in execution of the ordonnance of the 29th ult., are the Friedland of 120 guns, Jemappes of 100, Inflexible of 90, Nestor of 82, and La Couronne of 82.

There are also in the same state 13 frigates of from 60 to 52 guns; 9 steam ships, two of them of 450 horse power, one of 320, two of 220, and four of less power, ready to be launched."

The "National" estimates the total naval force of France at 23 sail of the line in service, or ready for sea within a month, 23 others in an advanced stage of construction, all being of 80 guns and upwards; 56 frigates, of which 12 are in commission; 57 brigs, of which 33 are in commission; 134 smaller vessels, of which 100 are in commission; 29 government steamers, now at sea, carrying each 6 guns, 7 building, and 10 post office steamers, capable of serving as war steamers."

The Minister of the Marine has directed an alteration in the works going on at the harbor of Dieppe, so far as to make the basin in its entry and interior capacity of sufficient depth to receive the great steamers, and the great transatlantic navigation, in case of their being driven in by stress of weather or other accidents.

The "Univers" states that the Minister of Marine has sent orders to the Maritime Prefect of Brest, to reinforce the French naval station of Newfoundland with a frigate and two large sloops of war, and to send the "Univers," a "will, in case of need, make our fishing vessels sail homewards, and will convey them."

The ordinance for raising 100,000 seamen is a nullity. The thing is impossible, either in France or in England, in less than six or twelve months. In France, the entire amount of seamen and boys does not exceed 40,000. Of these, 12,000 are afloat in King's ships, the rest are employed in the commercial navy. What may draft land conscripts into their ships—what of what use are they likely to be? There will, however, be no war—of this we feel confident.

ONE CHARACTER OF M. THIERS.—The "France" has the following very severe observations upon M. Thiers:—"Never were the destinies of France so much in the hands of a man, as in those of M. Thiers. It seems as if he had been born, like the Guelphs of Columbine Mannequin, to set other people by the ears. In 1830, he founded the 'National,' inflamed people's minds, culminated the king, prepared the revolution of July, made and signed the treaty of suspension, and then, in full view of Montmorency, leaving the misled soldiers to contend against the balls of the brave and heroic soldiers of the Royal Guard. As a minister, he fell, because he wished for intervention in Spain, which intervention would have been the ruin of France. He applied for aid to Bonaparte, without giving himself the trouble of reflecting whether this might not be another source of disturbance; and then, after having long known the projects of the new Quadruple Alliance, he becomes angry, and talks of nothing less than hoisting the British flag over the side of the Rhine. As M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people, is a contradiction, and that, if the tempter were to burst and to explode through his Rhine, as M. Thiers has, after all, nothing to lose but his head, he stakes all for all. But does he know that a revolutionary war, undertaken with a king, even though placed upon the throne by the principle of the sovereignty of the people,