

AMUSEMENTS. GADNEY OF MUSIC. Charles H. Near Commercial Place.

TELEGRAPH COMPANIES. THE NATIONAL TELEGRAPH COMPANY.

A new enterprise to be known as the National Telegraph Company has been organized with valuable franchises conferred by a recent act of Congress.

Public Ball. To be given therein every Wednesday and Saturday. The ball will be opened to all persons.

Auction Sales. Sale without reserve of valuable lots of ground in the first district.

Unreserved Bankrupt Sale. Choice fourth district property.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

Order of E. E. Norton, Esq., Assignee. Of E. Girardey & Co., Auctioneers.

The Matter of Jacob Barker. Bankrupt.

The District Court of the United States for the District of Louisiana, sitting in Bankruptcy.

MISCELLANEOUS. ROBERT MITCHELL, JOHN B. CRAIG.

Official Journal of the United States. Official Journal of the City of New Orleans.

Furniture Warerooms. Nos. 38 and 40 Royal Street.

NEW ORLEANS. We have just received per steamer Alaska a lot of office furniture.

LOT OF OFFICE FURNITURE. A large assortment of office furniture.

DAVIS PATENT MOSQUITO BAR FRAMES. COTTAGE AND PARLOR SUITS.

MITCHELL, CRAIG & CO. 38 and 40 Royal Street.

FURNITURE. J. B. HUBBARD. Corner of Perdido.

125,000 Worth of New Furniture at Cost. AND STORE TO RENT.

The stock of goods is all new and of superior quality.

WARDROBES. 60 Rosewood, Mahogany, and Walnut Wardrobes.

BUREAUS. 60 Marble and Wood Top Bureaus.

CHAIRS. 1200 dozen Spring Seats, Cane, Wood, and Split Bottom Chairs.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

New Orleans Republican.

Official Journal of the United States. Official Journal of the City of New Orleans.

Furniture Warerooms. Nos. 38 and 40 Royal Street.

NEW ORLEANS. We have just received per steamer Alaska a lot of office furniture.

LOT OF OFFICE FURNITURE. A large assortment of office furniture.

DAVIS PATENT MOSQUITO BAR FRAMES. COTTAGE AND PARLOR SUITS.

MITCHELL, CRAIG & CO. 38 and 40 Royal Street.

FURNITURE. J. B. HUBBARD. Corner of Perdido.

125,000 Worth of New Furniture at Cost. AND STORE TO RENT.

The stock of goods is all new and of superior quality.

WARDROBES. 60 Rosewood, Mahogany, and Walnut Wardrobes.

BUREAUS. 60 Marble and Wood Top Bureaus.

CHAIRS. 1200 dozen Spring Seats, Cane, Wood, and Split Bottom Chairs.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

A large lot of Cottage and Bedroom Suits.

Tables. 60 Extension and Leaf Tables.

IMPORTANT ADMIRALTY SUIT.

What Constitutes a Home Port—Steamboat Repairs and Supplies. United States District Court—Stonice C. Prevot, libellant, vs. the steamboat Alamo, etc.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

The libel in this case was filed in New Orleans, Louisiana, on the 21st of April, 1868.

BY TELEGRAPH.

Special Dispatch to the N. O. Republican. IMPORTANT FROM WASHINGTON.

THE CHANCES FOR IMPEACHMENT. Two More Votes than Necessary.

A NEW BILL FOR THE SOUTHERN STATES. The Legislatures to be Convened.

WASHINGTON, May 8, 1868. It is believed by those who have the best means of knowing that there will be two more votes for impeachment than is necessary for conviction.

Senator Wilson will on Wednesday introduce a bill providing that twenty days after its passage the Legislatures of Alabama, Louisiana, Georgia, South Carolina, and Arkansas shall convene; and that upon the adoption of the fourteenth amendment to the Constitution Representatives of the State so adopting it shall be admitted into Congress.

The address of the State Executive Committee of Louisiana has been received here, and is doing much good. SPECIAL.

FROM WASHINGTON. LATEST NEWS FROM EUROPE. Interesting News from All Points.

WASHINGTON, May 8.—House.—A number of private bills were considered, after which Mr. Stevens reported his bill admitting the States of Louisiana, Mississippi, and Florida into the Union.

Several members complained that they had never seen the Constitution. Mr. Baker, though favoring the provision, doubted the power of Congress to enforce the stipulation regarding suffrage.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

FROM GEORGIA.

SAVANNAH, May 8.—The Protestant Episcopal Convention met here yesterday. About twenty churches were represented.

LOUISVILLE, May 8.—River rising fast, with twelve to six inches in the canal. Weather cool.

ST. LOUIS, May 8.—Weather clear and cool. River rising. The Illinois, from Peoria down and the Mississippi, below Keokuk, are out of their banks.

ARRIVED: Great Republic, from New Orleans; Dispatch; Lady Gay and Mohawk and barges, from New Orleans.

FROM SOUTHWEST PASS. SOUTHWEST PASS, May 8, 6 P. M.—Boatmen 23-30. Wind north and light.

NEW YORK, April 29.—Cotton dull and drooping. Sales 2000 bales, middling uplands, 22c. Some sales reported at 21c.

ST. LOUIS, May 8.—Flour very dull; extra \$3.95 2 1/2. Red fall wheat \$2.75 1/2. Corn \$2.00 1/2. Oats \$1.75 1/2. Pork \$25.00.

LIVERPOOL, May 8, Evening.—Cotton heavy and id. lower; middling uplands on spec and about 12 1/2; middling Orleans 12 1/2; sales 6000 bales. Manchester advices unfavorable. Fabrics and yarn heavy and lower.

FRANKFURT, May 8.—Bonds 77 1/2. (From Yesterday's Evening Papers.)

WASHINGTON, May 8.—The American Medical Association met here yesterday. Dr. William O. Baldwin, of Montgomery, Alabama, was elected President.

Several members complained that they had never seen the Constitution. Mr. Baker, though favoring the provision, doubted the power of Congress to enforce the stipulation regarding suffrage.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.

Mr. Stevens said there was not a clause in the Constitution objectionable to a man who favored freedom. Mr. Stevens demanded the previous question, which was seconded, and the main question was put.

Mr. Baker stated that if time was allowed him he would demonstrate that the Constitution never received the votes of the majority of the people.