

New Orleans Republican.

A. L. LEE, Editor and Proprietor. OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE UNITED STATES. OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA. NEW ORLEANS, OCTOBER 21, 1868.

AMUSEMENTS THIS EVENING.

THEATRE-FRANCOIS-GRAND. THEATRE-DES-ARTS. ACADEMY OF MUSIC. OLYMPIA THEATRE.

FOR PRESIDENT. ULYSSES S. GRANT.

FOR VICE PRESIDENT. SCHUYLER COLFAK.

FOR PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS. B. F. JOUBERT. M. A. SOUTHWORTH.

Congressional Districts. FIRST DISTRICT-A. L. LEE. SECOND DISTRICT-J. M. G. PARKER.

FOR CONGRESS. FIRST DISTRICT-J. H. SYMPER. SECOND DISTRICT-L. A. SHELDON.

FOR PRESIDENTIAL ELECTORS. B. F. JOUBERT. M. A. SOUTHWORTH.

Grant's Letter of Blair's Letter to Acceptance.

It elected to the There is but one office of President way to restore the United States and to restore the Constitution, and that is my endeavor to ad-for the President elect...

THE DEMOCRATIC SWORD. The following is an extract from a circular issued by the Democratic Central Committee of Louisiana:

DEAR SIR: And we should earnestly declare to our fellow-citizens our opinion that even the most implacable and ill-disposed of the negro population...

ADDING INSULT TO INJURY. A powerful effort is making to procure John Quincy Adams in Blair's place.

THE FIVE MILLION BILL. The Times is in error in its editorial statement that the Five Million Bill became a law over the Governor's veto.

REGISTER! REGISTER!-THE LAST CALL. But three more days remain in which to complete registration.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS. Information has been received here that the printing materials of the Rapides Tribune...

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. The following appointments by the Governor to constitute the Board of Health have been confirmed by the Senate:

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

THE BOARD OF HEALTH. JOHN R. CONWAY, Mayor. J. O. LAMOUR, Controller.

SOUTHERN DEMOCRACY DEBATED.

Since the adjournment of the New York Convention, the Southern leaders have been enabled to arouse an unprecedented enthusiasm in the ranks of their party.

THE OCTOBER ELECTIONS FRIGHTENED THE Northern leaders. They saw that they were lost and at once ascribed their failure to the revolutionary attitude of General Blair and the Southern leaders.

THE POSITION OF GENERAL BLAIR AND SOME of the Southern leaders has been industriously perverted and misrepresented, and an impression produced that the Democratic party is virtually pledged to overthrow the new State Governments by force.

THE RETURNS ARE NOW SO FAR IN AS TO enable us to determine the practical results in the four States.

THE REPUBLICANS HAVE LOST SEVEN Congressmen and gained one—a net loss of six.

IN PENNSYLVANIA THE REPUBLICANS HAVE a majority of three in the Senate and twenty-four in the House—a majority on joint ballot of twenty-seven.

IN INDIANA THE REPUBLICANS HAVE A majority of twenty-four on a joint ballot, equally divided between the two houses.

IN NEBRASKA WE HAVE A MAJORITY OF forty-two as against twenty-eight in the last Legislature.

THE REPUBLICANS GAIN A UNITED STATES Senator in Pennsylvania and one in Indiana.

THE NORTHERN COPPERHEADS, NOT content with deserting Southern Democrats—not content with repudiating General Blair...

THE NORTHERN COPPERHEADS, NOT content with deserting Southern Democrats—not content with repudiating General Blair...

AN OLD SLANDER REVIVED.

The Phlegyas of this morning, in an article headed "Peace," etc., audaciously revives the refuted slander that it was the friends of the Convention movement who began the violence on the memorable thirtieth of July, 1868.

THE JEFFERSON CITY FARCE. Our usually quiet and insignificant neighbor, Jefferson city, tired of the obscurity of her position, has resolved to assert her claims to public notice and show what she can do.

PASSPORTS FOR CUBA. The following circular has been received by Hon. George E. Bovee, Secretary of State of Louisiana, to whom application may be made for passports:

OFFICIAL INFORMATION HAS BEEN RECEIVED at this department that the Spanish authorities of the Island of Cuba have adopted a new regulation concerning passports.

NOTICE HAS ALSO BEEN RECEIVED at this department that the papers purporting to be passports issued by the Mayor of New Orleans and used by the Spanish Consul there, are rejected under the before-mentioned regulation.

NOTICE HAS ALSO BEEN RECEIVED that persons residing in the United States seaports who call themselves "passport agents," are in the habit of giving certificates in which it is stated that they have applied to the Department of State for passports, and that these certificates, being used by the Spanish Consul, are presented at the Spanish ports, and are rejected there under the same regulation before mentioned.

STEWART L. WOODFORD OF NEW YORK MADE the following points before the merchants of New York a few days ago:

OF THE TERM CARPET-BAGGERS, HE SAID that it only meant a man who leaves one section of the country to dwell in another, and that in nine-tenths of the sachels carried by carpet-baggers in the South might be found an honorable army discharge.

OF THE TERM "RADICAL MISERABLE," HE SAID that it only meant a man who leaves one section of the country to dwell in another, and that in nine-tenths of the sachels carried by carpet-baggers in the South might be found an honorable army discharge.

OF THE TERM "RADICAL MISERABLE," HE SAID that it only meant a man who leaves one section of the country to dwell in another, and that in nine-tenths of the sachels carried by carpet-baggers in the South might be found an honorable army discharge.

OF THE TERM "RADICAL MISERABLE," HE SAID that it only meant a man who leaves one section of the country to dwell in another, and that in nine-tenths of the sachels carried by carpet-baggers in the South might be found an honorable army discharge.

OF THE TERM "RADICAL MISERABLE," HE SAID that it only meant a man who leaves one section of the country to dwell in another, and that in nine-tenths of the sachels carried by carpet-baggers in the South might be found an honorable army discharge.

OF THE TERM "RADICAL MISERABLE," HE SAID that it only meant a man who leaves one section of the country to dwell in another, and that in nine-tenths of the sachels carried by carpet-baggers in the South might be found an honorable army discharge.

A SOUND OPINION.

We commend to law-abiding citizens of all parties the following opinion of Judge Train:

STATE ON THE RELATION OF FRANCIS STERNBERG vs. CLEOPHAS LAGARDE. A writ of mandamus was issued in this case on the relation of Francis Sternberg vs. Cleophas Lagarde, a writ of mandamus was issued in this case on the relation of Francis Sternberg vs. Cleophas Lagarde.

VARIOUS EXCEPTIONS WERE FILED IN THIS case by defendant's counsel and were overruled. (See bill of exceptions.) The answer filed by defendant avers that he was the only Sheriff of the parish. This answer failed to qualify under his former commission. That he was appointed by the Governor without the consent and advice of the Senate; that his bond was not executed according to law.

IN A LOSS TO CONCEIVE UPON WHAT grounds the relator's claim can be successfully resisted by C. Lagarde, the former incumbent of the office and who was *functus officio* since the twenty-fifth of August last.

IN TWELFTH ANNUAL, P. 719, WHICH WAS A mandamus granted on the relation of P. Viennet, who had been legally elected and commissioned by the Governor as Sheriff of the parish of St. Martin, Hyams, to deliver to relator the keys of the prison, the Supreme Court held thus: "We are unanimous in the opinion that we can not go behind the commission to examine the proof upon which the Governor acted."

WHAT INJURY, THEN, COULD BY ANY possibility result from the first incumbent (the former Sheriff, Shaw), by the new appointment of the relator? It would be to the high honor of the State. (See 17 A. 156, and authorities there cited, disposing pretty much of most of the same objections urged in this case.)

THE GOVERNOR IS ISSUING COMMISSIONS upon the new county judges, with the requisite of law. (See Revised Statutes, page 218, section 53, and act of 1864-65, page 74, No. 38.) As to the objection that the commission does not contain the words "With the advice and consent of the Senate," the Court is of opinion that the high executive officer of the State is a sworn officer, and is presumed to have done his duty.

THE GOVERNOR HAS THE UNDOUBTED RIGHT to determine for himself from information communicated to him, when an appointment is necessary, but he is not to be held liable for the appointment of a *functus officio* Sheriff or to any one else upon what information he acts. But does the defendant's counsel really suppose that it devolves upon the Court to consider whether he could legally go behind the commission issued in the form of relator by the highest executive officer of the State, and examine into the evidence upon which he acted? Whatever impropriety or illegality there may be in the issuing of such commission does not concern the defendant, and can never be a ground of complaint on his part.

THE GOVERNOR HAS THE UNDOUBTED RIGHT to determine for himself from information communicated to him, when an appointment is necessary, but he is not to be held liable for the appointment of a *functus officio* Sheriff or to any one else upon what information he acts. But does the defendant's counsel really suppose that it devolves upon the Court to consider whether he could legally go behind the commission issued in the form of relator by the highest executive officer of the State, and examine into the evidence upon which he acted? Whatever impropriety or illegality there may be in the issuing of such commission does not concern the defendant, and can never be a ground of complaint on his part.

THE GOVERNOR HAS THE UNDOUBTED RIGHT to determine for himself from information communicated to him, when an appointment is necessary, but he is not to be held liable for the appointment of a *functus officio* Sheriff or to any one else upon what information he acts. But does the defendant's counsel really suppose that it devolves upon the Court to consider whether he could legally go behind the commission issued in the form of relator by the highest executive officer of the State, and examine into the evidence upon which he acted? Whatever impropriety or illegality there may be in the issuing of such commission does not concern the defendant, and can never be a ground of complaint on his part.

THE GOVERNOR HAS THE UNDOUBTED RIGHT to determine for himself from information communicated to him, when an appointment is necessary, but he is not to be held liable for the appointment of a *functus officio* Sheriff or to any one else upon what information he acts. But does the defendant's counsel really suppose that it devolves upon the Court to consider whether he could legally go behind the commission issued in the form of relator by the highest executive officer of the State, and examine into the evidence upon which he acted? Whatever impropriety or illegality there may be in the issuing of such commission does not concern the defendant, and can never be a ground of complaint on his part.

THE GOVERNOR HAS THE UNDOUBTED RIGHT to determine for himself from information communicated to him, when an appointment is necessary, but he is not to be held liable for the appointment of a *functus officio* Sheriff or to any one else upon what information he acts. But does the defendant's counsel really suppose that it devolves upon the Court to consider whether he could legally go behind the commission issued in the form of relator by the highest executive officer of the State, and examine into the evidence upon which he acted? Whatever impropriety or illegality there may be in the issuing of such commission does not concern the defendant, and can never be a ground of complaint on his part.

THE GOVERNOR HAS THE UNDOUBTED RIGHT to determine for himself from information communicated to him, when an appointment is necessary, but he is not to be held liable for the appointment of a *functus officio* Sheriff or to any one else upon what information he acts. But does the defendant's counsel really suppose that it devolves upon the Court to consider whether he could legally go behind the commission issued in the form of relator by the highest executive officer of the State, and examine into the evidence upon which he acted? Whatever impropriety or illegality there may be in the issuing of such commission does not concern the defendant, and can never be a ground of complaint on his part.

THE GOVERNOR HAS THE UNDOUBTED RIGHT to determine for himself from information communicated to him, when an appointment is necessary, but he is not to be held liable for the appointment of a *functus officio* Sheriff or to any one else upon what information he acts. But does the defendant's counsel really suppose that it devolves upon the Court to consider whether he could legally go behind the commission issued in the form of relator by the highest executive officer of the State, and examine into the evidence upon which he acted? Whatever impropriety or illegality there may be in the issuing of such commission does not concern the defendant, and can never be a ground of complaint on his part.

NOTICE OF ELECTION.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

NOTICE OF ELECTION.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

PARISH OF ORLEANS. OFFICE BOARD OF REGISTRATION. STATE OF LOUISIANA. Room No. 7 City Hall. New Orleans, September 25, 1868.

AMUSEMENTS.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. SPALDING & BIRD. J. KIRKPATRICK & CO.