

AMUSEMENTS.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC. OPENING OF THE AMUSEMENT SEASON. Monday, September 5, 1870. HERBSON'S MAMMOTH MINSTREL TROUPE.

FOR SALE.

FOR SALE—ABOUT 100 ACRES OF THE LAFAYETTE, St. James and Assumption, between the Mississippi river and the Atchafalaya, near the mouth of the Atchafalaya, three miles from Morgan's New Orleans and Texas Railroad.

FOR SALE—A PLEASANT AND VALUABLE PROPERTY.

FOR SALE—A PLEASANT AND VALUABLE property in New Orleans, two hours run from New Orleans, cottage house, out-houses, wharf and bath-house, and spacious grounds.

FOR SALE—ON REASONABLE AND ACCOMMODATING TERMS.

FOR SALE—ON REASONABLE AND ACCOMMODATING terms—a splendid Sea Store BREWERY, situated in the town of Biloxi, near the Light-house.

FOR SALE—ONE OF THE FINEST SECTIONS OF IMPROVED SUGAR LAND IN THE STATE.

FOR SALE—ONE OF THE FINEST SECTIONS of improved Sugar Land in the State, in the parish of Iberville. It is about nine miles from the Mississippi river, and is one of the best lands ever discovered.

FOR RENT.

FOR RENT—A VERY COMFORTABLE furnished rooms, without board, to rent at prices varying from ten to fifty dollars per month.

FOR RENT—THE DESIRABLE TWO-STORY RESIDENCE.

FOR RENT—THE DESIRABLE TWO-STORY Residence 120 Calhoun street, between St. Charles and Camp streets.

ROOMS AND BOARD—A NUMBER OF PLEASANT SITUATED AND WELL FURNISHED ROOMS.

ROOMS, WITH OR WITHOUT BOARD.

BOARDING—COMFORTABLY FURNISHED ROOMS.

BOARDING—COMFORTABLY FURNISHED ROOMS.

ROOMS AND BOARD.

EDWARDS HOUSE.

MISCELLANEOUS.

ECONOMY THE ROAD TO WEALTH.

J. J. JOLY.

TAILOR AND SCOURER.

KARFFER.

MANUFACTURER OF MADAKOFF BITTERS.

A GOOD OPPORTUNITY.

THE MANUFACTURERS AND BUSINESS MEN OF NEW ORLEANS.

CHROMO-LITHOGRAPHING.

SHOW CARDS AND LABELS.

RETT, FAIRCHILD & CO.

GOVERNMENT WORK—GOVERNMENT WORK.

\$1000 REWARD.

E. KEATH.

UPHOLSTERS' MATERIALS.

WALL PAPER AND CURTAIN GOODS.

BUSKEY & CO.

GENERAL COMMISSION MERCHANTS.

FACTS FOR CONGRESS USE.

THE PART OF THE DEMOCRACY.

CONSISTENT RECORD OF REPUBLICANS.

We copy the following from the well informed Washington correspondent of the Cincinnati Gazette:

WASHINGTON, August 19. The key note of the Democratic campaign, as its committee here is preparing to sound it, appears to be the questions of tax, tariff, debt, and reform.

Now, therefore, I, Ulysses S. Grant, President of the United States, in order to preserve the neutrality of the United States and of their citizens, and of persons within their territory and jurisdiction, do hereby declare and proclaim that it is the duty of every citizen of the United States to observe the laws thereof, and to commit no act contrary to the provisions of the laws of nations in that behalf.

And I do hereby give notice that all citizens of the United States, and others who may claim the protection of this government, who may be engaged in any way in the premises, do so at their peril; and that they can in no way obtain any protection from the government of the United States against the consequences of their misconduct.

In witness whereof I have hitherto set my hand and caused the seal of the United States to be affixed.

By the President: HAMILTON FISH, Secretary of State.

THE BATTLE OF WOERTH.

Gallant Fighting—McMahon's Official Report.

NEW YORK, August 25.—From the foreign mail of Sunday, August 22, we receive the following report of the battle of Woerth, as given by the German army, writing from Sautzles-Fourty, on Sunday, says:

Everything confirms the impression that the Prussian army was not only a tactical set and the belief gains ground that McMahon, like Donay, had no idea of the force to which he was opposed when he made the attack.

Prussian headquarters it was a surprise, and the outpost firing which extended along the ridge over Woerth, was not a few yards broad, and a yard deep, was not a few lines of artillery on ridges and opened a furious line of attack.

Had the corps on the Prussian left kept more in front, the Prussian batteries coming from Weissenburg pressed on more rapidly and come on the French left, their escape would have been almost impossible.

As it was, when heavy cavalry were repulsed in their charges, the French displayed a gallant and heroic resistance.

Their fighting at Neckwitz was grand. The Prussian batteries were not to be demoralized, as with a tenacity as great, and as fierce a resolution, they pressed on over the rivulet and up the hill, and the French were driven back with blood, and checked again and again, still pressed on with a furious intrepidity which the enemy could not withstand.

The Prussian batteries were not to be demoralized, as with a tenacity as great, and as fierce a resolution, they pressed on over the rivulet and up the hill, and the French were driven back with blood, and checked again and again, still pressed on with a furious intrepidity which the enemy could not withstand.

Seventh District Court—Suit for Damages.

M. J. Brennan vs. C. T. Buddecke.—In this case plaintiff brings suit for \$5000 damages, and for a specific performance of contract as set forth in his petition.

Plaintiff alleges that on or about the ninth of July, 1870, he and a party situated on the corner of Thoupoulous and Natchez streets, to the defendant, for the price of \$40,000, of which \$10,000 was to be paid in advance, and the balance to be paid in three years, each note bearing for the sum of \$7,000.

Petitioner alleges that after some delay had intervened, during which the defendant presented a counter offer, but he refused to do so, alleging as a pretence, that he had sustained losses in business, and was not able to take the property.

Thereupon petitioner instructed the notary public to draw up a contract, but the defendant refused to do so, and on the first day of August, or thereabouts, caused the said defendant to sign a contract, which was ready for signature. This notice having been entirely disregarded by defendant, the petitioner again had written notice served upon him, to come forward and comply with his contract, but the defendant refused to do so.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Plaintiff alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

NEUTRALITY.

Proclamation by the President.

Whereas, A state of war unhappily exists between France, on the one side, and the North German Confederation and its allies on the other side; and

Whereas, The United States are on terms of friendship and amity with all the contending powers, and with the persons inhabiting their several dominions; and

Whereas, Great numbers of citizens of the United States reside within the territories of the contending powers, and carry on commerce, trade, or other business pursuits therein, protected by the faith of treaties; and

Whereas, Great numbers of subjects of the contending powers, and of persons within the territory or jurisdiction of the United States, and carry on commerce, trade or other business pursuits therein; and

Whereas, The laws of the United States, without interfering with the free expression of opinion and sympathy, or with the open manufacture and sale of arms or munitions of war, nevertheless impose on the persons who may be within their territory or jurisdiction the duty of an impartial neutrality during the existence of the contest.

Now, therefore, I, Ulysses S. Grant, President of the United States, in order to preserve the neutrality of the United States and of their citizens, and of persons within their territory and jurisdiction, do hereby declare and proclaim that it is the duty of every citizen of the United States to observe the laws thereof, and to commit no act contrary to the provisions of the laws of nations in that behalf.

And I do hereby give notice that all citizens of the United States, and others who may claim the protection of this government, who may be engaged in any way in the premises, do so at their peril; and that they can in no way obtain any protection from the government of the United States against the consequences of their misconduct.

In witness whereof I have hitherto set my hand and caused the seal of the United States to be affixed.

By the President: HAMILTON FISH, Secretary of State.

THE BATTLE OF WOERTH.

Gallant Fighting—McMahon's Official Report.

NEW YORK, August 25.—From the foreign mail of Sunday, August 22, we receive the following report of the battle of Woerth, as given by the German army, writing from Sautzles-Fourty, on Sunday, says:

Everything confirms the impression that the Prussian army was not only a tactical set and the belief gains ground that McMahon, like Donay, had no idea of the force to which he was opposed when he made the attack.

Prussian headquarters it was a surprise, and the outpost firing which extended along the ridge over Woerth, was not a few yards broad, and a yard deep, was not a few lines of artillery on ridges and opened a furious line of attack.

Had the corps on the Prussian left kept more in front, the Prussian batteries coming from Weissenburg pressed on more rapidly and come on the French left, their escape would have been almost impossible.

As it was, when heavy cavalry were repulsed in their charges, the French displayed a gallant and heroic resistance.

Their fighting at Neckwitz was grand. The Prussian batteries were not to be demoralized, as with a tenacity as great, and as fierce a resolution, they pressed on over the rivulet and up the hill, and the French were driven back with blood, and checked again and again, still pressed on with a furious intrepidity which the enemy could not withstand.

The Prussian batteries were not to be demoralized, as with a tenacity as great, and as fierce a resolution, they pressed on over the rivulet and up the hill, and the French were driven back with blood, and checked again and again, still pressed on with a furious intrepidity which the enemy could not withstand.

Seventh District Court—Suit for Damages.

M. J. Brennan vs. C. T. Buddecke.—In this case plaintiff brings suit for \$5000 damages, and for a specific performance of contract as set forth in his petition.

Plaintiff alleges that on or about the ninth of July, 1870, he and a party situated on the corner of Thoupoulous and Natchez streets, to the defendant, for the price of \$40,000, of which \$10,000 was to be paid in advance, and the balance to be paid in three years, each note bearing for the sum of \$7,000.

Petitioner alleges that after some delay had intervened, during which the defendant presented a counter offer, but he refused to do so, alleging as a pretence, that he had sustained losses in business, and was not able to take the property.

Thereupon petitioner instructed the notary public to draw up a contract, but the defendant refused to do so, and on the first day of August, or thereabouts, caused the said defendant to sign a contract, which was ready for signature. This notice having been entirely disregarded by defendant, the petitioner again had written notice served upon him, to come forward and comply with his contract, but the defendant refused to do so.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of not complying with the contract on his part. Petitioner says that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it, and that he caused the said notary to draw up a formal act of sale, and that defendant was duly notified of the same, and that he refused to sign it.

Petitioner alleges that he has at all times been ready and willing to comply with his contract, and has not at any time repudiated in default of