New Orleans Republican.

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE UNITED STATES

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF NEW ORLEANS

FOURTEENTH AMENDMENT ENFORCEMENT.

Speech of a Virginian.

We present to our readers the following extracts of the speech of Hon. James H. Platt, Jr., of Virginia, in the House of Representative, on the fifth of April:

Mr. Speaker, is there any one who believes that were this thing reversed, and these outrages which are being committed upon the friends of the administration in the Southern States, were being committed by Republicans upon Democrats in Democratic States, with a Democratic majority in both branches of Congress, a Democratic President, and an army and navy in their hands, that a Democratic Congress would fail to find constitutional warrant for extending protection to their friends, although the State authorities through weakness, treachery or cowardice, should fail to call for assistance from the general government? The whole history of that party forbids such a supposition; and in their days of power they gave us a precedent which is sufficient justification to me for the votes I shall give on this bill and such amendments as may be offered. Is there any gentleman on the Democratic side of this House who is willing to admit that the fugitive slave law of 1850 was unconstitutional? I do not believe there is; and as one Republican member from the South, I am willing to take that law—and changing its wording somewhat, so as to make the rights and privileges to be protected—those of loyal men and free American citizens, instead of those of slave owners—find in it all the protection which, as loyal citizens of the South, we demand from the government, which owes us the fullest protection its laws can extend.

The constitutionality of such a law could not be questioned, for it was affirmed in 1850 by the entire Democratic party, and by the united and solid vote of that party on this floor, forced through this House under the operation of the previous question, no opportunity for debate or amendment being permitted by the then Democratic majority. Its constitutionality was maintained and defended by the party that enacted it as long as they continued in power, and affirmed again and again by the United States courts, then presided over by Democratic judges. I desire to call the attention of the House to the remarkable provisions of this constitutional Democratic law—this act, entitled "An act to amend," etc., commonly known as "the fugitive slave law," which passed in this House in September, 1850, having previously passed in the Senate. Its first section provides for the appointment of commissioners by the circuit courts to execute the powers and duties prescribed by this act. Section three provides that the number of these commissioners should be extended at the discretion of the court, and any number appointed that might be deemed necessary in carrying out the provisions of the act.

Section four confers such remarkable and extraordinary powers upon these commissioners that I quote it in full:

"And be it further enacted, That the commissioners above named shall have concurrent jurisdiction with the Judges of the Circuit and District Courts of the United States in their respective circuits and districts within the several States, and the Judges of the Superior Courts of the Territories, severally and collectively, in term time and vacation, and shall grant certificates to such claimants upon satisfactory proof being made, with authority to take and remove such fugitives from service or labor to the State or Territory from which such person may have escaped or fled."

Section five prescribes the duties of marshals, who may have any number of deputies, and prescribes penalties should they refuse to obey or execute all warrants or precepts issued under the provisions of this act when to them directed; makes them liable to the full value of any slave that may escape from their custody after arrest, and, in language which I will give as it appears in the section, confers still more remarkable powers upon the commissioners. I quote from the section:

"And the better to enable the said commissioners, when thus appointed, to execute their duties faithfully and efficiently, in conformity with the requirements of the constitution of the United States and of this act, they are hereby authorized and empowered, within their counties respectively, to appoint, in writing under their hands, any one or more suitable persons, from time to time, to execute all such warrants and other process as may be issued by them in the lawful performance of their respective duties, with authority to such commissioners, or to persons to be appointed by them, to execute process as aforesaid, to summon and call to their aid the bystanders or *posse comitatus* of the proper county, when necessary, to insure a faithful observance of the provisions of this act; and all good citizens are hereby commanded to aid and assist in the prompt and efficient execution of this law whenever their services may be required, as aforesaid, for that purpose, and said warrants shall run and be executed by said officers anywhere in the State in which they are issued."

Section seven imposes fine and imprisonment on any person who shall willingly hinder the arrest of a fugitive or shall attempt to rescue one from custody, or harbor or aid to escape any fugitive from slavery.

Section nine provides that when the claimant or his agent apprehend a rescue, the officer making the arrest is to remove the fugitive to the State from whence he fled, and to employ as many persons in doing so as he may consider necessary: all the expenses to be paid by the United States.

Now, sir, having quoted as much of this act as I consider necessary to my purpose, let us see how easily it can be adapted to the present condition of affairs, and meet the requirements of the loyal men of the South, simply by so altering the text as to make its provisions apply to the prevention of outrages against life and property, instead of to the catching and rendering up of fugitive slaves.

Under its provisions, the United States circuit courts could appoint as many commissioners as they pleased to appoint in every county in their respective districts, and these commissioners could in turn commission as many men as they deemed necessary to execute their warrants; would have all the powers of the circuit or district judges in term time or vacation; could issue their warrants for the arrest of any citizen, and the warrants would be good in any portion of the State; could summon every man in the county to assist in serving their processes, and if any refused to obey their mandate, could fine and imprison them for so doing; could include in that *posse comitatus* such portion of the United States forces, the army and navy of the United States, as might be within the territory over which they exercised jurisdiction, and would possess powers more than ample to secure peace, and the most perfect protection to life, liberty and property in every State in the Union; and the constitutional power of Congress to confer these powers can not be doubted, for has not the party whose representatives on this floor have so often assured us of their devotion to the constitution, stamped the seal of approval upon all its provisions, enacted into law all its principles, and enforced and maintained that law by all the machinery of the government? And the Democratic party, having enacted this law and sustained its constitutionality before the adoption of the amendments to the constitution which have certainly conferred some new powers upon Congress, can not, I presume will not, attempt to question its constitutionality now.

It was good Democratic constitutional law to empower an unlimited number of comparatively irresponsible United States Marshals to use the army and navy of the United States to enforce United States laws when those laws were in the interest of the Democratic party; and now, sir, we witness the remarkable spectacle of this party, which conferred such powers upon these men with no apprehension of injury to State governments—with no fears as to any destruction of our liberties—with no doubts as to their entire constitutionality—now boldly denying that this Congress has any constitutional right to give the President of the United States power to use the army and navy, of which he is the constitutional commander-in-chief, in protecting American citizens from outrage and death; the party who, under the fugitive slave law, marched United States soldiers over the very spot where the first martyr for American liberty shed his blood; and the blood of Christophe t Attucks was as red as yours or mine, sir, though his skin was black.

The party who sent their troops over the spot which his martyrdom had consecrated to force back into slavery one poor wretch who had succeeded in flying to a land which he supposed to be a land of liberty—the party that did this act dares to tell the American people that there is no constitutional way to use the army or navy of the United States in protecting American citizens from outrage and death, unless the Governor and legislature of the State in which these offences are committed should call for assistance. Sir, I have searched in vain for the call made by the Governor or legislature of Massachusetts for troops to assist in the rendition of Anthony Burns. Every gentleman on this floor knows that the United States soldiers sent to that State by the Democratic party to assist their blood-hounds in tearing from Massachusetts soil that poor, trembling fugitive, were not sent there at the request of that State, but by virtue of the law passed by a Democratic Congress, enforced by a Democratic administration, and interpreted by a Democratic attorney general, whose interpretation has been sustained by the courts; and I know the American people well enough to know that no legal sophistry can make them believe that it was constitutional to use the army and navy of the United States in returning fugitives to slavery, but that no constitutional means can be found to use these agencies for the protection of life, liberty and property of free American citizens in every State in this Union.

THE COURTS.

Supreme Court—A Mandamus Against the Judge of the Eighth District Court Refused.

In the matter of an application to the Supreme Court for a mandamus to compel the Judge of the Eighth District Court to grant a suspensive appeal from an order of injunction granted to the Louisiana State Lottery Company vs. the New Orleans and Havana Steamship and Lottery Company, restraining the latter from interfering with the exclusive privileges of the former, and from the organization of the said pretended steamship and lottery company, the Supreme Court has refused the application, and the injunction will therefore remain undisturbed.

Third District Court.

A short time since a resident of this city sent his daughter to a private school in the city to be entered as a pupil. The principal refused to receive her and sent her back, on the ground that she was colored. Upon this the father brought suit in the Second Justice Court against the principal, laying his damages at $100, because of his daughter having been styled a colored child. The defendant, through counsel, excepted, on the ground that the suit was brought in the name of the father, and not, as it should have been brought, for the use of his minor child. The exception was overruled by the justice, and judgment for $100 and costs given in favor of the father. The defendant appealed to the Third District Court, Judge Emerson, and when the case came up the exception above recited was allowed, and the case dismissed.

Seventh District Court—Suit Against the Canal and Claiborne Street Railroad.

Mr. Daniel Scully has brought suit in the Seventh District Court, against the above named company, to recover $2500.

The plaintiff alleges that some time since he, with several others, sold to said company a certain right of way, for which they were to receive in payment 600 shares of the stock of said company at full paid up value. He avers that his pro rata of said 600 shares was 100 shares, and that since said sale of right of way the directors have declared the whole of the stock subscribed for paid up, although the subscribers thereto had paid but $75 per share.

He now claims that as the basis of the sale of right of way, the value of said shares were $100 each, and that inasmuch as by the action of the directors in according shares to others at $75 each his stock has depreciated $25 per share, this loss, he contends, should be reimbursed to him by the company.

United States Circuit Court.

In the case of J. B. Murison vs. E. Chapaux, the rule taken in this case was ordered to be fixed for trial on Saturday, the twenty-seventh instant. By this rule F. J. Herron, ex-United States Marshal, is ordered to show cause why the report of funds made by him in the case of J. B. Murison should not be corrected so that all other parties interested be ruled to show cause why Truxillo and Bodley & Son should not be paid the amount by them, according to the report by the commissioner, Hon. J. B. Weller, made April 26, 1869.

In the case of the city of New Orleans vs. New York Mail Steamship Company, the defendants, together with United States Marshal Packard were ordered to show cause why a writ of injunction should not issue.

In the case of John Herring vs. the Chattanooga Railroad Company for damages, the jury failed to agree.

SHERIFF'S SALES.

J. L. Follett vs. Sullivan, Billings & Hughes (J. F. Sullivan, E. C. Billings and Aug. DeB. Hughes)—Seventh District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 8815.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF SEIZURE AND SALE to me directed by the honorable the Seventh District Court for the parish of Orleans in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on TUESDAY, June 20, 1871, at 12 o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, together with all the buildings and improvements thereon and appurtenances thereof, situate in the Second District of this city, in the square bounded by Customhouse, Chartres and Canal streets, and Exchange alley, and measuring about twenty-nine feet front on Customhouse street, by sixty feet in depth, French measure, according to a plan made by A. Reigerstein, on the thirteenth of May, 1850, deposited in the office of William Shannon, notary public in this city, as plan number thirty-seven, said lot being bounded on the side next Exchange alley and in the rear by property now or lately owned by Gilbert Vance, and on the side next Chartres street by property now or lately owned by Henry Carleton.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 je4 20 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Francis J. Gasquet vs. Katharine Juenger (Katharine Kuhn, widow of William Juenger)—Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 25,628.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS TO me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 29, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

All and singular, those TWO CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, together with the buildings and improvements thereon, rights, ways, privileges and advantages thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, situate in the First District of this city, on the north side of Baronne street, between Revia and Girod streets, in the square bounded by Baronne, Revia, Girod and Dryades streets, and designated by the numbers two and three, on a plan drawn by Allon D'Hemecourt, late deputy city surveyor, on the twenty-second day of December, 1824. Said lots of ground adjoin each other, and measure each thirty feet front on Baronne street, by one hundred and twenty feet in depth, between parallel lines, all French measure.

Which above described property belongs to defendant herein by means of the purchase she made thereof from William Amedee Gasquet, of this city, as per act passed before Felix Grima, a notary public in this city, bearing date the twenty-ninth day of September, 1866.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

ap27 my19 28 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

J. O. Seymour, Kennard & Hay vs. Barrett, Seymour & Co.—Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 2499.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS TO me directed by the honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on the premises hereinafter designated, on WEDNESDAY, May 31, 1871, at half-past ten o'clock A. M., the following described property, to wit—

THE GOODS, STATIONERY AND MOVABLE PROPERTY in the store situated on Camp street, between Gravier and Natchez streets, in the First District of this city, as per inventory, which may be seen at my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 24 31 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

SHERIFF'S SALES.

Louis Stern vs. Mrs. Arabella D. Kennedy, wife of Nicholas Sinnott—Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 2572.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF SEIZURE AND SALE to me directed by the honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on TUESDAY, June 20, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

THE THREE UNDIVIDED FOURTH PARTS of a lot of ground, with the buildings and improvements thereon, situated in the Se ond District of this city, in the square bounded by Dauphine, Customhouse, Burgundy and Bienville streets, forming the corner of Dauphine and Customhouse streets, and having thirty-two feet, more or less, front on the former, by eighty-four feet, more or less, front on the latter, which said three undivided fourths of the said property were retroceded to the said defendant, Mrs. Sinnott, by Wilhelmus Bogart, by an act passed in the office of Theodore Guyot, notary public in this city, on the thirty-first of October, 1859.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 je3 20 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Henry Story vs. Thomas J. Harrison—Eighth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 540.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS to me directed by the honorable the Eighth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on the premises hereinafter designated, on TUESDAY, May 30, 1871, at half-past ten o'clock A. M., the following described property, to wit—

THE FURNITURE AND MOVABLE EFFECTS in the premises No. 720 Camp street, between Fourth and Washington streets, in the Fourth District of this city, as per inventory which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 24 30 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Laurent Uter vs. Captain Thomas B. Rogers—Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 2423.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS TO me directed by the honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction on the premises hereinafter designated, on WEDNESDAY, May 31, 1871, at five o'clock P. M., the following described property, to wit—

THE FURNITURE, PROPERTY AND MOVABLE effects in the premises situated on Clara street, near Perdido street, in the First District of this city, as per inventory, which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 26 31 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

John C. Rogers & Co. vs. Richard K. Bonham—Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 25,208.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on TUESDAY, May 30, 1871, at five o'clock P. M., at G. Casanave's stable, No. 83 Bourbon street, near the corner of St. Louis, in the Second District of this city—

ONE BAY HORSE.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 24 30 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

D. C. and H. M. Loewenstein vs. N. R. and Henry Smith and J. R. Powell—Eighth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 436.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS against J. R. Powell, one of the defendants herein, to me directed by the honorable the Eighth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 17, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

NINE LOTS OF GROUND, together with the improvements thereon, designated by Nos. 6 to 14, inclusively, in square No. 3, bounded by Dorgenois, Broad, Barracks and Hospital streets, as per plan dated July 12, 1867, deposited in the office of T. O. Stark, notary public, in this city, and the same released by Mrs. Myra C. Gaines, May 14, 1870. Lots Nos. 6 to 9, inclusively, measure, each, 31 feet 4 inches front on Dorgenois street, by 118 feet 3 inches in depth. Lot No. 10, on the corner of Dorgenois and Barracks streets, measures 31 feet 6 inches and 2 lines front on Dorgenois street, by a depth of 118 feet and 3 inches front on Barracks street. Lot No. 11 measures 30 feet front on Barracks street, by a depth of 136 feet 11 inches and 2 lines. Lots Nos. 12 to 14, inclusively, measure each 30 feet front on Barracks street, by 137 feet 1 inch deep.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my17 30 je17 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Louis Mathe vs. John Kirwin—Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans. No. 24,686.

BY VIRTUE OF AN ALIAS WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS to me directed by the Honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 3, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, with all the buildings and improvements thereon, situated in the Second District of this city, designated by the number twenty-five, in the square bounded by Rochelleave, Tonti, Bienville and Customhouse streets, as per plan drawn by C. A. Rodin, architect, dated April 13, 1850, deposited in the office of A. C. Ainsworth, late a notary public in this city. Said lot measures twenty-five feet front on Bienville street by one hundred feet in depth, between parallel lines.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my2 16 je3 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

A. G. Farwell & Co. vs. F. M. Fisk—Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 1696.

BY VIRTUE OF AN ALIAS WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS to me directed by the honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell, at public auction, on the premises hereinafter designated, on MONDAY, May 29, 1871, at half-past ten o'clock A. M., the following described property, to wit—

THE FURNITURE AND MOVABLE EFFECTS contained in the second and third stories of the premises No. 22 Natchez street, First District of this city, as per inventory which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my17 23 28 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

E. Wooldridge vs. Mrs. Elizabeth Fritz, tutrix, et als—Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 2107.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS TO me directed by the Honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 3, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN PIECE OR PORTION OF GROUND, situated, lying and being in the First District of this city, faubourg Lacourse, forming a portion of two certain lots of ground designated severally by the numbers twenty-two and twenty-three of the square bounded by Maunsel, Delis, Erato and Clio streets, forming the portion of said lot number twenty-three, front on Clio street which is nearest to Maunsel street, and measuring about twelve and a half feet on said Clio street, by a depth of about ninety-two feet on the line running parallel with said Maunsel street, with a right of way to an alley running from said Clio street, through said ground and opening on Solis street, which alley separates the property of Michael Kilbride from the property of Samuel Cook, and by a depth of about ninety-two feet on a perpendicular line on the other side, which is a boundary between the property herein described and the property of the said Kilbride; together with the improvements now occupied by Samuel Cook, and the right of property to the one-half of the cistern on the said boundary line, and all the appurtenances thereunto belonging or appertaining. Said hereinabove described property forms a portion of the larger portion of ground acquired by Philip Moss at a Sheriff's sale, made on the third day of July, 1836, by virtue of a writ of seizure and sale from the Third District Court in New Orleans, at the suit of Philip Moss vs. B. Calhoun, No. [illegible] of the docket of said court. Conveyance office, book 78, folio 32.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my3 18 je3 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

George Wood vs. Charles Harispe—Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 17,693.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS TO me directed by the Honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 3, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

ALL THE RIGHT, TITLE AND INTEREST of Charles Harispe, defendant herein, in and to four certain lots of ground situated in the First District of this city, in the square bounded by St. Thomas, Tchoupitoulas, Bellechasse and St. Theresa streets, adjoining each other, and designated by the numbers twelve, fourteen, fourteen and fifteen, on a plan drawn by G. T. Dunbar, late surveyor, on the thirty-first of January, 1845; and deposited in the office of William Christy, late a notary public in this city. Said lots measure each twenty-seven feet front on St. Thomas street, by one hundred and forty-four feet eleven inches and two lines in depth, between parallel lines, and twenty-seven feet on an alley twenty feet wide in the rear. Together with all the buildings and improvements thereon, consisting of large frame buildings and old frame buildings. Said property was acquired by Castillo & Harispe, at a sale made by Mandeville Marigny, then Sheriff of the parish of Orleans, on the seventh June, 1855, by virtue of a writ of seizure and sale directed to him by the Honorable the Fourth District Court of New Orleans, at the suit of Castillo & Harispe vs. George Lynch, No. 3569 of the docket of said court the interest of said defendant being forty-nine one-hundredths.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my2 17 je3 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

SHERIFF'S SALES.

James H. Young vs. Charles Lauer et al; Same vs. B. Saloy et al—Seventh District Court for the parish of Orleans, Nos. 7816 and 7819.

BY VIRTUE OF TWO WRITS OF FIERI FACIAS against James H. Young and his security, J. T. Michel, to me directed by the honorable the Seventh District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, June 12, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

1. FIVE CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, together with all the buildings and improvements thereon, situated in West Bouligny, city and parish of Jefferson, in square number thirty-seven, comprised within Napoleon avenue, Jena, Chestnut and Plaquemine streets, designated by the numbers one, two, three, four and thirteen on a plan of L. Surgi, late surveyor, dated eleventh January, 1843, and deposited in the office of L. T. Caire, late a notary public in this city, as plan number five. The said lots adjoin each other and measure, in American measure, as follows, to wit: Lots numbers one, two, three and four, each, twenty-eight feet four inches on Napoleon avenue, by one hundred and ten feet in depth, between parallel lines, lot number one forming the corner of Napoleon avenue and Chestnut streets; and lot number thirteen measures thirty feet front on Chestnut street, by a depth of one hundred and twenty-seven feet six inches, between parallel lines.

2. TWO CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, together with all the improvements thereon, situated in same square, and designated by the numbers fourteen and fifteen, and measure, each, twenty-five feet front on Chestnut street, by one hundred and twenty-seven feet six inches in depth, between parallel lines.

Seized in the above suits as belonging to defendant J. T. Michel.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

my11 24 je11 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Louis Mathe vs. Succession of Archibald Borron—Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 25,144.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF SEIZURE AND SALE to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court, for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on WEDNESDAY, June 7, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

THE FURNITURE, PROPERTY AND MOVABLE effects in the premises situated on Clara street, near Perdido street, in the First District of this city, as per inventory, which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 26 31 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

John C. Rogers & Co. vs. Richard K. Bonham—Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 25,208.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on TUESDAY, May 30, 1871, at five o'clock P. M., at G. Casanave's stable, No. 83 Bourbon street, near the corner of St. Louis, in the Second District of this city—

ONE BAY HORSE.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 24 30 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

D. C. and H. M. Loewenstein vs. N. R. and Henry Smith and J. R. Powell—Eighth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 436.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS against J. R. Powell, one of the defendants herein, to me directed by the honorable the Eighth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on TUESDAY, May 30, 1871, at half-past ten o'clock A. M., the following described property, to wit—

THE FURNITURE AND MOVABLE EFFECTS in the premises No. 720 Camp street, between Fourth and Washington streets, in the Fourth District of this city, as per inventory which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 24 30 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Laurent Uter vs. Captain Thomas B. Rogers—Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 2423.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS TO me directed by the honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction on the premises hereinafter designated, on WEDNESDAY, May 31, 1871, at five o'clock P. M., the following described property, to wit—

THE FURNITURE, PROPERTY AND MOVABLE effects in the premises situated on Clara street, near Perdido street, in the First District of this city, as per inventory, which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 26 31 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

John C. Rogers & Co. vs. Richard K. Bonham—Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 25,208.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on TUESDAY, May 30, 1871, at five o'clock P. M., at G. Casanave's stable, No. 83 Bourbon street, near the corner of St. Louis, in the Second District of this city—

ONE BAY HORSE.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my19 24 30 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

D. C. and H. M. Loewenstein vs. N. R. and Henry Smith and J. R. Powell—Eighth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 436.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS against J. R. Powell, one of the defendants herein, to me directed by the honorable the Eighth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 17, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

NINE LOTS OF GROUND, together with the improvements thereon, designated by Nos. 6 to 14, inclusively, in square No. 3, bounded by Dorgenois, Broad, Barracks and Hospital streets, as per plan dated July 12, 1867, deposited in the office of T. O. Stark, notary public, in this city, and the same released by Mrs. Myra C. Gaines, May 14, 1870. Lots Nos. 6 to 9, inclusively, measure, each, 31 feet 4 inches front on Dorgenois street, by 118 feet 3 inches in depth. Lot No. 10, on the corner of Dorgenois and Barracks streets, measures 31 feet 6 inches and 2 lines front on Dorgenois street, by a depth of 118 feet and 3 inches front on Barracks street. Lot No. 11 measures 30 feet front on Barracks street, by a depth of 136 feet 11 inches and 2 lines. Lots Nos. 12 to 14, inclusively, measure each 30 feet front on Barracks street, by 137 feet 1 inch deep.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my17 30 je17 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Louis Mathe vs. John Kirwin—Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans. No. 24,686.

BY VIRTUE OF AN ALIAS WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS to me directed by the Honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 3, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, with all the buildings and improvements thereon, situated in the Second District of this city, designated by the number twenty-five, in the square bounded by Rochelleave, Tonti, Bienville and Customhouse streets, as per plan drawn by C. A. Rodin, architect, dated April 13, 1850, deposited in the office of A. C. Ainsworth, late a notary public in this city. Said lot measures twenty-five feet front on Bienville street by one hundred feet in depth, between parallel lines.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my2 16 je3 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

A. G. Farwell & Co. vs. F. M. Fisk—Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 1696.

BY VIRTUE OF AN ALIAS WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS to me directed by the honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell, at public auction, on the premises hereinafter designated, on MONDAY, May 29, 1871, at half-past ten o'clock A. M., the following described property, to wit—

THE FURNITURE AND MOVABLE EFFECTS contained in the second and third stories of the premises No. 22 Natchez street, First District of this city, as per inventory which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my17 23 28 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

E. Wooldridge vs. Mrs. Elizabeth Fritz, tutrix, et als—Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 2107.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS TO me directed by the Honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 3, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN PIECE OR PORTION OF GROUND, situated, lying and being in the First District of this city, faubourg Lacourse, forming a portion of two certain lots of ground designated severally by the numbers twenty-two and twenty-three of the square bounded by Maunsel, Delis, Erato and Clio streets, forming the portion of said lot number twenty-three, front on Clio street which is nearest to Maunsel street, and measuring about twelve and a half feet on said Clio street, by a depth of about ninety-two feet on the line running parallel with said Maunsel street, with a right of way to an alley running from said Clio street, through said ground and opening on Solis street, which alley separates the property of Michael Kilbride from the property of Samuel Cook, and by a depth of about ninety-two feet on a perpendicular line on the other side, which is a boundary between the property herein described and the property of the said Kilbride; together with the improvements now occupied by Samuel Cook, and the right of property to the one-half of the cistern on the said boundary line, and all the appurtenances thereunto belonging or appertaining. Said hereinabove described property forms a portion of the larger portion of ground acquired by Philip Moss at a Sheriff's sale, made on the third day of July, 1836, by virtue of a writ of seizure and sale from the Third District Court in New Orleans, at the suit of Philip Moss vs. B. Calhoun, No. [illegible] of the docket of said court. Conveyance office, book 78, folio 32.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my3 18 je3 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

George Wood vs. Charles Harispe—Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 17,693.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS TO me directed by the Honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 3, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

ALL THE RIGHT, TITLE AND INTEREST of Charles Harispe, defendant herein, in and to four certain lots of ground situated in the First District of this city, in the square bounded by St. Thomas, Tchoupitoulas, Bellechasse and St. Theresa streets, adjoining each other, and designated by the numbers twelve, fourteen, fourteen and fifteen, on a plan drawn by G. T. Dunbar, late surveyor, on the thirty-first of January, 1845; and deposited in the office of William Christy, late a notary public in this city. Said lots measure each twenty-seven feet front on St. Thomas street, by one hundred and forty-four feet eleven inches and two lines in depth, between parallel lines, and twenty-seven feet on an alley twenty feet wide in the rear. Together with all the buildings and improvements thereon, consisting of large frame buildings and old frame buildings. Said property was acquired by Castillo & Harispe, at a sale made by Mandeville Marigny, then Sheriff of the parish of Orleans, on the seventh June, 1855, by virtue of a writ of seizure and sale directed to him by the Honorable the Fourth District Court of New Orleans, at the suit of Castillo & Harispe vs. George Lynch, No. 3569 of the docket of said court the interest of said defendant being forty-nine one-hundredths.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.

my2 17 je3 — C. S. SAUVINET, Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

SHERIFF'S SALES.

David C. McCan vs. Succession of Oliver DuBois and William Mish—Second District Court for the parish of Orleans, No. 28,782.

BY VIRTUE OF A WRIT OF FIERI FACIAS against Mrs. Josephine Howell, wife of Thomas J. Howell, sole heir of her mother, Mrs. Almira DuBois, and Mrs. Ada Russell, widow of Samuel Russell, Laura Spriggs, Adda Spriggs, Genevieve Spriggs, Mrs. Josephine Howell, Mrs. Emma Bennett, wife of Leopold Bouvier, Mrs. Helen Bennett, wife of Samuel Carroll, and Laura Bennett, sole heirs of Oliver DuBois, and Mrs. Anna Mary Adelaide Mish, wife of James G. Gernon, sole heir of her father and mother, William Mish and wife, to me directed by the honorable the Second District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, June 17, 1871, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

1. THREE CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situate in the First District of the city of New Orleans, in the square bounded by St. Charles, Poydras, Camp and Gravier streets, and designated by the numbers two, three and four, on a plan drawn by Joseph Pilie, late surveyor, on the twenty-second day of August, 1837. The said lot number two measures twenty-two feet eleven inches and four lines front on St. Charles street by one hundred and twenty-five feet six inches in depth, and said lots numbers three and four measuring each twenty five feet eleven inches and four lines front on St. Charles street, by one hundred and seventy-five feet six inches in depth, between parallel lines, English measure.

2. AND ANOTHER LOT OF GROUND