New Orleans Republican.

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF THE UNITED STATES

OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF NEW ORLEANS

THE DAILY REPUBLICAN is published every day (Mondays excepted) at No 94 Camp street. Terms: $15 a year; $8 for six months; $4 for three months—payable invariably in advance. Single copies ten cents.

Rates of Advertising:

Squares.	1 mo.	2 mos.	3 mos.	6 mos.	12 mos.
One	$12 net	$22 net	$30 net	$50 net	$75 net
Two	22 ..	38 ..	50 ..	80 ..	125 ..
Three	30 ..	53 ..	70 ..	110 ..	175 ..
Four	38 ..	67 ..	90 ..	140 ..	225 ..
Five	46 ..	80 ..	105 ..	170 ..	275 ..
Six	54 ..	93 ..	120 ..	200 ..	325 ..
Seven	60 ..	105 ..	135 ..	230 ..	375 ..
Eight	65 ..	115 ..	150 ..	260 ..	420 ..
Nine	70 ..	125 ..	165 ..	290 ..	460 ..
Ten	75 ..	135 ..	180 ..	320 ..	500 ..
Eleven	80 ..	145 ..	195 ..	350 ..	540 ..
Twelve	85 ..	155 ..	210 ..	380 ..	580 ..
Thirteen	90 ..	165 ..	220 ..	410 ..	620 ..
Fourteen	95 ..	175 ..	230 ..	430 ..	660 ..
Fifteen	100 ..	185 ..	240 ..	450 ..	700 ..
Thirty	175 ..	330 ..	450 ..	750 ..	1200 ..

Monthly advertisements, inserted every other day, to be charged two-thirds of the above rates.

Reading page monthly advertisements, each square, $30 per month.

Transient advertisements, having the run of the paper, first insertion $1 50 per square; each subsequent insertion seventy-five cents per square.

Second page transient advertisements, each insertion $1 50 per square.

Advertisements inserted at intervals to be charged as new each insertion.

Regular advertisers, who advertise largely, will be allowed such discount from above named transient rates as may be agreed upon; provided, that in no case shall such discount exceed twenty-five per cent.

All business notices of advertisements to be charged twenty cents per line each insertion.

THE WEEKLY REPUBLICAN is published every Saturday morning, and contains the news by telegraph, miscellaneous reading, editorials, local matters of general public interest, commercial and monetary reports, and everything that appears in the Daily, except such items as are of little or no public moment. The WEEKLY REPUBLICAN is an excellent family paper, valuable and useful for instruction and amusement as information on the current topics of the day.

Terms of Subscription.

One year, $5; six months, $2 50.

Advertisements.

Transient advertisements same terms as in the Daily. Monthly advertisements inserted for one-fourth of the daily rates.

A liberal commission allowed to those who send in clubs of five or more.

THE COURTS.

United States District Court—In Admiralty.

A. Miltenberger vs. W. N. Mercer.—Special jury of merchants ordered to be impaneled to try the cause, and to appear in court on May 8.

F. Hagerty vs. Schooner Camelia, James Devine and Voralis.—The object sought being the sale of the vessel, it is ordered, in consequence of the agreement of counsel, that the vessel be sold on the fourth of May to the highest bidder.

United States District Court.

A suit by the United States government has been entered against Isaac Wood et als., on warehouse bond forfeiture.

T. Stewart et als. vs. Andrew Stewart et als. Complainants ruled to show cause on the thirteenth of May why the injunction should not be dissolved.

United States vs. vinegar factory. Injunction dismissed—non suit.

W. A. Boardevant vs. A. C. Watson. Plaintiff recovers of defendant $1451 as damages and costs.

J. M. Barclay vs. board of levee commissioners. Judgment of default.

The following gentlemen constitute the new grand jury:

L. D. Wharton, F. V. De Grut, O. J. Forstall, William P. Converse, E. R. Poole, John H. Keep, H. Gardis, M. R. Chew, J. M. Lewis, L. H. Gardner, E. H. Keeps, Edmond Deverges, E. F. Virgin, H. F. Given, C. Newton, J. T. Hardie, T. Bailly Blanchard.

Fourth District Court.

Mrs. Celeste Eaton vs. E. Surgi.—Plaintiff in this case sues for the recovery of $1869, which she has spent in repairing and completing a house belonging to her, and which was built by the defendant under a written contract with plaintiff.

The defendant, who is an architect and builder, admits the existence of the contract, but avers that he has completed the building, and that the same has been received and paid for by plaintiff after a careful examination thereof; and that while the work was going on plaintiff and her husband, who were living in the adjoining house, witnessed its progress in all its details, and that it was with the full knowledge of the nature and condition of each and every part thereof that she received, settled for and took possession of the same.

It appears from the evidence that the contract between these parties was entered into by authentic act, on the seventeenth of August, 1869, and that the building was finished in March of the following year. No dispute took place while the work was progressing. The payments were made in strict compliance with the terms of the contract. The plaintiff and her husband were in the habit, almost every day, of visiting the place. The plaintiff occasionally made inquiries as to the manner of doing certain portions of the work. She suggested some changes, which were agreed to, but she never complained in relation to anything.

As to the nature of the work the evidence is somewhat contradictory. Some of the plaintiff's witnesses state that they do not believe that the building was erected in conformity with the specifications of the contract, and they point out certain repairs and alterations which became necessary after the delivery of the premises. But the defendant's witnesses, on the contrary, testify that the building was erected in a workmanlike and skillful manner, and that at the time of its completion it was worth $7000, although the amount paid by plaintiff was $5250 only.

It is unnecessary, however, to discuss that point, because it is satisfactorily shown that, prior to the final settlement, the work was carefully examined by plaintiff and her agents, and that she accepted the same. The law is too plain on that question to leave any doubt in the mind of the court. When a builder has erected a house, and the proprietor has taken possession without making objections to the work, he can not afterward urge that there was some slight deviations from the contract, nor withhold the payment. (See Mitchell vs. Curell, xi, L. 252, and Clark vs. Kemper, 3 R., 10.) And the voluntary execution of a contract, with the full knowledge of the grounds upon which it might be rescinded, amounts to a ratification of it. 5 R., 354; 6 R., 443; 8 L., 569.

For these reasons, let plaintiff's claim be rejected, with costs.

Sixth District Court.

In the case of Joubert & Co., Sieg & Krug, S. H. Aby & Co., T. Friedlander, Mary Dohan, Hirsch & Adler, Darcey & Wheeler, Mrs. E. M. Stella, Hugh Cassidy, Claiborne & Boyle, A. Donosan and D. H. Holmes against the city of New Orleans, to recover money paid for licenses, jury trial was waived by the city and judgment rendered in favor of plaintiffs.

Some time ago the Hibernia Bank brought suit in the Seventh District Court against W. C. Wilde to recover $1000 on a check which had been paid to the person in question, but for which there were no funds on hand.

After the decision of the suit in favor of defendant, he instituted proceedings against the bank in the Sixth District Court, claiming damages for defamation of character, in that the bank in its petition in the original suit alleged that he "fraudulently obtained said money by cheat and misrepresentation." The bank filed an exception, in which it was claimed that the allegations of a petition in a suit could in no wise render the petitioner liable to an action for damages for slander.

Judge Cooley yesterday morning, in dismissing the exception, held that "ordinarily it has been the ruling that allegations in petitions could not be taken as slanderous, but where such allegations are purely malicious, and are entirely unnecessary for the prosecution of the suit, to either the plaintiff or defendant, they are slanderous, and subject the author thereof to an action for damages."

Seventh District Court.

On and after Monday, May 6, 1872, the trial of any case, if commenced and unfinished, shall be proceeded with the next day, and so on from day to day till the trial is concluded, unless interrupted for cause or otherwise specially ordered by the court.

A. St. Marc has entered suit in this court against H. T. Lawler, A. Poincy, C. F. Glover and F. J. Odendahl, claiming $25,150 damages. Plaintiff charges defendants with having combined and confederated together for the purpose of breaking up his business and ruining his credit and standing as a man of business, honesty and integrity, as well as having assaulted, abused and maltreated him.

Eighth District Court.

Thomas B. Thompson has applied at this court for a writ of mandamus directing James Graham, Auditor, to issue to petitioner a warrant for $100, in compliance with an act of the Legislature for the relief of the latter, approved April 24, 1872.

Views of an Ex-Rebel.

[Special to the New York Tribune.]

CINCINNATI, April 29.—Virginia has a strong delegation present; the question of candidates has not come up before the delegation as a body, although Mr. Stearns announces the majority in favor of acting with the delegation from New York. Mr. Stearns brings with him a letter from General Imboden, which he says fairly represents the current of opinion in Virginia. After an introduction defining his own position, the General calls attention to the Cincinnati movement as an absorption by the new Republican party of the old Whigs of the South; with this the majority of the negro population will join to overthrow the corrupt carpet-baggers. He continued:

I understand your aim at Cincinnati will be, first, to reform the gross abuses that ten or twelve years of absolute control of the federal government has engendered in the Republican party; and, secondly, to inaugurate a new era of good will and harmony between the lately discordant sections, by embodying forgetfulness and forgiveness of past differences in general amnesty for political offenses. You and I know Southern character well enough to feel assured that such a policy, frankly and manfully proclaimed, will bring to the party which adopts it the warm, earnest and constant support of the intelligent and thinking men of the South, and that its success in the coming Presidential election would lay the foundation of a party that would for long years to come control the South, in spite of carpet bag Radicalism on the one hand and fossil Democracy on the other. My opinion is that any party, to be permanently useful in the South, must embrace a large proportion of both races.

The Democratic party can never succeed in winning to its support the great body, or, indeed, any considerable numbers of the negro population, for reasons that are founded upon the history of the past ten years, and for equally strong reasons the Radical Republican party can make no decided impression on the general white population of the South; therefore, we see that party lines here are almost synonymous with race-lines of demarkation. This state of things is productive of much mischief, and tends to perpetuate a struggle between the races that, in the end, will force the weaker race to their own destruction. It is impossible for the Democratic party to win the negro, and no movement it may put on foot will do so; therefore I esteem it a most fortunate circumstance that this new movement originated in the Republican party, and is managed by distinguished men of that party.

The ticket to be put forward must be Republican, or it will fail. You know the negro character well enough to agree with me that the mere subtleties of a platform will make no impression on him, unless that platform is embodied in the life and public career of the candidates representing it. They must be no new men, but names familiar to the negro ear, even when, with bated breath in the quarters cabin years ago, he discussed the possibilities of emancipation. Through the agency of these early friends of his freedom, of whom he then heard something, perhaps from the angry debates of contending parties on the stump, which he would listen to, you must nominate men whose names are associated with emancipation before you approach the negro and ask him to abandon the party to which he feels indebted for his freedom.

Meteorological and River Report.

The signal service, United States army, reports, for the benefit of commerce, the meteorological record, and rise or fall of the rivers, at 3:43 P. M., local time, yesterday, as follows:

Place.	Ther.	Wind.	Weather.
Augusta, Ga	71	N. W.	Clear.
Baltimore	65	S. W.	Fair.
Boston	62	N. W.	Fair.
Buffalo	45	S. W.	Clearing.
Cairo	74	S. W.	Clear.
Charleston	72	N. W.	Clear.
Chicago	45	N. E.	Clear.
Cincinnati	70	W.	Fair.
Davenport	75	N.	Fair.
Galveston	74	S.	Clear.
Indianapolis	67	N. W.	Cloudy.
Indianola	72	E.	Clear.
Jacksonville, Fla	73	N. W.	Clear.
Key West	79	N.	Cloudy.
Leavenworth	81	..	Clear.
Louisville	70	S. W.	Clear.
Memphis	74	S. W.	Clear.
Mobile	76	N.	Clear.
Nashville	71	S. W.	Clear.
New Orleans	72	N. W.	Clear.
New York	56	S.	Fair.
Norfolk	67	S. W.	Fair.
Omaha	84	W.	Clear.
Pittsburg	59	W.	Clearing.
Portland, Maine	50	S. E.	Cloudy.
Punta Rassa, Fla	77	S. E.	Cloudy.
Savannah	70	N.	Clear.
Shreveport	76	N. W.	Clear.
St. Louis	76	S. W.	Clear.
St. Paul	63	N.	Clear.
Vicksburg	77	N.	Clear.
Wilmington, N. C.	72	S. W.	Clear.

RIVERS.

Stations.	Rise. inches.	Fall. inches.
Cairo	..	23
Cincinnati	..	10
Davenport	..	1
Leavenworth	..	1
Louisville	..	4
Memphis	..	26
Nashville	..	14
Omaha	1	..
Pittsburg	6	..
Shreveport	..	5
St. Louis	38	..
St. Paul	..	1

INSURANCE.

DELTA INSURANCE COMPANY.

OFFICE CORNER CARONDELET AND COMMON STREETS, NEW ORLEANS.

CAPITAL STOCK DEPARTMENT.

Shares $100 each. Annual interest dividends ten per cent.

PREMIUM DEPARTMENT.

All dividends to Stockholders payable in Cash. FIRE, MARINE and RIVER policies issued by this Company at Current Rates of Premiums.

TRUSTEES:

A. Baldwin, G. P. Blancand, A. Eimer Bader, O. Berner, A. Tertrou, B. Huff, R. W. Theurer, M. A. de Lizardi, C. J. Leeds, F. Laborde, A. H. D'Meza, Emile Dupre, John Brunson, D. Bouligny, A. Palacio, T. M. Simmons, E. Ganucheau, L. H. Gardner, J. Lapeyre, O. Hopkins, C. H. Moutin, W. B. Couger, E. Bordelois, T. L. Airey, Joseph Aleix.

OFFICERS:

E. GANUCHEAU, President. A. BALDWIN, Vice President. L. W. BAQUIÉ, Secretary.

oct31 6m

LAFAYETTE FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY, OF NEW ORLEANS.

Capital.....$300,000.

Office corner of St. Andrew and Magazine streets, up stairs; entrance on St. Andrew street.

INSURES AGAINST LOSS OR DAMAGE BY FIRE AT LOWEST RATES.

OFFICERS:

KASPAR AUCH, President; ROBERT JACKSON, Vice President.

Louis Mathis, Secretary; John Purcell, Inspector.

DIRECTORS:

J. H. Keller, R. H. Burton, W. R. Fish, O. P. Theisman, Louis Fassel, Henry Eilermann, Henry Bensel, Jr., Charles Kummel, Christian Mehle, B. H. Scheno, Philipp Drumm, Henry Rice, L. L. Levy, Florence Pfister, J. F. Kranz, Dr. S. S. Wood, Alfred Shaw, R. Chevalley, J. H. Nerton, J. M. G. Parker, August Bernard.

jel9 1y

INSURANCE.

MERCHANTS' MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF NEW ORLEANS.

No. 104 Canal street.

SEVENTEENTH ANNUAL STATEMENT.

In conformity with the requirements of their charter, the company publish the following statement:

Premiums received during the year ending May 31, 1871, including unearned premiums of the previous year—

On fire risks	$757,573 64	
On marine risks	103,866 61	
On river risks	239,680 38	
Total premiums	$1,101,062 64	
Less unearned premiums	222,422 00	
Net earned premiums, May 31, 1871		$878,640 64
Losses paid—		
On fire risks	$429,790 37	
On marine risks	69,230 27	
On river risks	73,781 63	
Total	$571,812 27	
Taxes	46,531 71	
Reinsurances and return premiums	30,052 37	
Total	$648,396 35	
Deduct interest, less expenses	33,260 75—	$615,135 60
Profit		$263,505 04

The company have the following assets—

Real estate	$110,965 11
City bonds	254,050 00
Bank and railroad stocks	37,456 00
Notes secured by mortgage	410,922 8
Notes secured by pledge	103,586 3
Bills receivable	37,755 42
Premiums in course of collection	62,023 94
State bonds	1,500 00
Scrip of other companies	6,112 50
Stock of Vallette Dry Dock Company	19,300 00
Stock of Levee Steam Cotton Press	2,300 00
Stock of Marine Dry Dock and Ship Yard Company	3,700 00
Harbor Protection Company	1,500 00
Mortgage bonds Grand Lodge of Louisiana	2,000 00
Mortgage bonds Turners' Association	2,000 00
Mortgage bonds Odd Fellows' Hall	5,000
Stock Opera House Association	3,000 00
Judgments	18,134 10
Cash on hand	381,362 89
Total assets	$1,483,189 57
Less—Unclaimed interest and interest payable July next on all outstanding scrips of the company	$73,745 96
Issues of scrip for the years 1863, 1864 and 1865, payable in July	159,890 00
Unearned premiums on May 31, 1871	222,422 00— $461,057 96
	$1,022,131 61

The above statement is a just, true and correct transcript from the books of the company.

P. FOURCHY, President.
G. W. NOTT, Secretary.

STATE OF LOUISIANA, Parish of Orleans, City of New Orleans.

Sworn to and subscribed before me the third day of June, 1871.

JOSEPH CUVILLIER, Notary Public.

At a meeting of the Board of Directors, held on the third day of June, 1871, it was resolved to declare a scrip dividend of thirty per cent on the net earned participating premiums for the year ending thirty-first of May, 1871 for which certificates will be issued on and after the first day of August next. Also, to pay on and after the second Monday in July next the whole issues of Scrip for the years 1863, 1864 and 1865, and six per cent interest on all outstanding scrip of the company.

DIRECTORS:

P. Fourchy, P. Maspero, D. McCoard, M. Puig, D. A. Chaffraix, L. F. Generes, P. S. Wilts, S. Z. Relf, Joseph Hoy, Charles Lafitte, J. J. Fernandez.

je4 1y

NEW ORLEANS MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY.

Office Corner of Camp and Canal Streets.

ASSETS DECEMBER 31, 1870, $902,742 07.

Insures fire, marine and river risks, dividing the profits on each department separately to the insured. J. TUYES, President.

J. W. HINCKS, Secretary.

Directors.

George Urquhart, A. Rochereau, G. W. Babcock, A. Schreiber, Charles Lafitte, F. Bailly Blanchard, M. Pavro, Placide Forstall, Augustus Reichard, Ernest Miltenberger, W. E. Schmidt, J. Tuyes.

my12 1y

CRESCENT MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY

Twenty-second Annual Statement.

NEW ORLEANS, May 19, 1871.

The Trustees, in conformity to the charter, submit the following statement of the affairs of the company on the thirtieth day of April, 1871:

Fire premiums for the year	$189,572 50	
Marine premiums for the year	70,195 62	
River premiums for the year	212,612 38	
		$473,382 00
Earned premiums, less reinsurance and return premiums		$400,010 91
Losses paid and estimated as follows:		
On fire risks	$45,799 95	
On marine risks	19,195 09	
On river risks	72,639 45	
	$137,634 49	
Taxes paid and estimated	43,319 21	
Discount in lieu of scrip, stamps, expenses, interest account, etc., less discount, etc	50,103 53	
		231,057 23
Leaving net profits		$169,453 44

The company has the following assets:

Bills receivable	$26,389 11	
Loans on bond and mortgage	91,143 33	
		$116,532 44
Loans on pledge of stocks at call	286,716 66	
Cash on hand	119,095 77	
		405,812 43
Real estate		75,000 00
City bonds, bank and other stocks	228,745 0	
Premiums in course of collection	82,573 62	
Total		$908,092 53

The company has also suspended—

Notes, stocks and bonds	$265,246 32
Forfeited scrip	9,904 75
Less interest on scrip	53,439 22

The above statement is a true and correct transcript from the books of the company.

THOMAS A. ADAMS, President.
HENRY V. OGDEN, Secretary.

PARISH OF ORLEANS, City of New Orleans.

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this nineteenth day of May. W. V. EVANS, Second Justice of the Peace.

The Board of Trustees resolved to pay interest at six per cent in cash on all outstanding certificates of scrip, and also to pay in cash FIFTY PER CENT of the issue of 1860, to the legal holders thereof, on and after the first Monday in June next.

They have also declared a scrip dividend of FIFTY PER CENT on the earned premiums entitled to participate, for the year ending April 30, 1871, for which certificates will be issued on and after the first Monday in August next, free of government tax.

THOMAS A. ADAMS, President.
C. T. BUDDECKE, Vice President.
HENRY V. OGDEN, Secretary.

TRUSTEES:

Thomas A. Adams, C. T. Buddecke, S. S. Newman, A. G. Ober, P. Simms, A. Eimer Bad, Samuel H. Kennedy, P. H. Foley, A. Thomson, John Phelps, E. H. Summers, B. Newgass, J. J. Garrard.

y31 1y

SHERIFF'S SALES.

State of Louisiana vs. Mrs. M. Bell.

FOURTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF Orleans. No. 27,205.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the St. Louis Auction Exchange, in the basement rotunda of the St. Louis Hotel, St. Louis street, between Chartres and Royal streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 20, 1872, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

THREE LOTS OF GROUND situated in the Second District of this city, in square number one hundred and twenty-eight, bounded by Canal, Franklin, Liberty and Customhouse streets; first lot measuring twenty-six feet on Canal street by one hundred and nine feet in depth; second lot measuring five feet on Franklin street by twenty-six feet in depth, and third lot measuring twenty-six feet on Canal street by one hundred and fourteen feet in depth.

Seized in the above suit.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

C. S. SAUVINET,
ap13 my5 19 Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

State of Louisiana vs. Charles H. Reed.

FOURTH DISTICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans. No. 27,118.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on TUESDAY, May 21, 1872, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the Fourth District of this city, in square number two hundred and thirty-two, bounded by St. Charles, Second, Carondelet and Third streets, measuring one hundred and twenty-eight feet front on Carondelet street, by one hundred and twenty-five feet in depth.

A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the Fourth District of this city, in square number forty-two, bounded by St. Thomas, Rousseau, Adele and Josephine streets, measuring one hundred and thirty-five feet front on Rousseau street, by one hundred and fifty-eight feet in depth.

Seized in the above suit.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

C. S. SAUVINET,
ap9 my3 21 Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

J. M. Cass vs. New Orleans Times.

SEVENTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 2200.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias for costs against plaintiff in favor of Thomas L. Maxwell, ex-Sheriff, to me directed by the honorable the Seventh District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on THURSDAY, May 9, 1872, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

ALL THE RIGHT, TITLE AND INTEREST of J. M. Cass, defendant herein, in and to a certain judgment rendered by the honorable the Seventh District Court for the parish of Orleans in the suit entitled "J. M Cass vs. New Orleans Times," No. 2200 of the docket of said Seventh District Court. Said judgment being for the sum of one thousand dollars, interest and costs.

Seized in the above suit.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

C. S. SAUVINET,
ap27 my16 29 Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

State of Louisiana vs. Widow J. B. Behnn.

FOURTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 26,359.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias, to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on TUESDAY, May 28, 1872, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the First District of this city, in square number two hundred and sixty-seven, bounded by Canal, Common, Rampart and Dryades streets, measuring fifty-four feet front on Rampart street by one hundred and twenty-one feet in depth.

Seized in the above suit.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

C. S. SAUVINET,
ap27 my11 28 Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

New Orleans National Bank vs. Thomas J. Beck.

FOURTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans. No. 30,017.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on FRIDAY, May 24, 1872, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

FIVE CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, together with all the buildings and improvements thereon, situated in the Sixth District of this city, designated as lots numbers sixteen, seventeen, eighteen, nineteen and twenty in square number twenty, bounded by Nashville avenue, Live Oak, Arabella and Laurel streets, as per sketch attached to an act of sale of the heirs of A. P. Gray to defendant, dated twenty-third December, 1870, before A. Hero, Jr., notary public in this city. Said lots measure each thirty feet front on Nashville avenue by a depth of one hundred and five feet between parallel lines, lot number sixteen forming the corner of Live Oak street and Nashville avenue.

Also, A STRIP OF GROUND thirty feet wide extending in front of said lots.

All according to original plan drawn by B. Buisson, surveyor, dated March 17, 1857, when the tract of land known as Hurtsville was laid in squares. Said plan deposited in the office of William Boswell, then a notary public in this city.

Seized in the above suit.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

C. S. SAUVINET,
ap23 my9 24 Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Pierre Augustin Marrec vs. William Dodds.

SEVENTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 9242.—By virtue of a writ of seizure and sale to me directed by the honorable the Seventh District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the St. Louis Auction Exchange, in the basement rotunda of the St. Louis Hotel, St. Louis street, between Chartres and Royal streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, June 3, 1872, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A PORTION OF GROUND, together with all the buildings and improvements thereon, situated in the Third District of this city, in the new faubourg Marigny, making part of lot designated by the number one hundred and one, of square number seventeen. Said portion of ground measures, French measure, thirty feet front on Urquhart street, between Elysian Fields and Frenchmen streets, upon a depth of sixty feet, bounded on the right by the property now or heretofore belonging to F. Lemos, and on the left by the property now or heretofore belonging to Joseph Berre. Being the same property acquired by defendant herein by purchase from the succession of Louis Mirbel Douvillier, per act passed before Pierre Coudrain, late a notary public in this city, on the twenty-eighth of February, 1865.

Seized in the above suit.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

C. S. SAUVINET,
my1 18 je3 Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Joseph Jacob vs. Joseph Goetz.

FOURTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans. No. 23,124.—By virtue of a writ of seizure and sale to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the St. Louis Auction Exchange, in the basement rotunda of the St. Louis Hotel, St. Louis street, between Chartres and Royal streets, in the Second District of this city, on SATURDAY, May 25, 1872, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN PIECE OR PORTION OF GROUND, together with all the buildings and improvements thereon, and appurtenances thereof, and all the rights, ways, servitudes, privileges and advantages thereunto belonging, or in any wise appertaining, situated in the Second District of this city, in the square bounded by Derbigny, Orleans, St. Peter and Claiborne streets, designated by the letter A on the sketch thereof made by J. A. D'Hemecourt, deputy surveyor of this city, and annexed to an act passed before Edward G. Gottschalk, late a notary public in this city, March 12, 1869. Said piece of ground forms the corners of Orleans and Derbigny streets, and St. Peter and Derbigny streets, and runs through from Orleans to St. Peter streets, and measures fifty feet front on Orleans street, fifty feet front on St. Peter street, by a depth and front on Derbigny street of one hundred and fourteen feet five inches six lines, between parallel lines. Said piece of ground forms the whole of two lots of ground designated as lo s numbers six and seven, of square forty four on a plan made by Joseph Pilie, late surveyor, on May 1, 1840 deposited in the office of B. L. Metlay, late a notary public in this city; being the same property acquired by Joseph Goetz, defendant herein, by purchase from Jean Francois Louis Chabbal, of Bevaux, France, per act passed before said late notary, Gottschalk, April 9, 1866.

Seized in the above suit.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

C. S. SAUVINET,
ap23 my15 23 Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Bagley & Sewall vs. Edmund M. Ivens & Co. and Edmund M. Ivens.

FOURTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans. No. 25,686.—By virtue of a writ of seizure and sale to me directed by the honorable the Fourth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on TUESDAY, May 21, 1872, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

THREE CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND together with the buildings and improvements thereon, and all the rights, ways, privileges and appurtenances thereto belonging, or in any wise appertaining, situated in the First District of this city, known as "batture property," and designated as lots numbers five, six and seven, of square number six, Delta streets, as per plan drawn by L. H. Pilie, late surveyor, dated the twenty first day of May, 1866, and deposited in the office of W. J. Castel, a notary public in this city.

Said lots adjoin each other, and measure each twenty-four feet nine inches and five lines front on Delta street, the same front on Front street, by a depth of one hundred and twenty-two feet and four lines, between parallel lines, running through from Front to Delta streets.

Being the same property which the defendant, Edmund M. Ivens, acquired by purchase from William Baird, per act passed before Andrew Hero, Jr., notary public in this city, on the thirtieth of September, 1868.

Seized in the above suit.

Terms—Cash on the spot.

C. S. SAUVINET,
ap30 my4 21 Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

LEGAL NOTICES.

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA.

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS.

NANNIE E. TARKINGTON VS. D. A. STINSON—No. —.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT ON THE NINETEENTH day of February, 1872, judgment was rendered in this court in the following entitled suit, in the words and figures following, to wit:

Nannie E. Tarkington vs. D. A. Stinson—No. —.

On motion of David Goldman, of counsel for plaintiff, and on producing to the court due proof of said plaintiff's demand, the law and evidence being in her favor—

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the judgment by default herein entered on the tenth instant, be now confirmed and made final, and accordingly that there be judgment in favor of plaintiff, Nannie E. Tarkington, and against defendant, Dr. D. A. Stinson her husband, decreeing a separation of property between the said parties. It is further ordered that defendant pay all costs of suit.

Judgment rendered February 19, 1872.

Judgment signed February 24, 1872.

CHARLES LEAUMONT, Judge.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said court, at the city of New Orleans, on this eighteenth day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy-two, and the ninety-sixth year of the independence of the United States.

ap19 my4 19 LOUIS POWER, Clerk.

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA.

FOURTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS.

ELIZA MURPHY VS. HER HUSBAND, SYLVESTER L. Langoon—No. 31,691.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT ON THE TWENTY-fifth day of March, 1872, judgment was rendered in this court in the following entitled suit, in the words and figures following, to wit:

Eliza Murphy vs. her husband, Sylvester L. Langoon—No. 31,691.

On motion of J. L. Tissot, Esq., of counsel for plaintiff, and upon producing due proof of the allegations in plaintiff's petition contained, and the law and evidence being in favor of plaintiff, it is ordered, adjudged and decreed that the judgment by default herein entered on the fifteenth day of March, 1872, be now confirmed and made final, and accordingly that judgment be rendered in favor of plaintiff, Eliza Murphy, and against defendant, Sylvester L. Langoon, her husband, dissolving the community of acquests and gains which existed heretofore between them; that plaintiff be separated in property from defendant, her husband; that she be allowed to exercise all the rights of married women separated in property from their husbands according to law, and that defendant pay costs of suit.

Judgment rendered March 25, 1872.

Signed March 30, 1872.

PAUL E. THEARD, Judge.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said court, at the city of New Orleans, on this first day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy-two, and the ninety-sixth year of the independence of the United States.

WILLIAM H. CARSON, Deputy Clerk.

ap24 my5 24

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA.

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS.

MRS. AMELIE DEBIAUNE VS. RAYMOND MANENT—No. 2739.

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT ON THE TWENTY-eighth day of March, 1872, judgment was rendered in this court in the following entitled suit, in the words and figures following, to wit:

Mrs. Amelie Debiaune vs. Raymond Manent—No. 2739.

On motion of E. Cambray, of counsel for plaintiff, and on producing to the court due proof of plaintiff's demand, the law and evidence being in favor of plaintiff—

It is ordered, adjudged and decreed, that the judgment by default herein entered on the seventh of November, 1871, be now confirmed and made final; and, accordingly, that there be judgment in favor of plaintiff, Mrs. Amelie Debiaune, and against defendant, Raymond Manent, her husband, decreeing a separation of property between the said parties, and that plaintiff recover of defendant the sum of six thousand dollars, with legal interest thereon from November 25, 1869, until paid, and costs of suit, with lien and privilege as allowed by law to married women on the property of their husbands.

Judgment rendered March 26, 1872.

Signed April 3, 1872.

CHARLES LEAUMONT, Judge.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the said court, at the city of New Orleans, on this eighteenth day of April, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and seventy-two, and the ninety-sixth year of the independence of the United States.

PHILIP POWER, JR., ap24 my9 24* Deputy Clerk.

MONITION.

STATE OF LOUISIANA.

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS—No. 3227.

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA, TO ALL WHOM these presents shall come, greeting: Whereas, Robert Stewart Dennee having purchased for the sum of $700, at a sale made by the Sheriff of the parish of Orleans, the property herein described, has applied to this court, in which flies the deed of sale was recorded on the fifth day of April, A. D. 1872, for a monition or advertisement, in conformity to an act of the Legislature of the State of Louisiana of 1870, being act No. 96, from section 2370 to 2380, inclusive, of Ray's Revised Statutes.

Now, therefore, know ye, and all persons interested herein, are hereby cited and admonished, in the name of the State of Louisiana, and of the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, to cast up any right, title or claim in and to the property hereinafter described, in consequence of any informality in the order, decree or judgment of the court under which the sale was made, or any irregularity or illegality in the appraisement, in time or manner of sale, or for any other defect whatsoever, to show cause within thirty days from the day this monition is first inserted in the public paper, why the sale so made should not be confirmed and homologated.

The said property was sold by the Sheriff of the parish aforesaid, on the thirteenth day of March, A. D. 1872, in the suit entitled Robert L. Belden vs. James Daly, No. 3227 of the docket of this court, at which sale Robert Stewart Dennee became the purchaser for the price of $700.

Description of the property as given in the jud