

LOTTERIES

OFFICIAL DRAWING OF THE LOUISIANA STATE LOTTERY, CLASS II.

On Saturday, June 20, 1874.

Table with columns: Prize No., Prize, and Amount. Lists various prize numbers and their corresponding values.

Table with columns: Prize No., Prize, and Amount. Lists prize numbers and values for the 'Prizes Cash'd Without Discount' section.

SUMMER SCHEME

ONLY 10,000 NUMBERS. LOUISIANA STATE SINGLE NUMBER LOTTERY.

Three Capital Prizes of \$10,000 each.

LOUISIANA STATE LOTTERY COMPANY (Incorporated August 17, 1869).

CLASS I. To be drawn in public at New Orleans.

On Saturday, July 11, 1874.

SCHEM. 10,000 Tickets.....Tickets only \$10

Approximation Prizes. Lists various prize amounts and their frequencies.

EXPLANATION OF APPROXIMATION PRIZES. Details the rules for prize calculations.

PRIZES PAYABLE IN FULL WITHOUT DEDUCTION.

Drawings to be addressed to the LOUISIANA STATE LOTTERY COMPANY.

DRAWING OF THE LOUISIANA STATE LOTTERY FOR JUNE 20, 1874.

Table with columns: Prize No., Prize, and Amount. Lists prize numbers and values for the June 20, 1874 drawing.

BEWARE OF BOGUS LOTTERIES.

BUSINESS CHANGES

DISSOLUTION OF CO-PARTNERSHIP. Firm of Samuel Smith & Co.

THE GRANT PARISH PRISONERS.

The Rule for a New Trial. The rule for a new trial taken in the case of...

Mr. Marr filed *judice pro tunc* exceptions by him during the course of the trial in relation to certain testimony. He also filed additional grounds on the motion for a new trial, stating that the facts contained therein had come to the knowledge of the jury...

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Relief for the Overloaded.

By the following correspondence it will be seen that another opportunity will be afforded the charitable of our city to contribute to the relief fund and receive a brilliant musical treat in exchange. Mr. Grunewald is entitled to praise for the elegance and refinement of his philanthropy.

Mr. Grunewald, in conclusion, argued that if the verdict of a jury with these two objectionable members thereon, was good as to the five accused who had been acquitted, it was also good as to the three who had been found guilty. To annul the verdict as to the three, would be to annul the verdict as to the five.

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Mr. Marr then rose to conclude. He began by stating that Kelly would scarcely have been challenged as an alien by the defense, for he, himself, was free to admit that an alien, if he was an honest man, an intelligent man, would be as able a juror as any one who had not formed an opinion. The man Kelly was objected to because he had been convicted of perjury. He was ineligible to serve as a juror in the most trivial case in any State court. How, then, could such a perjured man be admitted to serve as a juror in a case involving the property, the liberty, the life even of a citizen?

Our Facilities for Travel.

As usual at this season of the year, there is a tendency in the average New Orleans mind to gravitate North, though not so many are following their bent. The two railroads do the bulk of the passenger business both ways, though both river and ocean steamers attract some travelers.

The Jackson line is interrupted by the Bonnet-Carre croasse which renders the thirty-three miles of track between here and Pass Manchac useless. This hiatus is supplied by the Pontchartrain railroad and the lake steamer Creole. The trip across from Milneburg to the pass is usually a very pleasant one. The steamer is staunch and clean, the caterer, Mr. Woods, provides the passengers with an excellent meal, the officers are all attentive, and when the lake is not rough nothing in the scene is so. But while this is pleasant enough for the passengers, it is anything else than profitable to the railroad company. A gentleman who passed over the road a day or two ago, learned from the employes along the route that Colonel Frost, the active, indomitable manager of the road, begins to fret and chafe under the heavy load of expense he is compelled to carry, with no prospect of relief much if any before the first of September. But the trains are run with the promptness which has characterized the administration since Colonel McComb took charge of the whole business. Some delays generally involving a few hours occur from obstructions along Jackson, but passengers are generally carried on time.

Among others who left the city during the past week, not heretofore referred to, are Major Burke, freight agent of the road, and C. M. Sorie, Esq., agent of the steamers Camelia and Sorie. Both gentlemen are bound for New York at first, with a reversionary intent on Washington. Several of our citizens accepted a polite invitation to accompany them on their way as far as Manchac. Among others was J. D. Houston, Esq., who succeeds Major Burke as freight agent during his absence. The excursionists were committed to the special care of Captain Walker, of the Creole, who made the time so pleasant that some of them expressed a desire to remain as long as he stays in the trade.

This misfortune to the road has imparted some little life to old Milneburg and the Pontchartrain railroad as well. The old trains go limping and coughing backward and forward nearly full of passengers, and hoble over the uneven places with the indifference so characteristic of the decrepit and gouty, when under unusual excitement.

The Knights Templar. A large number of the Sir Knights of this city were assembled at Masonic Hall last night for the purpose of drill exercise, and they went through the various changes of facing, wheeling, and forming triangles on the march and on a halt, in a manner that shows an increased interest in this higher branch of Masonry, and reflects credit upon the officers having in charge the drilling of their respective commmanderies.

It is customary among the Masonic fraternity to observe in fitting manner the twenty-fourth of June annually, in commemoration of the birth of St. John the Baptist, the patron saint of the brotherhood. For this purpose the Knights Templar make a public parade on Wednesday next in full uniform. The parade will be concluded at Lafayette square with evolutions according to the manual.

After the parade the Knights will proceed to the Carondelet Street Methodist Church and participate in the devotions of the faithful. The services at the church will be peculiar to the order, and interesting, and will include a short address by the grand chaplain, Rev. J. C. Carpenter.

In December next New Orleans will be honored by the presence of Templars from all parts of the Union, when the Grand Encampment of the United States will hold its triennial convocation here, and our Sir Knights are working right earnestly to make a creditable showing on that occasion.

Orleans Rowing Club. The first anniversary of the organization of this band of sturdy young oarsmen occurs on Thursday next. The occasion will be duly celebrated by a regatta, in which the Pelican Club and Riverside Club will probably take an active part.

The Orleans Club promise three races: the first a race with four oared outriggers; the second a four oared barge race; and the third a race between pair-oared gigs. Though only organized a year, the Orleans Club is so strong in numbers and material that under favorable circumstances, and with time for training and practice, they might contest the palm with the best of the older organizations.

It is quite likely that the Pelican and Riverside Clubs will contest a race on the occasion. It is to be hoped that they have already agreed to do so. Both clubs possess excellent material, and if they are not afraid of each other, ought to give evidence, of their prowess and skill. Due notice will be given of the hour at which the regatta will take place, the course, programme and other particulars.

Stanb's News Stand. The energetic proprietor of the cheap news stand at Goldwater's book store, No. 63 Canal street, continues to favor us periodically; that is to say with frequent specimens of the periodical news, which he has for the public at wonderfully cheap rates. He has the principal Eastern and Western dailies, with the best of the magazines and weekly publications, and those who desire literary entertainment, or a knowledge of what is going on in the world about us, visit his stand early and often, where can be obtained the most reading matter for the least money.

Go to Navra & Offner's for cheap wooden ware. Rouseau used to say that to write a good love letter you ought to begin with out knowing what you meant to say, and to finish without knowing what you had written.

The antipathy of the Japanese is said to be so great against Catholics that the Bonish missionaries have abandoned their symbol of the cross, that the natives may fail to find the distinction between them and the Protestants.

Valuable Improved Real Estate, Letters Patent and Claims—Attention is called to the sales at auction to be made to-morrow, at noon, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, by the sheriff of the parish of Orleans. Said sales comprise: 1. Five lots of ground, situated in the Third District of this city, on Claiborne street, between Music and Arts streets. One of said lots forms the corner of Claiborne and Music streets.

2. Improved and vacant real estate in the Second and Third Districts of this city, for State taxes.

3. Interest of the Roofing and Paving Company in and to letters patent.

4. And sundry claims.

For full particulars and terms see advertisements.

A Persian poet has translated the Bible into Persian verse. The book is "regarded as an epic" in the land of the Shah.

Tom Scott's Reads.

President Tom Scott recently presented to a meeting of the Pennsylvania Company, in Pittsburg, the following statement of the earnings of the various roads operated by that company in 1873:

Table with columns: Road Name, Earnings, and Expenses. Lists various railroads and their financial performance.

The final result to the Pennsylvania Company, after paying all expenses, rentals, etc., shows a net profit of \$1,328,310; out of which dividends have been paid on preferred and common stock, \$570,000, leaving a balance of \$758,310. The lines are now amply supplied with facilities to meet not only present demands, but also a much increased volume, and the condition of the track and rolling stock will enable the business to be moved with economy and dispatch.

On the Fort Wayne railroad forty-nine per cent of the entire line was relaid with new rails, including 6000 tons of steel rails, and twenty-eight per cent of the ties were renewed. On the Cleveland and Pittsburg road thirty-five per cent of the line was relaid with new iron. In addition to the line enumerated, and which are operated under leases, this company has also worked, during the past year, on account of proprietary companies, the Indianapolis and Vincennes, the Ashland, Congers and Pittsburg railroad, the Toledo, Tiffin and Easton railroad, and has completed a portion of the Mansfield, Coldwater and Lake Michigan railroad.

The Threne of Spain. The Paris Debats of June 2, speaking of the rumor which has prevailed of the candidature of a German prince, or rather a Prussian one, for the throne of Spain, makes the following observations: