tion ask you to find the affirmation of the issue on the evidence adduced to show that fifty-two barrels of distilled spirits without warehouse or tax paid stamps, trade mark or brands required by law, were found in the building on the river bank, which was not reported as a part of the distillery premises. Have you any doubt that the fifty-two barrels of spirits were found in that warehouse on the river bank; that they had no tax paid stamps, or other stamps or legal marks upon them; that said warehouse was not the bonded distillery warehouse on the distillery premises? That this building on the river bank was not the bonded distillery warehouse, is not claimed by the defense. The bonded distillery warehouse was, according to the plan, a part of the distillery building. You can, therefore, have no trouble in finding that this building was not the distillery warehouse on the distillery premises, and spirits could not be lawfully removed to it unless the taxes were first paid, and not even then if the building was on the distillery lot. The government claim to have shown by the sworn answer of Fehrenbach, filed in the civil action pending in this court, in which the United States is plaintiff, and Fehrenbach, distiller, and fifty-two barrels of distilled spirits, etc., are defendant, that Fehrenbach claimed the fifty-two barrels of which I am now speaking as his property. Then the question remaining, the second proposition necessary for the prosecution to establish, has been established.

But if you find either that there was no conspiracy, or that Fehrenbach did not remove, or cause to be removed, without the payment of the tax thereon, any distilled spirits from his distillery to a place other than the distillery warehouse on the distillery premises, the prosecution must fail.

But if your minds are satisfied that the conspiracy charged in the indictment is proven, and that the removal of distilled spirits, without the payment of tax, is proven also, as charged in the indictment, then the only remaining question for you to determine will be, who were the parties engaged in the conspiracy. Are you satisfied that Edward Fehrenbach removed or caused to be removed from his distillery to the building on the river bank the fifty-two barrels of spirits, without revenue or other stamps or legal brands and marks? Upon this point the prosecution insists that it is shown that the building on the river bank was erected by Fehrenbach; that it was used as a place from which to receive grain for the use of the distillery; to land spirits manufactured at the distillery upon the barge R. E. Lee upon the river; that Fehrenbach has sworn in his answer that the fifty-two barrels were his property; that after Brooks discovered the whisky Fehrenbach did not attempt to account for the fact of its presence without stamps in a building outside the bonded warehouse; that he is shown to have been engaged in a conspiracy to defraud the government of the internal revenue tax on distilled spirits, and that other unstamped whisky on other occasions was found in the same building, and from these circumstances you are asked to infer that Fehrenbach removed, or caused to be removed, these fifty-two packages of distilled spirits to the building referred to. Are your minds satisfied upon this fact? The prosecution is not bound to make it absolutely certain, but to satisfy your minds beyond reasonable doubt. If you are so satisfied it is sufficient.

The prosecution claims also to have shown that the overt act charged in the indictment is proven by the evidence which has been introduced to show that of the 225 barrels of spirits which should have been in the bonded warehouse, 114 were missing. If you are satisfied from the facts shown in the case that these barrels were removed, or caused to be removed by Fehrenbach, then the prosecution have succeeded in showing one other overt act charged in the indictment.

The prosecution further claims that it has shown that distilled spirits were surreptitiously drawn by Fehrenbach and others at night for several occasions from the cistern room, and put in unstamped barrels, which were afterwards removed from the distillery premises. If you should be of opinion that this is shown, another overt act charged in the indictment may be considered as established.

The prosecution claims that Otto H. Karstendiek was one of the parties to this conspiracy, and that the evidence to show his connection with the conspiracy also tends strongly to prove the existence of the conspiracy. It is not denied that Karstendiek was a rectifier and wholesale liquor dealer in New Orleans. The prosecution claims to have shown that Fehrenbach, the person who appeared to be the owner of the distillery, was before the distillery commenced operations a clerk in the employ of Karstendiek; that after the seizure of the distillery he returned to the employment of Karstendiek as a clerk; that he was a man of small means; that Karstendiek became one of the sureties on Fehrenbach's distiller's bond, and he appeared to be the purchaser of all the spirits distilled at Fehrenbach's distillery, and that this last fact is shown by the distillery books kept by Fehrenbach himself, as well as by the declaration of Karstendiek.

One who rectifies, purifies or refines distilled spirits by any process, or doing none of these things, who shall by mixing spirits, wine or other liquor with any materials manufacture and compound liquors for sale, under whatever name, is a rectifier.

He must give notice to the collector of the district of his intention to commence business, give details of stills, boilers and tubs to be used in the business, and the capacity of the establishment for the production of rectified spirits.

All stills set up must be registered; the rectifier must not purchase spirits in larger quantities than twenty gallons from any person other than a bonded distiller or a wholesale liquor dealer, except at judicial sales. He must keep a book in which he must enter all details of spirits received before any part of the same is drawn off or changed in any way; and on the same day it is received, in like manner, before he delivers spirits sold by him; all details of the marks, stamps, character of spirits, etc., must be entered up in their appropriate columns. He must not remove spirits before sunrise nor after sunset.

He must give notice on a prescribed form before emptying casks of spirit for rectification of his intention to do so.

He must also enter, in appropriate column, when the casks are emptied, the stamps are "effaced and obliterated."

The regulation says these terms mean "complete destruction."

He must notify the collector when spirits are rectified that a gauger may be sent to gauge, prove, mark and stamp the packages into which the spirit may be drawn.

He must make monthly return of the quantity of spirits received for rectification and the quantity rectified during the preceding month.

A rectifying house must not be within 600 feet in a direct line of any distillery.

The prosecution claims that Karstendiek's books show that he sold spirits which according to the same books he had long before sold; that his books show that he sold the same serial numbers to several different persons at different times; that there were 123 of these packages which by his books appear to have been sold two or three times; that Karstendeik failed to record in his books sales of 400 packages which he should have recorded. It is also claimed to have been shown that he gave notice to the collector of his purpose to dump certain specified packages, and subsequently sold the same packages without dumping them.

It is also claimed to have been shown that between January 5 and April 11, 1874, there was stamped for Karstendiek with rectifiers and wholesale liquor dealers' stamps 884 packages, all which were unaccounted for, and that after deducting from this number the 400 packages sold and not entered, there still remain 484 packages of rectified spirits unaccounted for. And that from his books it appears that he received and sold twenty-two packages purporting to have upon them warehouse and tax paid stamps which were not upon them, but were in fact in the desk in Fehrenbach's distillery.

It is also claimed that Karstendiek failed and neglected to make the entries in his wholesale liquor dealer'and rectifiers, books which the law prescribed should be made.

The books of Karstendiek are in evidence and you will, therefore, be able correctly to decide whether the prosecution have in fact shown what it claims to have shown, with reference to this defendant.

The charge is that the irregular means resorted to by Karstendiek were the means by which he put upon the market the illicit spirits distilled at Fehrenbach's distillery, and that they connect him with the conspiracy.

If you are satisfied upon the evidence that the conspiracy charged in the indictment actually existed, and that the overt acts or any one of them is also shown, then you should take the case of each one of the defendants on trial and inquire whether they are shown to have been concerned in the conspiracy. If there was a conspiracy of which you can take notice, some one at least of the defendants must have been in it.

Consider the testimony so far as it relates to Fehrenbach. Was he in the conspiracy? If you are fully satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that he was, find him guilty. If the evidence does not fully satisfy you, find him not guilty. Take in any order you please and consider separately the cases of Karstendiek, Beales, Bruce and William M. Todd. Are the facts established in this case consistent with their innocence of the charge of conspiracy made in the indictment? If they are, acquit them; if they are not, find them guilty.

Consider the case of McWhirter. This distillery was, as it is claimed, assigned to him to overlook. The evidence, it is claimed, shows that it was his duty to watch its operations, and to report any irregularities and violations of the law. Are you satisfied from the proof that he willfully neglected his duty for the purpose of aiding the frauds upon the revenue of the government? If you find a willful and continued neglect of the duty plainly imposed by the law, and the natural result of this neglect was to facilitate the perpetration of the frauds upon the revenue, as charged in the indictment, you would be justified in finding that he also was a part of the conspiracy. But if he did not neglect any duty required of him by the law, or if his neglect was not willful and did not indicate clearly a corrupt purpose, or was the result of the numerous other duties required of him, so that it was not possible for him to discharge the duties required of him in relation to this distillery. Did he know that the distillery was operated in violation of the law, or did he willfully shut his eyes to the facts before him, in order that the fraud might be consumated? If he did his duty, or in failing to do it had no corrupt purposes, acquit him; but if you find that his neglect was willful and corrupt, that the purpose of his neglect was to aid the frauds on the revenue, you must return him guilty.

Charles W. Wills, it is claimed by the prosecution, was the acting collector during the period of these alleged frauds. Inquire what his duties demanded of him, and were they discharged so far as this distillery was concerned with reasonable diligence, or did he willfully shut his eyes to facts before him, and thereby purposely assist in the perpetration of the alleged frauds, and as you shall decide these questions you will find him innocent or guilty.

If you have been convinced of the conspiracy, and of the overt acts, or any one of them, alleged in the indictment, you will then go over the case of each one of the defendants, and inquire whether his complicity in the conspiracy has been fully shown, and your verdict will be guilty or not guilty, as to each of the several defendants, according as you may find in each one's case.

Superior District Court.

State ex rel. John Kline et al. vs. E. Pilsbury, Administrator of Finance.—Relators are brokers; a part of their business is to pay license taxes of 1876; they have tendered $3576 61 of Metropolitan Police warrants and defendent has refused to receive them. Rule to show cause May 4, 1876, issued.

Thomas Miles vs. M. Vondubonk.—Plaintiff, owner of the "Bismarck," leased it to the defendant, who has always paid his rent promptly; he alleges that the next payment is due May 1, and that defendant has employed an auctioneer to sell all the movables April 29, and prays that he be restrained. Injunction issued on bond of $500.

Superior Criminal Court.

State vs. T. J. and Adolphe Newhouse.—Murder. Killing John C. Costley, February 16, 1876, in the Union coffeehouse, corner of Melpomene and Dryades streets. Arguments finished.

Second District Court.

Successions of George Schumacher, Joseph Lehman and Bernard Sier opened.

The tutorship of Alexandrine Sauvage is asked.

BUSINESS CARDS.

A. HERO, JR.,
NOTARY PUBLIC AND COMMISSIONER OF DEEDS
Office No. 17 Commercial Place.
Passports procured with dispatch, and prompt attention given to all. an24

LEGAL NOTICES.

NONITION.

STATE OF LOUISIANA.

SECOND DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS.

THE STATE OF LOUISIANA TO ALL WHOM these presents shall come, greeting: Whereas, Robert Carey and Maurice E. Garvey have purchased at a public sale made by Nash & Hodgson, W. I. Hodgson, auctioneer, for the parish of Orleans, on Wednesday, the sixth day of October, 1875, at the hour of twelve o'clock M., at the St. Charles Auction Exchange, in the basement rotunda of the St. Charles Hotel in this city, under and by virtue of an order of the honorable the Second District Court for the parish of Orleans, rendered on the second day of September, 1875, the property hereinafter described, have applied to this court for a monition or advertisement in conformity to sections 2370, 2371, 2372 and 2373 of act No. 96, approved the sixteenth of March, 1870, and commonly known as an "act for the revision of the statutes of a general character"—

Now, therefore, know ye, and all persons interested herein are cited and admonished, in the name of the State of Louisiana, and of the Second District Court for the parish of Orleans, who can show any right, title or claim in and to the property hereinafter described in consequence of any informality in the order, decree or judgment of the court under which the sale was made, or any irregularity or illegality in the appraisements and advertisements, in time or manner of sale, or for any other defect whatsoever, to show cause within thirty days from the day this monition is first inserted in the public papers, why the sale so made should not be confirmed and homologated.

The said property was sold in the matter of the succession of Edwin Walters Rodd, No. 34,671 of the docket of this court, at which sale the said Robert Carey and Maurice E. Garvey became the purchasers, for the price of and sum of $9000.

Description of the property, as contained in the proces verbal of auctioneer:

Three certain lots of ground, situated, lying and being in the Second District of this city, in the square No. 5 A, bounded by Clay, Front, Customhouse and Bienville streets, designated as lots Nos. 9, 11 and 12 of said square, on a plan made by Louis H. Pilie, city surveyor, dated May 21, 1866, and deposited in the office of the undersigned notary for reference; which said lots of ground measure, in American measure, according to said plan, as follows, to wit: Lots Nos. 9 and 11, each, 25 feet 8 inches front on each of Clay and Front streets, by a depth of 119 feet 10 inches 2 lines, between parallel lines, and lot No. 12 adjoins lot No. 11 and measures 25 feet 11 inches 3 lines front on Clay street, 26 feet 2 inches 6 lines front on Front street, by 119 feet 9 inches 6 lines in depth and front on Bienville street, and 119 feet 10 inches 2 lines in depth on the line of lot No. 11, and forming the corners of Clay and Bienville streets and of Front and Bienville streets; together with all the rights, ways and advantages thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, the buildings and improvements on said property not belonging to said succession.

A. L. TISSOT, Judge.

FRANK PAGE, JR., Clerk.
New Orleans, April 21, 1876. ap23 30 my3 14 21

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.

DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA.

IN THE MATTER OF ANTOINE GIRAUD, individually, as a member of the firm of Blanchin & Giraud, bankrupt.

In Bankruptcy—No. 1478.

WHEREAS, ANTOINE GIRAUD, INDIVIDUALLY, and as a member of said firm, of New Orleans, and district aforesaid, duly declared bankrupt under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, has this day filed in said court a petition praying for a discharge and certificate thereof, from all his debts and other claims provable under said act. Notice is therefore given to all creditors who have proved their debts, and to all other persons in interest, that the sixteenth day of May, 1876, at 11 A. M., is assigned for the hearing of the same, and that they may then and there attend and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted; and further notice is given that the bankrupt will undergo an examination before Register J. W. Gurley, Jr., on the thirteenth day of May, A. D. 1876, at 11 A. M., at his office, No. 47 Camp street, New Orleans.

Clerk's office, New Orleans, April 28, 1876.
ap30 may5 12 K. LOEW, Deputy Clerk.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.

DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA.

ALL PERSONS CONCERNED ARE HEREBY NOTified that the undersigned has been duly appointed assignee of the estate of David J. Wasson, in bankruptcy. THOMAS M. GILL.
ap16 23 30

SHERIFF'S SALES.

The City of New Orleans vs. B. J. West; same vs. same.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 73,592 and 91,941.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

THREE CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situated in the First District of this city, in square number one hundred and sixty-three, bounded by Magazine, Camp, Girod and Lafayette streets, designated as follows: Lots numbers seven and eight measuring forty-two feet on Magazine street, by one hundred and seventy-one feet in depth. Lot number twenty-seven measuring twenty-five feet on Girod street, by one hundred and twenty-nine feet in depth.

ONE CERTAIN SQUARE OF GROUND, number one hundred and ninety four, situated in the Sixth District of this city, bounded by Magazine, Constance, Dufossat and Soniat streets, measuring two hundred and fifty by three hundred and twenty feet.

SEVEN CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situated in the Sixth District of this city, in square number one hundred and ninety-eight, bounded by Magazine, Constance, Bordeaux and Lyon streets, designated as follows: Lots numbers one to six measuring two hundred and twenty feet six inches on Magazine street, by one hundred and twenty-four feet in depth. Lot number eight measuring thirty-five feet on Lyon street by one hundred and fifty feet in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. Carondelet Canal Navigation Company; same vs. Canal Carondelet Company.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 69,264 and 82,023.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

1. A CERTAIN PORTION OF GROUND, situated in the Second District of this city, in square number four hundred and fifty-seven, bounded by St. Louis, Toulouse and Clark streets and Bayou St. John.

2. ELEVEN CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situated in the Second District of this city, in square number four hundred and seventy-four, bounded by St. Peter and Toulouse streets and Bayou St. John.

3. A CERTAIN PORTION OF GROUND, situated in the Second District of this city, in square number four hundred and thirty-three, bounded by Toulouse, St. Louis, Genois and Clark streets.

4. A CERTAIN PORTION OF GROUND, situated in the Third District of this city, in the lower part of Bayou St. John, designated as lot number two, measuring one hundred and ninety-two feet on Bayou St. John, by five hundred and seventy-five feet in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. Jean B. Piffet; same vs. same.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 76,340 and 88,361.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

1. A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the First District of this city, in square number one hundred and ninety-four, situated in the Sixth District of this city, bounded by Magazine, Constance, Dufossat and Soniat streets, measuring two hundred and fifty by three hundred and twenty feet.

2. A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the Second District of this city, in square number sixty-six, bounded by Canal, Customhouse, Royal and Bourbon streets, measuring thirty feet by thirty-six feet.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. William Golding; same vs. same.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 69,924 and 84,786.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

FIVE CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situated in the First District of this city, in square number forty-nine, bounded by Peters, Delord, Pearl and Calliope streets, designated as follows: Lots numbers seven to ten measuring one hundred and fifty-two feet on Peters street, by various depths; lot number twelve measuring twenty-nine feet on Delord street, by one hundred and thirty-two feet in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Citizens' Bank of Louisiana vs. Adolphe Dupre.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 26,230.—By virtue of a writ of seizure and sale directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, to W. P. Harper, late sheriff, and by said late sheriff to me transferred, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A LOT OF GROUND, situated in the Second District of this city, on Esplanade street, between Rampart and Burgundy streets, having thirty-one feet on Esplanade street, by about one hundred and seven feet four inches six lines in depth, bounded on one side by the property now or lately belonging to G. Dorsey, and on the other side by the property now or lately belonging to J. M. Zachariè; together with the buildings and improvements thereon; together, also, with the one hundred and twenty-two shares of mortgage stock thereto attached. Being the same property acquired by the defendant herein by purchase from the estate of A. Delvaille, per act passed before Adolphe Boudousquie, late a notary public in this city, on the thirteenth of June, 1855.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—The purchaser to furnish a stock note in favor of the Citizens' Bank of Louisiana for the sum of fourteen hundred and thirty dollars, payable on the first of November, 1876, and renewable, according to the terms of the charter of said Citizens' Bank of Louisiana, and the balance of the price of adjudication, cash on the spot. Said purchaser to assume all the obligations and responsibilities of a stockholder of the Citizens' Bank of Louisiana, and the stock note so furnished to be identified with the act of sale of property and bank stock by the Citizens' Bank of Louisiana to A. Delvaille, before Adolphe Boudousquie, late a notary public in this city, on the twenty third of April, 1853, and act of sale of property and bank stock by the estate A. Delvaille to this defendant before said late notary, Apolphe Boudousquie, on the thirteenth of June, 1855, and with the sheriff's deed of sale in this case.

EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 1530 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

R. King Cutler vs. W. G. Hodges.

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF Orleans, No. 4930.—By virtue of a pluries writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

1. A CERTAIN PORTION OF GROUND, situated in the Second District of this city, in square number four hundred and fifty-seven, bounded by St. Louis, Toulouse and Clark streets and Bayou St. John.

2. ELEVEN CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situated in the Second District of this city, in square number four hundred and seventy-four, bounded by St. Peter and Toulouse streets and Bayou St. John.

3. A CERTAIN PORTION OF GROUND, situated in the Second District of this city, in square number four hundred and thirty-three, bounded by Toulouse, St. Louis, Genois and Clark streets.

4. A CERTAIN PORTION OF GROUND, situated in the Third District of this city, in the lower part of Bayou St. John, designated as lot number two, measuring one hundred and ninety-two feet on Bayou St. John, by five hundred and seventy-five feet in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. Jean B. Piffet; same vs. same.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 76,340 and 88,361.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

1. A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the First District of this city, in square number one hundred and ninety-four, situated in the Sixth District of this city, bounded by Magazine, Constance, Dufossat and Soniat streets, measuring two hundred and fifty by three hundred and twenty feet.

2. SEVEN CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situated in the Sixth District of this city, in square number one hundred and ninety-eight, bounded by Magazine, Constance, Bordeaux and Lyon streets, designated as follows: Lots numbers one to six measuring two hundred and twenty feet six inches on Magazine street, by one hundred and twenty-four feet in depth. Lot number eight measuring thirty-five feet on Lyon street by one hundred and fifty feet in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 1530 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Vincent & Co. vs. Arnold Danziger.

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 8420.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at eleven o'clock A. M., at my warehouse, Nos. 23 and 25 Orleans street, between Royal and Bourbon streets, in the Second District of this city, the following described property, to wit—

TWO TRUNKS AND ONE LOT OF HOSIERY, as per inventory, which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap19 22 27 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. Alphonse Martin; same vs. Alphonse Martin and James Lyon.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 88,261 and 75,102.—By virtue of two writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

ONE CERTAIN PORTION OF GROUND, situated in the First District of this city, in square number five hundred and forty four, bounded by Miro, Tonti, Lafayette and Julia streets, measuring two hundred and ninety-eight feet two inches on Miro street, by two hundred and eighty-two feet one inch in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. Ed. F. Taylor and others; same vs. same.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 73,680 and 92,001.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1873, 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

1. A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the Fourth District of this city, in square number two hundred and eighty-nine, bounded by Carondelet, Philip, St. Charles and First streets, designated as lot number nine, measuring thirty feet on Carondelet street, by one hundred and twenty-six feet in depth.

2. A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the Fourth District of this city, in square number three hundred and eight, bounded by Lafayette, Franklin, Basin and Girod streets, measuring thirty-five feet five inches on Lafayette street, by one hundred and fifteen feet in depth; lot number twenty-one measuring seven feet eight inches on Carondelet street, by one hundred and fifteen feet in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
mh30 ap8 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. John Hagan; same vs. same.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 71,731 and 87,059.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—All and singular defendant's right title and interest, being—

ONE UNDIVIDED HALF IN AND TO A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, with all the buildings and improvements thereon, situated in the Third District of this city, in square number two, bounded by Piety, Greatmen, Desire and Craps streets, measuring thirty-two feet front on Piety street, by one hundred and twenty feet in depth, between parallel lines. Said lot is designated by the number eight, on a plan drawn by C. Giroux, architect, dated fourteenth of December, 1848, and deposited in the office of Louis T. Caire, late a notary public in this city. Being the same property which was acquired by the defendant herein by purchase from Christian Wolff, as per act passed before Octave de Armas, a notary public in this city, on the sixteenth of January, 1855, registered in the conveyance office of this city, in book sixty-four, folio five hundred and ninety.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap3 15 22 my9 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. Mrs. A. Fortin and Mrs. Louise Fortin.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 87,302.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, for city taxes of 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on FRIDAY, May 12, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

TWO CERTAIN PORTIONS OF GROUND, situated in the Fourth District of this city, in square number one hundred and eighty-three, bounded by Coliseum, Third, Fourth and Chestnut streets, measuring as follows: Two hundred and fifteen feet on Coliseum street, by one hundred and twenty-eight feet in depth, and one hundred and twenty-eight feet on Coliseum street, by one hundred and twenty-eight feet in depth.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN,
ap11 22 my12 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Bawe & Detter vs. F. Matt.

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF Orleans, No. 7252.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on the premises hereinafter designated, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at half-past four o'clock P. M., the following described property, to wit—

THE CONTENTS OF STORE situated at No. 160 Orleans street, in the Second District of this city, consisting of Crockery, Glassware, etc., as per inventory, which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap20 24 27 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

John F. Popp vs. John Rigermer.

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 6924.—By virtue of an alias writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at my warehouse, Nos. 23 and 25 Orleans street, between Royal and Bourbon streets, Second District of this city, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at five o'clock P. M., the following described property, to wit—

TWO CISTERNS.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap20 23 27 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

Margareth Kein vs. H. W. Kloppenburg and Caroline M. Kloppenburg, wife of Alfred Marchand.

FIFTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 6313.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias against Henry W. Kloppenburg, to me directed by the honorable the Fifth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at half past two o'clock A. M., at my warehouse, Nos. 23 and 25 Orleans street, between Royal and Bourbon streets, in the Second District of this city, the following described property, to wit—

A LOT OF FURNITURE AND MOVABLES (not exempt by law), taken from the premises No. 45 St. Ferdinand street, as per inventory which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap19 22 27 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

F. Schonckas vs. F. G. Quirk.

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF Orleans, No. 8503.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at half past eleven o'clock A. M., at my warehouse, Nos. 23 and 25 Orleans street, between Royal and Bourbon streets, in the Second District of this city, the following described property, to wit—

A LOT OF FURNITURE AND MOVABLES, as per inventory, which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap20 22 27 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. Manuel D. Liliano; same vs. Manuel de Liliano.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, No. 68,518 and 80,817.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on WEDNESDAY, May 3, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the First District of this city, in square number two hundred and seventy, bounded by Perdido, Rampart, Dryades and Poydras streets, designated as lot number fifteen, measuring ninety-six feet eight inches on Perdido street, by fifty feet on Rampart street.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap1 8 my3 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

The City of New Orleans vs. Albert Weimann; same vs. same.

SUPERIOR DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH of Orleans, Nos. 74,551 and 92,678.—By virtue of two writs of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Superior District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled causes, for city taxes of 1874 and 1875, I will proceed to sell at public auction, at the Merchants and Auctioneers' Exchange, Royal street, between Canal and Customhouse streets, in the Second District of this city, on WEDNESDAY, May 10, 1876, at twelve o'clock M., the following described property, to wit—

A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the Third District of this city, in square number nine, bounded by Peters, Marigny, Manderville and Decatur streets, designated as lot number fourteen, measuring forty-one feet on Peters street, by ninety feet in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap19 22 27 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

F. Schonckas vs. F. G. Quirk.

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF Orleans, No. 8503.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on MONDAY, May 1, 1876, at half past eleven o'clock A. M., at my warehouse, Nos. 23 and 25 Orleans street, between Royal and Bourbon streets, in the Second District of this city, the following described property, to wit—

1. TWO CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situated in the First District of this city, in square number two hundred and fifty-five, bounded by Triton walk, Baronne, Calliope and Dryades streets, designated as lots numbers fifteen and sixteen, measuring fifty-one feet on Triton walk, by one hundred and thirteen feet in depth.

2. A CERTAIN LOT OF GROUND, situated in the Fourth District of this city, in square number one hundred and eighty-four, bounded by Coliseum, Chesnut, Fourth and Washington streets, designated as lot number four, measuring fifty-one feet on Coliseum street, by one hundred and twenty-eight feet in depth.

3. EIGHTEEN CERTAIN LOTS OF GROUND, situated in the Fourth District of this city, in square number two hundred and forty-five, bounded by Baronne, Second, First and Carondelet streets, designated as follows: Lots numbers eleven to twenty-one measuring two hundred and fifty-three feet on Baronne street, by one hundred and fifty feet in depth; lots numbers three to nine measuring ninety feet on Carondelet street, by one hundred and forty-nine feet in depth.

Seized in the above suits.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap26 my6 30 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

George Merz vs. John Grieff.

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF Orleans, No. 8627.—By virtue of a writ of fieri facias to me directed by the honorable the Sixth District Court for the parish of Orleans, in the above entitled cause, I will proceed to sell at public auction, on MONDAY, May 8, 1876, at half-past ten o'clock A. M., at my warehouse, Nos. 23 and 25 Orleans street, between Royal and Bourbon streets, in the Second District of this city, the following described property, to wit—

GROCERIES, ETC., as per inventory, which may be seen in my office.

Seized in the above suit.
Terms—Cash on the spot.
EUGENE WAGGAMAN.
ap26 29 my4 7 Civil Sheriff of the Parish of Orleans.

James Powers vs. William R. Verlander.

SIXTH DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF Orleans, No. 8669.—By