

Daily Globe.

BY H. P. HALL. NO. 17, WABASHAW STREET, ST. PAUL. Terms of Subscription to the Daily Globe...

THE SUNDAY GLOBE. The Globe will be furnished every day in the week to city subscribers at 85 cents per month or \$10 per year.

THE WEEKLY GLOBE. The Weekly Globe is a mammoth sheet, exactly double the size of the Daily. It is just the paper for the family, containing in addition to the current news, choice miscellany, agricultural matter, market reports, etc.

Daily Globe Advertising Rates. Fourth Page 5 cents per line every insertion. Third Page 6 cents per line for the first week.

ST. PAUL, TUESDAY, MARCH 19, 1878. ANDERSON committed forgery, but the kind of forgery he committed is not recognized as criminal in Louisiana.

We give on the third page the new law relative to foreclosing mortgages by advertisement. It is the restoration of the old law, with some improvements.

HAYES feels better. The Supreme Court of Louisiana has released, temporarily at least, the forger and perjurer Anderson.

THE re-appointment of Miss Ada C. Sweet, of Chicago, as pension agent, is announced. A very determined effort was made to defeat her re-appointment, but fortunately the hostile movement proved abortive.

THE Minneapolis Tribune is not entirely happy with the dirt eating of the Pioneer Press on the subject of shipping flour to Europe.

SENATOR BLAINE has become so aroused by Gen. McLaren's arrest of Swedes for cutting tree tops to keep from freezing that he proposes to protect them by law.

RELIEVED OF UNJUST ACCUSATION. The House committee of foreign affairs has just made a discovery which shows the loose manner in which public affairs are conducted in Washington.

Some four years more elapsed, and in 1873 the consular agent in behalf of the claimants, saying: I wish to call your attention to the case of the widow, Amelia De Brissott and Joseph Stackpole...

German Squadron in Search of Satisfaction for Wounded Honor—Costa Rica and Guatemala Preparing for War.

PANAMA, March 9.—The German war vessels Elizabeth and Ariadne are now here and the Medusa at Aspinwall awaiting orders to proceed to Nicaragua and demand satisfaction for the outrage committed a year ago upon Eisenack, the German consul at Leon.

Political news from Central America is unfavorable. Costa Rica and Guatemala are both preparing for war. The president of Costa Rica has purchased a steamer in San Francisco and had her fitted out as a man of war, and has sent to Europe for a large supply of arms.

Communist Celebration in New York. New York, March 18.—The French, German and Bohemian socialists celebrated last evening the establishment of the commune in Paris. Red flags, badges and roses were numerous. The late President Thiers was styled a red-handed blockhead.

THE OTHER SIDE.

THEY TALK VERY DISPARAGINGLY OF MR. LICK AND INSINUATE A GREAT MANY BAD THINGS—THEODORE TELLS THE STORY OF HIS LAW SUIT WITH HIS STEP-FATHER—THE CONVICTED MURDERER WANTS AN ACQUITTAL, BUT WOULD NOT ACCEPT A PARDON.

Theodore Hayes, the son of the murdered Mrs. Lick, by his first husband, called at the Globe office yesterday, and, expressing a desire to give his version of the burning of the Lick residence, and other matters incidental thereto, a reporter was detailed to ascertain his views.

Rep.—Who are the children of your mother who claim an interest in the property in question, and what motive have they or any of them in destruction of the improvements thereon?

There are seven children of us, names not here important, all minor except myself; left by my mother in possession of the property alluded to.

As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

A NEWSPAPER CORNER.

RESULTING IN THE VOLKSZEITUNG. A Very Neat Little Contest Among Our German Newspaper Brethren—How They "Pooled" it on Theodore Sander, One of the Original Projectors of the Affairs—A New Paper Likely to be the Result.

For some time past, rumors have prevailed of more or less extensive complications in the internal arrangement of the German printing company of this city, now the proprietor of the Volkszeitung, and with the view of getting at the true inwardness of matters and things connected with the establishment in question, a GLOBE representative called yesterday upon Mr. Theodore Sander, who is a large stockholder in the company, and under whose auspices as business manager, the craft was first launched upon the waters of newspaper existence and under whose management it remained up to some time in January last.

Reporter.—Mr. Sander, I hear some talk of another German paper being started in this city. Is there any thing in the report? Mr. Sander.—Yes, there is some talk about it, and I am pretty sure it will be done, though I am not certain that I shall have any business connection with it.

Rep.—This desire for a new paper grows out of opposition to the present management of the Volkszeitung, if I understand you correctly. Mr. Sander.—Yes; it grows out of a deep-seated opposition to Mr. Leue and the German American bank, but the feeling is more against the German American bank than against Leue.

Rep.—How did they commence the campaign against you? Mr. Sander.—I did not. Under my control it did well. From the time of starting, in September, up to January, things worked well, and I considered the paper was doing very well.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

CHAMBER OF COMMERCE.

Brief Session Yesterday Morning—Col. Hewitt's Remarks on Immigration—Gen. Johnson's Resolutions Relating to Immigration.

On motion, Mr. Banning's resolutions, relating to river improvement, were ordered sent to our Senator and members of Congress. A communication was read from Mrs. Charlotte Smith, publisher of the St. Louis Inland Magazine, relative to publishing statistics of St. Paul.

Col. Hewitt said that the communication from St. Louis proposed to write up Minnesota in a monthly periodical, a copy of which is sent to this Chamber to-day. I find on its title page an elegantly engraved vignette of the State, and the name of Minnesota. The editor states in writing to the State which it is proposed to write up. But while that monthly publication may not be the best medium in which to advertise our State, he said that was a matter of opinion, and that the right sort of newspaper work would pay well.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

SUPREME COURT.

DECISIONS OF CASES FILED YESTERDAY. George Westworth and John McInosh, late partners as Westworth & McInosh, Appel, land, vs. Chancy W. Griggs, James J. Hill and George S. Acker, late partners as Hill, Griggs & Co., Respondents.

Costs allowed a party on a motion may be inserted in the costs allowed him, when he is entitled to costs, and entered in the judgment. Upon a dismissal of an action the defendant is entitled to have allowed, as a disbursement, the expenses of copies of papers, material to his defense, and actually and necessarily prepared by him for that purpose.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

OPINION.

The defendants' costs and disbursements, upon a dismissal of the action, having been adjusted by the court below, upon an appeal from the taxation by the clerk, and judgment having been entered for the amount of the items allowed, the plaintiffs appeal to this court.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

OPINION.

There are all attempts at appeal from orders refusing to strike out certain portions of the complaints in the above entitled actions as irrelevant and redundant. Such orders are not appealable. The only ground upon which it is

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.

Rep.—You say that you were turned out of the house in a ruthless manner by Joseph Lick shortly after mother's death. As to the burning of the house which was insured for the benefit of Joseph Lick, as I understand, for about \$700, no one can suppose, without proof, that any of us could be induced to do it for the benefit of Joseph Lick.