

Minneapolis Journal

VOLUME 11.

ST. PAUL, TUESDAY MORNING, JUNE 24, 1879.

NUMBER 161

JUDICIAL EXPENSES.

RETURN OF THE BILL TO THE HOUSE WITHOUT APPROVAL.

The Veto Based Upon the Provision Relating to the Election Laws—O her Provisions Contained for the Bill by the Democratic Majority Passed Without Objection—Confidence of the Democrats of the Two Houses—A Few Hot-Heads Declare for Immediate Adjournment—An Overwhelming Majority Oppose the Motion and Pronounce in Favor of Meeting the President's Views.

JUDICIAL EXPENSES.

WASHINGTON, June 23.—The following is the text of the President's message vetoing the judicial appropriation bill:

To the House of Representatives: After a careful examination of the bill entitled "An act making appropriations for certain judicial expenses," it is my duty to inform you that I have concluded to withhold my approval of the same. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

right of Congress to deprive the executive of the right of pardon. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

THE SECOND SECTION of the bill goes much further than the first section in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government. The bill is objectionable in its provisions relating to the election laws, and in its provisions relating to the judicial department of the government, and in its provisions relating to the executive department of the government.

out passing another appropriation bill, was rejected by an overwhelming majority, having received only three or four votes. A resolution was then adopted by a vote of twenty against seven directing the caucus advisory committee in conjunction with the advisory committee of the House to prepare a bill for passage through Congress before adjournment in such terms as will obviate the objections of the President and secure its enactment into law. The foregoing is not given as the outline of the resolution but embodies its purport and meaning.

OVER THE OCEAN.

Visit of Condolee by Queen Victoria to Eugenie—Bonapartist Intrigues and Speculations—Miscellaneous Old World News.

BONAPARTISM.

PARIS, June 23.—A dispatch says the emperor of the Bonapartists is increasing in popularity. Paul de Cassagnac is re-proached with indiscretion in putting forward the claim of Prince Victor Bonaparte as the imperial successor. In Sunday's issue of *Le Pays* de Cassagnac admits that nothing has been done to prevent the Bonapartists from making a bid for power in a few days. It is said that Bonaparte has announced ignorance of a will in favor of Prince Victor because he considered its validity doubtful. Prince Jerome Napoleon consents to waive his claims. Prince Jerome is very reticent since his arrival in Paris and is apparently awaiting the action of Bonaparte. It is believed Prince Jerome will agree to be a pretender himself or permit his son to become one. In the event of Prince Victor's accession, the Bonapartists will probably fall back on Prince Charles Napoleon, president of the Corsican committee. Prince Charles is forty years of age. He has no sons or royal connections and is a much less eligible candidate than Prince Victor.

MONUMENT TO THE PRINCE. LONDON, June 23.—The Post proposes a national subscription to raise a monument to the memory of the prince imperial. A bronze statue representing the prince in the uniform in which he died is to be erected in the Victoria Park. The monument is to be erected in the Victoria Park. The monument is to be erected in the Victoria Park.

PARIS CORRESPONDENT reports Prince Jerome Napoleon has declared he will not abdicate his rights. The *Figaro* asserts that the prince imperial, before leaving England, wrote a political testament in the presence of two English officers.

GENERAL FOREIGN NEWS.

QUEEN VICTORIA'S SCARE. LONDON, June 23.—The government authorities received a statement in regard to the alleged attempt to assassinate the queen by a man named John William Brown. The statement was received from a source who claimed to be a friend of the queen. The statement was received from a source who claimed to be a friend of the queen.

Col. Shaw reports to have been allowed to take the championship cup to Canada. The cup is to be taken to Canada. The cup is to be taken to Canada.

A telegram from Callao states that the Peruvian iron-clad turret ship *Huascar* has been captured by Chilean forces. The ship is to be taken to Chile. The ship is to be taken to Chile.

THE KENNEDY'S ABANDONMENT. In the house of lords to-night, the marquis of Salisbury made a statement in regard to the Egyptian question similar to that made by Lord Salisbury in the house of commons to-day. He stated that England and France had advised the khedive to abdicate in favor of Tewfik, and that the merchants of Italy supported that recommendation.

MEXICAN AFFAIRS. LONDON, June 23.—A Berlin dispatch says in consequence of the bunderath referring the railway bill to the committee, with instructions to inquire into the merits of the question involved, Bismarck has withdrawn the bill. A Paris dispatch says Ferdinand de Lesseps, in a lecture at the American state in regard to the first of the Panama canal would be turned January 1st, 1880, and that with 40,000 Napoleon francs the work would be completed in eight years.

NOTABLE EVENT.

Interesting Consolation Service at Pittsburgh—Extension of Episcopalianism into Catholic Mexico.

PRINCIPALITY OF MEXICO. The consecration of Rev. Henry Charles Bailey, a bishop of Mexico, which takes place in Trinity church to-morrow, may be regarded as a notable event in the history of the Episcopal church in America, inasmuch as it will be the extension of this denomination into a hitherto almost wholly Catholic country in the interests of the native population. The services, which will commence at 10 o'clock, will be of a very impressive character. Right Rev. Dr. Cox, bishop of Western New York, will preach a sermon and Right Rev. Alfred Lee, D.D., bishop of Delaware, will preside. Fourteen bishops and a large number of clergymen will be present. It is understood that Rev. Dr. Bailey expressed a wish to have the consecration take place in Pittsburgh, and that the Episcopal church in this city has agreed to receive him. The bishop-elect is a native of Mexico and has been active in the work in Mexico from this time for many years.

Affairs in Mexico.

CITY OF MEXICO, June 22.—It is reported Gen. Negrete has sent in a message of submission and asked clemency of the government. The government has agreed to consider the recent law for suppression of contraband trade to be injurious to their interests, recently met at the exchange and resolved to suspend temporarily the law. The government immediately ordered the removal of the custom house to the city of Mexico, whereupon the merchants abandoned their attitude of resistance and resumed business.

Testimonial to Judge Dillon. ST. LOUIS, June 23.—At a meeting of members of the bar, held in the United States district court to-day, a highly eulogistic address to Judge John F. Dillon, on the occasion of his retirement from the United States bench was unanimously adopted. The address was signed by the lawyers generally and presented at the United States circuit court, July 10th, for entry on the records previous to transmission to Judge Dillon.

St. Paul Daily News.

Messrs. Ramsay & Cunningham issued the first number of a new evening paper, last night, bearing the above caption. It is a neatly printed six column sheet, and practically a penny paper, being delivered at thirty cents a month. The new paper is without telegraphic privileges, and aims to be an especially local sheet. The first issue is very creditable, and while we doubt whether St. Paul has reached the proportions to support a penny paper, we wish the new candidate abundant success.

MYSTERY SOLVED.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED.

A Negro Named Cox the Culprit—His Arrest Brought About by a Chance Pin of the Murderer of the Crime Committed—Trial of Currie, the Murderer of the Actor Porter—Two Young Girls Burned to Death at Atlanta, Ga.—Miscellaneous.

KILLED BY THE CAR. (Special Telegram to the Globe.)

MANITO, Minn., June 23.—The construction train, Montpelier conductor, killed a man named Andrew Gilbertson at dark Saturday evening. The facts are: Gilbertson, his wife, her sister and a neighbor went to Lake Crystal in an open team wagon on their way home, arrived at the track just as the train was coming in. The cattle stopped, and Mrs. Gilbertson got out and stood at their head. The train stopped, and the engine was within ten feet of them, when they suddenly ran across the track. The train stopped, and the engine was within ten feet of them, when they suddenly ran across the track.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

HULL MURDER INQUIRY. NEW YORK, June 23.—There was a large crowd of people at the Hull inquest to-day. Dr. Hull looked as usual, careworn and feeble.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

it was his intention to go to Providence immediately and endeavor to get a berth as cook in some foreign bound vessel. He will be taken to New York probably to-morrow afternoon by steamer.

In addition to the watch which Cox had on him when arrested, and which was immediately taken from him, he had a watch on his wrist, and other marks, he had a mosaic ring ornamented with the figure of a girl, which has been described frequently since the murder. The person who was walking with the murderer when Balch was first attracted by his appearance was also a negro, and the two were conversing in an animated tone. When he reached the church Balch feeling convinced that he would remain until the services were over, hurried himself to police headquarters. Then a telegram was sent for a squad of policemen to repair to the church. Balch, before their arrival, however, sought Detective Wood and that officer placed his hand on the murderer's shoulder as he came from church, and informed him he must accompany him to the police station. The squad of policemen followed a short distance in the rear.

The authorities have been actively in search among the pawnbrokers shops and other places for anything that would furnish the slightest clue to the great crime. Balch seemed to have an intuition when he saw the man that he was the murderer, and he was not far from being right. The negro was then taken to the police station, and he was described by the pawnbroker to whom he pledged the cameo set of jewelry.

It is making systematic efforts to find the country for the absolutely accurate discovery of him in the street would have had a fair chance of carrying out his design. There is nothing indicative of a bad accomplice or confident in his crime, but that he committed the entire outrage himself. When Mrs. Hull made the motion as if to rise from her bed he threw his weight upon her and forced her down. He is clear and collected in the statement he has made. Balch, the murderer, walked past him two or three times, and he did not seem to be disturbed, according to Europe some time ago.

CURRIE THE MURDERER OF P. C. PORTER.

MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of six witnesses, including the restaurant keeper, where the killing occurred; at the time of the killing the only person present were Barrymore, Porter, Miss Cummins, Currie and the proprietor. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook. The latter was screaming out that Mrs. Hull is in the room, and then he opened his door and saw Coleman and Nancy, the cook.

THE MURDERER OF MRS. HULL ARRESTED. MARSHALL, Texas, June 23.—In the case of the State vs. James Currie, indicted for the murder of the actor, P. C. Porter, the State announced it was ready for trial with most of the witnesses present, including Barrymore. The defense presented affidavits of five witnesses by whom they expected to prove Currie was provoked to the assault in self-defense. Those witnesses were absent and asked to have their names continued. In reply to the motion for continuance the State said it would not continue the affidavits of