

nearest channel of commercial intercourse with that extensive and rich country.

At present, the land-carriage between the navigable waters of the Monongahela (a fork of the Ohio) and the navigable waters of the Potomack, is less than 40 miles; and a good waggon road is open between the two waters. Men of judgment on the subject of inland navigation, have examined the ground between the highest branches of the Potomack, and those of the Ohio, and have been decidedly of opinion, that the land-carriage between the two places where boats may come to each, can be reduced to fifteen miles; and they have found nothing to convince them, that these waters may not, hereafter, be made to communicate with each other.

The settlers on the Ohio and Mississippi, will of course carry their heavy produce to a market down those rivers; but their returns will be most natural through the Potomack, for they cannot ascend the Western Waters, without great expence or much loss of time; the current there being so rapid, that a sharp boat with six oars can scarcely ascend fifteen miles a day.

[TO BE CONTINUED.]

To the Editor of the General Advertiser.  
SIR,

I have observed in some of your late papers certain strictures on a speech of one of the Members of Congress from Massachusetts, which I consider both harsh and unmerited, and tending to prevent that freedom of debate which has been so wisely provided for.

The writer's opinion and inferences are by no means worthy of notice, otherwise than as they shew a disposition to pervert the truth, and abuse the liberty of the press. That Member's character as a friend to genuine liberty and good government, is too well established to be affected by such misstatements and scurrility, as is contained in the paper of Monday; neither is such a mode of writing calculated to make a favorable impression on the minds of many of your readers.

Parts of a sentence, or even of a speech, may be so stated by designing partisans as to appear very exceptional, and this is believed to have been the case in the present instance; because, independent of the known principles of the member, some people of character who were present, declare they heard no expressions that would bear the construction which has been attempted.

Gracchus says "he would be unfaithful to his country, to let such sentiments pass unnoticed;" in this he claims some merit to himself, while he pays but a poor compliment to his friends in Congress, who let such expressions as he has stated, pass without reprehension; and to be a useful watchman, he ought to pay more attention to his recitals.

AN OBSERVER.

For the GAZETTE of the UNITED STATES.  
MR. FENNO,

According to the "opinion" of a writer in the General Advertiser, "on the conduct that America ought to adopt in future"—it appears that the wisdom of this country would be evidenced in the first place, by throwing every thing into confusion among ourselves, and in the second, by immediately plunging into a war with all the world—our agents at home and abroad are to be dismissed—and all the measures which have given prosperity to our country, and secured that prosperity by peace and domestic tranquility, are to be subverted and overturned. If this is the way to preserve our name in existence as a nation, if this is the way to enable us to help our friends, preserve our peace, our liberties, and our independence—then the modern doctrines of volunteer quacks in politics which run counter to the experience of man in all past ages, ought to prevail.—In vain have the people of America fought for freedom, deliberated on the best measures to secure the inestimable jewel, and established a just, wise and competent government as the only mean conducive to that object—if, the nature of our species is inverted, and confusion and every evil work is the only preparative to peace liberty and safety—the politics of *maniacism* will not prevail, for the partisans of disorganization are not like Sampson, willing to be crushed themselves in the ruins they contemplate with so much pleasure.—Our Councils will not be influenced by hairbrained politicians who, reflecting on the fortunes which have been accumulated in the convulsions of Europe, are anxiously desirous of trying their hand in this happy retreat of freedom and peace.

Y. Z.

From the COLUMBIAN GAZETTEER.

#### OBSERVATIONS.

The coldest day in December, 1789, in this city, was the 20th of the month—the Thermometer was 21 degrees. Friday morning last being 10 1-2 degrees colder. In Jan. 1790, the coldest day was 20 degrees—in February 9 degrees—in March 6 degrees. By this the coldest day in the winter of 1790, was 4 1-2 degrees colder than Friday morning last.

The coldest day in Philadelphia, in Jan. 1789, was 18 degrees—in February do. 7 degrees—in Jan. 1790, 12 degrees—in Feb. do. 6 degrees—in March do. 3 deg. in Dec. do. 6 degrees.

In Philadelphia, in Jan. 1791, 8 deg. in Feb. do. 5 deg.—in March do. 2 deg.

From the above remarks, being all I could collect, we may not expect to experience but very little colder weather in the course of this winter, than was experienced the last week.

G. BAKER.

New-York, 28th Dec. 1793.

#### TRENTON ACADEMY.

On the 23d & 24th ult. was held the examination of the several schools in the Trenton Academy. The scholars who had been studying Reading, Writing, Arithmetic and Book-keeping, exhibited such proofs of attention and improvement, as were very satisfactory to the trustees and visitors. The Grammar school, under the care of Mr. Whiteside, was examined in presence of the Governor of the State, the Hon. Isaac Smith, the Rev. Mr. Armstrong and Samuel W. Stockton, Esq. who expressed their approbation at the proficiency of the students, several of whom distinguished themselves for their progress in the mathematics and natural philosophy.

A number of the students of this academy have been fitted, from time to time, to enter the junior class in Princeton college, with credit to themselves and their tutors. Others have been qualified for the mercantile and mechanical branches of business, which are here particularly attended to—and all, whose morals are unimpeached, and who have made sufficient proficiency, are entitled to a certificate, under the seal of the corporation, setting forth the branches of literature which they have successfully studied.

Such is the healthfulness of the city of Trenton, that not an instance of mortality has happened since the first establishment of this academy, among the many scholars who have come hither to pursue their studies. This circumstance, added to the eligibility of its situation, being on the post and stage road from Philadelphia to New-York, and the cheapness of boarding, renders it a desirable place for persons at a distance to send their children for the rudiments of their education.

There are at present about eighty scholars in the several schools: the house is in complete repair, and would accommodate a much larger number with convenience.

As the schools are under good regulations, and will be carefully attended to by the trustees and visitors, it is hoped those who may think proper to send their children to this seminary will not be disappointed in their expectations.

For the GAZETTE of the UNITED STATES.

To the SIEUR GENET, MINISTER OF FRANCE.

WHENCE is it, sir, that you alone, among the diplomatic characters in America, have been selected as the notorious object of public animadversion? Interfering to yourself, as it is to Americans, this question Mr. Genet, demands your attention.

In answer to this question, will you, in the spirit of calumny and self-admiration, presume to say, that the American press, devoted to the cause of despotism, persecutes you as the martyr of liberty? Instead of thus yielding to the seductions of passion, rather, sir, for once, render homage to the simplicity of truth, and reflect whether a more correct answer may not be given! Shall I mention one for your consideration? Yet deem it not singular, that a person unpractised in the insidiousness of Courts, unversed in the sophistry of St. Omers, and unambitious of rivaling you in artifice, should give an answer very different from yourself, an answer which is plain, simple, palpable. You alone, among the diplomatic characters in America, have notoriously offended against diplomatic propriety.

Delegated, as French missionary, to the constituted government of the American Republic, your mission, as relative to this government, was either a mission of peace, or a mission of perfidy. What then has been your conduct, as relative to the American government? Have not your proceedings, instead of evincing a Minister of peace, branded you as the Apostle of discord? And was such the purpose of the nation whom you claim to represent? No! sir, however zealous you may be to calumniate the French nation by confounding them with yourself, we acquit them of the charge: Never can we believe that the republicans of France would approve a mission of perfidy. To do justice to the French people, we must then be careful to discriminate between them, and yourself. And, making this discrimination, we are constrained to regard some of your proceedings, sir, as meriting no slight reprehension.

Could we totally abstract your diplomatic character from your proceedings, while you hold and abuse that character, we might regard your language as but the intemperate effusions of a self-admiring sciolist: And, in this abstracted view, the combination of fatuity and vanity, being regarded as innocuous, might afford matter of transient mirth. But when, in abusing your diplomatic trust, you claim that your language should be respected as the voice of France, the subject instantly assumes a very different aspect; and your published declarations, whether resulting from ignorance, vanity, fanaticism, or perfidy, become seriously interesting to the friends of true republicanism: For, whether you betray the republican cause, from ignorance, vanity, fanaticism, or perfidy, whether you betray it from want of wisdom, or from want of honesty, still, sir, you injure the cause which you ought to benefit, and which, notwithstanding its being profaned by your professions of devotion, is precious and sacred to Americans.

"That you are not destitute of talent," has been already conceded in the address which I presented to you, through the Gazette, in consequence of the letter, of the 13th of August, which you wrote for the press, and sent to the President. My generosity, as one of the people, having made you this concession while there appeared some room for hoping that you would listen to the voice of instruction and reform, although your own conduct has since reduced you, in point of character, to be but a mendicant pensioner on the charity of public opinion, yet, while I scorn to despoil the beggar, I scorn to revoke my generous concession. But lest, from your proneness to eulogize yourself, you should abuse this concession to your own injury, let me remind you, that, in kind, as well as in degree, talents are various. And, as "you are not destitute of talent," so neither was an ancient noted incendiary, one trait of whose character seems not altogether inapplicable to yourself:—"Satis loquentia, sapientia parum."

Considering that a person in your station ought not to be so ignorant of classic literature as you profess to be of some of the most celebrated works on national law, although I do not question your professed ignorance, I now credit you as having the ability to understand a short sentence from Sallust: I, accordingly, omit giving you a version of this which I have just cited. And, leaving it to your own moments of temperate reflection, if ever you have any, I observe, that the character at large may be worth your attention. You may find it delineated by Sallust, in his history of the Catalinarian war. And, while you are meditating on this subject, let me urge you to reflect, that, however much or however long you may have abused our patience, yet the incendiary, who makes professions of virtue in the cause of vice, and boasts of patriotism for the purposes of sedition, will not eventually find more support in America than your prototype found in Rome.

The insidiousness of your professions, the illegitimacy of your doctrines, the audacity of your pretensions, have conspired to provoke and justify typographical strictures. Appearing before the American public in the novel and amphibious character of typographical Minister, your claims to public animadversion are various, urgent, and unrivalled. Whether we contemplate you as the *soi-disant* oracle of national law, as the expeditor of the American Constitution, as the exotic guardian of the sovereign people of the United States, as the public accuser of their constituted authorities; or whether we contemplate you as a diplomatic

Proteus transforming yourself into a multiplicity of shapes, and adopting such various forms as you may think adapted to aid your machinations—whether you adopt the form of epistolary correspondence, diplomatic communication, consular protestation, circular address to American committees of beneficence, circular instructions to French consuls, or any other form which anarchial zeal may suggest; still, sir, we find no cause to deny you the character of which you seem so ambitious, the character of an Apostle of Discord.

You may affect a tremulous concern for the President, and, with the modesty peculiar to yourself, profess to the public, as in your letter to Governor Moultrie, your "grief at seeing General Washington, that celebrated hero of liberty, accessible to men whose schemes could only darken his glory." But in vain may you expect that such arts will delude the informed Americans. The weeping of the insidious crocodile deludes none but the ignorant.

If he has become the object of your pity, General Washington is fallen indeed. Are you so vain, Sir, as to imagine, that his, or his country's glory depends on you? Learn then to know thyself; and be assured, that it is not the breath of Genet, but the energy of truth, which swells the trumpet of fame.

After considering, that, in your letter of the 27th of October to the Secretary of State, you have charged President Washington with usurping power which the constitution does not allow him; when we further observe, that, through your subaltern Dannery you profess, to the Executive of Massachusetts, an anxious sensibility lest hostilities should interrupt "the ever-dear fraternity" between "two nations yet sisters," and that you also at the same time exhibit a manifesto against our National Executive; the language of such communications excites, in the American mind, at once, contempt and indignation. Are we to be duped, or terrified, from the maintenance of our own internal sovereignty, by the insidious professions, or menaces of a diplomatic or consular dictator? Will you, sir, say, that there is danger of hostilities between France and America? If so, whence does this danger arise?—Whence, but from functionaries who, intrusted with affairs of France, abuse the confidence of an high-spirited people?—Whence, but from such persons as yourself?

Mr. Genet! will you, while Minister of France, pretend to be ignorant, that your Masters have represented *federalism* as the evil genius of *anarchy*, of *rebellion* of *royalism*, as a monster threatening destruction to the unity and indivisibility of the Republic? Did you then, in ordering Dannery to denounce the President, purpose to excite in America the very spirit which has been excited at Marseilles, at Lyons, at Toulon? Speak, Sir! what was your purpose in that insidious denunciation?—What, but to divide and command the American People? Accordingly, the moment of our national dismissal, would have been the moment of your congratulating yourself as Dictator over America.

Not to particularise all the insidious communications which you have been eager to publish, and without now remarking on the illegality of the doctrines which they contain, the publications already noticed, especially those relating to the affair of Duplaine, sufficiently brand you as the Apostle of Discord. And, on reviewing the conduct of our public functionaries, and considering your various insinuations and direct charges against them, the mind is impressed with one concluding sentiment.

To be the FIRST OF WARRIORS, and yet to be accused for being the FRIEND OF PEACE, is the peculiar honor of Washington; an honor worthy the FIRST FUNCTIONARY OF THE FIRST REPUBLIC IN THE UNIVERSE. For manifesting himself to be the vigilant friend of peace, as well as the firm assertor of our national sovereignty, he is honored by the approving voice of his country, and has shared, with other officers of our government, in your calumnies, and in your honorable hatred.

ALFRED.

#### A M E R I C A.

JAMAICA, Nov. 9.

Undecided Engagement.

Extract of a letter written on board the privateer brig Eagle, Captain Ogie dated at Cape Nichola Mole, October 28.

Last Thursday noon, while in company with the sloop Susan, Capt. Young,

1263N  
18754