

Official Journal Town of Opelousas.
PUBLISHED ON SATURDAY BY
LEONCE SANDOZ.
TWO DOLLARS A YEAR IN ADVANCE.
\$1.00 for Six Months.
OPELOUSAS:
SATURDAY, DEC. 11, 1897.
Register! Register!! Register!!!
Don't forget to register if you want to vote.
Safety deposit boxes for rent at the St. Landry State Bank.
We want your advertisement, and will glad to do your job printing.
See A. C. Skiles for Lumber, Shingles, Bricks, Lime, Sand and Cement.
Just what will please your best girl—one of those Beautiful Powder Boxes at Smith's Drug Store.
The law requires that all voters must be registered before the 31st of the coming December.
Anyone having a good second hand upright piano for sale or rent, will find a customer by applying at this office.
L. C. Smith has got in a large supply of drugs and patent medicines and wants you to try him and see how reasonable his prices are.
There are a thousand good talkers to one good thinker. If the order was reversed what a happy world this world would be.
Lacell's Perfumes have a world-wide reputation and are acknowledged by connoisseurs to be the best.
To be procured only at Smith's City Drug Store.
The irrepressible clash of Alexandria, now proposes to build a railroad from Monroe to Natchitoches and is engaged in working up the scheme. No doubt he will succeed in the enterprise.
A limited time now remains for the voters of St. Landry to register. All who fail to comply with this requirement will be debarred from participating in the selection of delegates to the Constitutional Convention next January.
While we are making any great pretensions for Holiday trade, we have got a fine line of Lacell's Perfumes and Toilet Waters which we will dispose of at reasonable prices. Smith's City Drug Store.
The property called the "Old Bolland place," and familiarly known as the "Grose Farm," situated between Opelousas and Washington, has just been sold to Mr. Geo. I. VanSickel, of Washington for the sum of \$2,000. Sale made by Jas. H. Houston, Real Estate Agent.
Don't neglect to furnish the COURIER with news items of general interest.
Notices of deaths, marriages, the presence of visitors in your neighborhood, etc., ought to appear in the columns of our local newspaper and will be published free of charge as long as they are timely reported. Phone 5.
The Parish Sunday School Association will hold its Annual Convention Tuesday and Wednesday 28th and 29th inst. at the Bellevue M. E. Church. It is hoped every Sunday School in this parish will be represented.
H. J. TREKELD,
Secy. Protem.
We must ask our readers' indulgence for the lack of local matter this week, as our entire force was engaged in printing several important briefs for the Supreme Court which had to be completed without delay, and it was impossible to engage sufficient additional help to allow us any time to devote to the paper.
An entertainment for the benefit of the Sisters will be given at the Convention Monday night, Dec. 20, and some of our leading society ladies are industriously engaged in preparing a most attractive programme for the occasion. Particulars next week. Remember these noble women and give them a rousing benefit.
Articles intended for publication in the COURIER should be sent in before Thursday morning if possible to insure their appearance in the next issue. Matter sent in after that time, or later is apt to be excluded for lack of time or space, unless it is of sufficient importance to justify the delay and inconvenience.
Residence for rent in Opelousas, in a desirable part of town. House large and roomy with outbuildings and ample grounds. Possession given immediately. Apply at this office.
This place is within five blocks of the High School, and some blocks from Catholic Church and same distance from Spangnurs Park.
While stock have been selling this season at advanced prices, it would appear that Texas stock bring more money. The Port Arthur Herald says that the Beaumont Pasture Company made a large sale of cattle Monday. It included all the steers in the herd of 10,000 cattle. The prices obtained were: 1-year-olds brought \$125.00; 2-year-olds, \$135; 3-year-olds, \$118; and 4-year-olds, \$21. These figures are about double what cattle were bringing three or four years ago. About 7000 head were sold at these figures.—Abbeville Meridianal.
Remember this young man. Be careful. Think how many years she has been building it, of the wounds received, and let no suspicion follow her action. Her parity is the salvation of the race, and hope of future greatness and the redemption of man. Wipe out her purity and man sinks beneath the wave of despair, with not a star to guide his life into the channels of safety. Think then, before you speak and remember that any ever grown; so the wheat may, can ruin the purest woman's character.—Selected.

The Democratic Convention
As the Democrats have done elsewhere, and as they will continue to do in other parishes, they in this parish held a meeting at the Court House in Opelousas on Monday last. Mr. Robert LaBour was made Chairman and T. G. Hawkins Secretary.
A committee on Credentials was appointed who, after a short recess allowed for the purpose, made a report which was satisfactory, as it recommended no objections and admitted all who came accredited as delegates.
Several proxies were allowed seats on the faith of their credentials.
A committee on Resolutions was next appointed, and accordingly appointed. Thereafter, a second recess was announced, giving sufficient time to report resolutions, which no doubt had already been drawn up. This is generally the case, and it would not look well to depart from a practice so long adopted.
Then came the tug for factional ascendancy, for there were delegates representing four, each of which strove for it.
The dates had been formed, and the champions engaged, and struggled and vociferated heroically.
"Inspiring, bold John Barileycorn" had first the purpose of some of the delegates, who, in their ardent zeal, appeared to have forgotten a saying of L'Estrange, who said that "tongue and much judgment, seldom go together, talking and thinking are two quite different faculties."
One gentleman fearlessly launched out in an unparliamentary arrangement of railroads and other matters, that affected interests in every attempted legislation devised to protect the masses against their oppressions. He cited and spouted not. Even the lawyers who had their services to defend them, were surprised at their impudent infidelity to the people. It was apparent that he desired to have no delegate sent to the Constitutional Convention who might show any favor to any soulless corporation, or combination of men who would use the truncheon of capital to oppress the laboring and producing classes.
He traveled over the whole landscape of constitutional purposes, with some disconnections in the chain of argument which did not weaken the intensity of his thoughts.
During all this a no-understanding occurred between two gentlemen who were not delegates, which resulted in a knock-down, scientifically executed by a delegate of the opposition.
The operation might be styled surgical, but strange as it may appear, he promptly called at the Mayor's office and paid the town for his services in full, and demanding compensation for himself.
The gentleman operated on, we are pleased to learn, is doing well at his rural retreat.
Before leaving he made the *amende honorable* in the gentleman whom he had offended, leaving all as serene as the sky after the storm had passed.
As soon as the side-show "Tumbait had dwelled to a calm," the Convention proceeded to the regular order of business and adopted resolutions which were not furnished us in time for publication.
Nominations were made when T. A. Hicks, G. W. Martin, L. Dossman, Yves Andrepoint and M. L. Swords were proposed.
Only three of the five could be elected. Martin and Dossman having received the required 50 votes were declared elected. One more had to be chosen. M. L. Swords withdrew in favor of T. A. Hicks, who received 52 votes and dropped Andrepoint out. So the Democrats are Hicks, Martin and Dossman. To say that they were satisfied with the result were to take liberties with the truth.
The Populists held their convention in the Courthouse on Wednesday last. Chairman and John Humble, Esq. Secretary. The usual routine of organization was proceeded with, and the convention incubated until the sun sank behind the far-off hills of the west, and the kindling of the stars was perceptible. The shells were popped and the birds appeared full fledged.
Kenneth Ballino, Frank Wharton and T. A. Hicks were unanimously elected as delegates to be voted for by the people. So now the delegates are all on the track, and as there has been much talk about purifying the ballot and regulating and conducting elections, let us hope we may have one the history of which may not bring a blush to the cheeks of honest men and good citizens.

The Proposed Judiciary System for Louisiana.
In the Town Talk of Oct. 23d, 1897, we were informed on the New Judiciary System for Louisiana, contributed by Judge W. P. Blackman.
There is much in it that substantially meets our views. Views that we have already ventilated in these columns. We are not prepared to say that we endorse all his suggestions, but in the main we are in full accord with those relating to the jurisdiction, functions and organization of the Courts of the State of Louisiana.
This system has been tried in Kentucky and possibly in other States, and has been found to work admirably, economically and to the entire satisfaction of the people.
We have now in this parish 19 Justices of the Peace, 14 Justices, and 14 members of the Police Jury, all of whom are paid in one way or another by the tax payers.
Thus we have 33 officers, when 7, or 9, or 11, might amply suffice. Taxes are levied for the support of these officers that are now performed by the Justices and the Police Jurors, might conveniently be discharged by the magistrates we suggest, and which Judge Blackman approves of, although he makes no mention of our preceding article.
The people owe it to themselves to be more careful—more *eclectic* in their choice of magistrates. Judge B's views are correct in their reference to the manner of selecting them. They should be required to undergo an examination by a prudently selected board of Examiners charged with that particular duty, and having the certificate of qualification for the several functions of the office, should be eligible thereto.
As we said in a former article on the subject, they should be required to pass a written examination. They should be required to undergo an examination by a prudently selected board of Examiners charged with that particular duty, and having the certificate of qualification for the several functions of the office, should be eligible thereto.
We mention that Justices of the Peace should be men of good mental and moral qualifications—that their jurisdiction in criminal and civil matters should be enlarged. They should be required to sit in Banc one month and dispose of all civil and parolous matters. That magistrates should be allowed appeals from the decisions of the Justices, (in sums not exceeding \$100) in cases where the Justices have decided in favor of the plaintiff, in all matters within a defined jurisdiction should be fixed.
In all criminal matters coming under their jurisdiction, we are fully in accord with the suggestions of Judge Blackman. We have already expressed our views on the subject, and are pleased to see they are coincided with by the Judge.
The salary which he suggests we see to be valid objection to, and the question of cost to litigants, are such as require careful attention and revision. As the courts are now organized, poor men are virtually shut out from them. We believe that the masses of the people, Magistrates, Justices of the Peace, are by far the most important officers we have. They should be made accessible to the poor as well as the rich, and their rights of appeal, and to speedy hearing, and not be blocked off by impoverishing and oppressive exactions of costs.
Open the doors of the courts to the poor and let them be heard. A dollar to one of these is as important to him as an hundred to one, an hundred times better off.
Lawyers need have no fear of the operation of such a system. It is virtually the *County Court* system of the Common Law States, and once fairly put in operation, will not be exchanged.
The taxing basis must necessarily be changed by constitutional provision, so that funds sufficient to pay the salaries of the Justices, etc., may be provided. Although the tax may be a slight increase in the present rate, the expense to the people will be very materially diminished. We hope the Press will consider these suggestions.

The New Archbishop of New Orleans.
His Holiness the Pope has appointed Archbishop P. L. Chapelle, Archbishop of Santa Fe, N. M., Archbishop of New Orleans.
Archbishop Chapelle is one of the most learned and popular divines in America. Before being elevated to the See of Santa Fe, he had already made a great reputation in Washington as a distinguished diplomat of great eloquence and erudition. He is a specialist in the history of the United States, and possessed of a fine literary attainments of mind and heart. The following account taken from one of the New Orleans dailies will prove of interest to our readers.
"Archbishop Chapelle is at present Archbishop of Santa Fe, to which office he was elevated on Nov. 1, 1891. He is a native of France, but is generally considered an American, having come to this country at an early age. Here he has spent nearly, if not quite, his entire life, and here he has attained that degree of prominence in matters clerical which has brought him to the notice of the Holy See. Educated in Baltimore, the Catholic Church, and St. Matthews, he has by his eloquence as an orator and his profound learning in theology, canonical law and matters affecting the very existence of the church itself, because of his ability as a theologian, Archbishop Chapelle, then Father Chapelle, was placed in charge of St. Matthew's Church at Washington.
This church, to America is one of the largest in St. Peter's, and is counted as among the most important of Catholic churches in America. It is situated right in the heart of the national capital, and, in addition to the local congregation which it gathers from the exclusive society of foreign diplomats, Ambassadors, ministers, attaches and all the officials of the foreign nations who make Washington their home, and who are members of the Catholic Church, St. Matthew's is the headquarters of the representatives of this and other countries, and his eloquence and rare intellectual attainments readily attract the most influential friends among the many staunch friends of the church. Among the many staunch friends of the church are numerous members of the highest dignities of the Catholic hierarchy, including Father Chapelle was Cardinal Sotillo, Papal legate to America. These prelates knew and appreciated Father Chapelle's sterling qualities and knew him for the high-minded, deep thinker and eloquent orator that he is.
In addition to his duties as pastor of St. Matthew's Church at Washington, Father Chapelle gave no little attention to the Catholic Church at Washington, and is credited with considerable of the good advice which won for that educational institution its present high standing in the list of seats of learning of the country.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN.
CALL MEETING.
OPELOUSAS, Nov. 15, 1897.
Members present: C. J. Thompson, Pres.; W. R. Cochran, Secy.; J. H. Laroche, Clerk; J. M. Brumby, Treasurer.
On motion, duly seconded, the reading of the minutes of the preceding meeting was dispensed with.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the City Engineer's office.
On motion of Alderman, duly seconded and carried, that the City Engineer, be permitted to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before John W. R. Cochran, Esq., on Dec. 20, 1897, viz: 2.00 for the City Engineer's office, and 1.00 for the