

MRS. POTTS'S STORY.

She Testifies in the Trial of Harris for Murder.

His Bride, Who Died of Poison, Was Her Daughter.

Crowds at the Sensational Trial in the Recorder's Court.

Despite the exhaustive cross-examination of witnesses and the scientific character of much of the testimony, the trial of Carlyle W. Harris, charged with poisoning his girl bride, Helen Potts, attracted this morning hundreds of people to Recorder Smyth's general sessions court, though comparatively few could gain entrance.



MRS. POTTS ON THE WITNESS STAND. Court Officer Wood acted as Cicerone at the door, and no one could appear him save a subpoena, a summons, a lawyer's brief or a reporter's winsome smile.

Yet the chamber was packed to the doors with an audience which included a generous sprinkling of ladies.

THE ORDEAL TRIAL ON HARRIS. Prisoner Harris, looking as if he had not slept well, his eyes swollen and red and his face pallid, was brought down from the boxes early, and as his counsel had not yet arrived, he entered into conversation with the reporters.

Harris argued the case. He was anxious to learn the effect on others of the proceedings up to date, but he was interrupted by the entrance of his counsel, W. Travers Jerome and Mr. Taylor.

Mr. Wellman held up the square pill box, and Mrs. Potts said that it was like a box that Helen Harris had had in her possession. She said that she had been taking some capsules that Carl brought her, but that they were not the same as the ones in the box.

"I looked so well that I thought Carl's mother would not be so sure of the pill box," she said.

"When did you next see Helen?" the prosecutor asked her.

"I saw her on the morning of the trial," she said.

"What was she doing?"

"She was sitting at the table," she said.

"Did she look ill?"

"No, she looked well," she said.

"Did she take any more of the pills?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other pills?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other boxes?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other people?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other things?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other places?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other people?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other things?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other places?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other people?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other things?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other places?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other people?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other things?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other places?"

"No, she did not," she said.

"Did she see any other people?"

"No, she did not," she said.

remark that there was nothing in it about this case.

"Oh, I want that sheet in! Mr. Wellman called for it, and he wanted the whole lot of it, and now I want it all in evidence," said Mr. Jerome.

Mr. Wellman objected, but Mr. Jerome gained his point. The sheet was marked in evidence for future reference.

Mrs. Potts who was on the witness stand, testified that she had seen the sheet in the room where Helen Potts was found.

"I replied to that letter the first week in January," said Mrs. Potts. "I said: 'Dear Carl, I see by the contents of your letter that you do not wish to have anything of your marriage to Helen for your family. I do not think you are a safe person to marry. I do not think you are a safe person to marry. I do not think you are a safe person to marry.'"

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

"I told her that I was not a safe person to marry," she said.

FOREIGN NEWS OF THE DAY.

Anarchist Movement in Malaga Becoming a Serious Affair.

M. Laur Challenges M. Constans and Gets Snubbed.

BY ASSOCIATED PRESS. MADRID, Jan. 21.—The organized anarchist movement in the Province of Malaga, for the suppression of which troops are being concentrated at Ronda, in that province, has been found to be a more serious affair than was at first supposed.

It has been found that the ramifications of the conspiracy extend to San Ferrando, where trouble is looked for, and there are signs of a renewal of the disorders at Xeres, which city was a short time ago attacked by a band of anarchists armed with fowling pieces and other weapons.

It was necessary to call out the military to disperse this band, and this was done only after a fight with the gendarmes, in which the anarchists fought desperately.

The troops at San Ferrando and at Xeres are held in their barracks in readiness to attack any bands of anarchists who may be bold as to attempt to attack these places.

Malaga is generally held throughout the disturbed provinces, for it is feared that the lawless classes will take advantage of the troubles to rob and pillage the inhabitants.

M. Constans Refused to Receive M. Laur's Second.

BY ASSOCIATED PRESS. PARIS, Jan. 21.—M. Laur, the Boulangist member of the Chamber of Deputies, who was struck by M. Constans, Minister of the Interior, during the election scene in the Chamber Tuesday, has used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

When the seconds informed M. Laur of the result of their errand, the latter immediately wrote M. Constans a note, in which he apologized for the insulting language he had used in the Chamber of Deputies, which led to the attack upon him by the Minister.

Bloomingdale's

Girls' Cloaks For Friday and Saturday.

SPECIAL—Children's Gretchens, in plaids and stripes, sizes 4, 6 and 8 years, at \$1.95

10 and 12 years at \$2.49

Children's Gretchens, of all-wool plaid cloths, with capes and belts, worth 0.50, at \$4.95

Misses' Newmarkets, in all-wool stripes, in gray and black, military capes, worth 11.95 14.95 16.95

at 7.95 10.95 11.95

Above are stylish, well made and without exception the best values that have been shown this season.

Bloomingdale Bros., Third Ave., cor. 59th St.

MARKART'S BODY IS FOUND.

Complete Evidence of Bloody Murder at Calliooon Bridge.

PORT JEFFERSON, N. Y., Jan. 21.—The body of George Markart, the missing German whose mysterious disappearance has caused such a sensation in the town of Calliooon, was discovered last night in the Calliooon Creek, about one-quarter of a mile below the bridge, where evidences of a struggle and murder were found in trampled blood-stained snow.

The body bore marks of mutilation, evidently inflicted with a knife and club.

It is now ascertained that Markart left Hobart's Hotel in company with one Adnan Heit, who is a brother-in-law of the murdered man, and the significant fact has also transpired that the two men were not on the boat at the time.

It is said that Heit had frequently accused Markart of causing the death of his (Heit's) wife by the use of a knife or other weapon, and had threatened to take his life if he did not cease his spiteful accusations.

Heit has always been regarded as a peaceable and inoffensive man, but has been slightly deranged for some time.

A coroner's investigation is in progress to-day.

THE QUOTATIONS.

American Cotton Ref. 60c. 61c. 62c.

American Sugar Ref. 10c. 11c. 12c.

London, Jan. 21.—The trial of the Duke of Clarence and Avondale in the Albert Memorial Chapel.

London, Jan. 21.—The trial of the Duke of Clarence and Avondale in the Albert Memorial Chapel.

London, Jan. 21.—The trial of the Duke of Clarence and Avondale in the Albert Memorial Chapel.

London, Jan. 21.—The trial of the Duke of Clarence and Avondale in the Albert Memorial Chapel.

London, Jan. 21.—The trial of the Duke of Clarence and Avondale in the Albert Memorial Chapel.

London, Jan. 21.—The trial of the Duke of Clarence and Avondale in the Albert Memorial Chapel.

London, Jan. 21.—The trial of the Duke of Clarence and Avondale in the Albert Memorial Chapel.

London, Jan. 21.—The trial of the Duke of Clarence and Av