

SILVERED BORDER PICTURES READY TO HANG. FREE to All Advertisers AT ALL WORLD OFFICES.

WORLD NEWS NEW YORK, TUESDAY, MARCH 28, 1933.

THOSE EXQUISITELY BEAUTIFUL EASTER GREETINGS. FREE to All Advertisers AT ALL WORLD OFFICES.

PRICE ONE CENT.

LAST EDITION. COL. SHEPARD BURIED

Private Services at the Fifth Avenue Mansion. Public Obsequies at Dr. Hall's Church Largely Attended.

Interment in the Vanderbilt Tomb at New Dorp, S. I.

The body of Col. Elliott F. Shepard now rests in the niche prepared for it in the Vanderbilt tomb at New Dorp, Staten Island.

Funeral services were held this forenoon both at the residence of the deceased and at the Fifth Avenue Presbyterian church, at Fifty-fifth street and Fifth avenue.



BEARING THE CAKET TO THE HEARSE.

Funeral services were held this forenoon both at the residence of the deceased and at the Fifth Avenue Presbyterian church, at Fifty-fifth street and Fifth avenue.

Early in the morning the streets in the vicinity of the Vanderbilt houses at Fifty-second street and Fifth avenue were filled with people.

Both sides of Fifty-second street, from the corner of Fifth avenue half way down the block, towards Sixth avenue, were crowded with sight-seers, but the presence of central office detectives Heideberg, Nugent and Sheridan was sufficient to preserve the privacy desired during the services at the house.

There were many applications for admission, but they were courteously refused. Some of the most prominent of the friends, besides the immediate members of his family, were admitted to the house.

The services at the home were conducted by Rev. Dr. John Hall, and began at 10:30 o'clock. They were of the simplest character and lasted fifteen minutes.

Col. Shepard's body rested in the great drawing-room in the northeast corner of the house.

The black cloth covered caasket lay with its head to the east, on a temporary stand. The caasket was draped with black crepe and drapery. The bier and caasket were cutlery hidden by masses of flowers.

This was on account of the Colonel's well-known love for flowers.

At the head of the caasket a magnificent cross of white roses surrounded a large wreath of lilacs.

The caasket was thrown a floral pall, which covered the caasket with a profusion of lilies of the valley laid on a bed of green asparagus ferns.

A beautiful background for the pure white of the lilies.

At each of the four corners a great bunch of violets served as weights to keep the pall in position. The air was heavy with the perfume of the lilies and flowers which were draped around the base of the bier.

The floral offerings, with the donors, were as follows:

The Mail and Express employees sent a large plume of red and white violets. The crew of the vessel stood about fifty feet high. The plume itself was 3 1/2 feet in diameter.

The lower part of the plume was composed entirely of American Beauty roses, the two prongs extending far beyond the sides of the caasket.

A wreath of violets by Mrs. George J. Gould and a wreath of purple orchids and a mass of pink sweet peas, Mr. and Mrs. Corneilus Vanderbilt, a wreath of orchids and a mass of lilac blossoms.

Mrs. Wm. H. Vanderbilt sent flowers and a check wreath composed of dark panicles. Mrs. W. H. Vanderbilt sent a wreath of black and white flowers. Mrs. J. P. Morgan sent a wreath of purple orchids. Mrs. J. P. Morgan sent a wreath of purple orchids.

BAY RIDGE FERRY TO REMAIN. Aldermanic Committee's Report Adopted by the Board.

The long-delayed report on the Bay Ridge Ferry was presented by the Committee on Ferries and Franchises at the meeting of the Board of Aldermen today. The report was sought to abolish the ferry.

The ferry occupies one of the slips next to the Staten Island ferry. The Staten Islanders wanted that slip to provide additional facilities for the increasing traffic to Staten Island.

It was contended that the Bay Ridge ferry was only operated three months in the year. For the other nine months the company runs a small boat about five or six times daily.

The committee decided that public convenience did not demand the abolition of the Bay Ridge ferry. The report was concurred in by the Board.

The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman... The report was concurred in by the Board.

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

The report was concurred in by the Board. The only vote in the negative was that of Alderman...

SUICIDE IN A BANK. BAD FOR BUCHANAN. Told Witness Macomber He Would Get Rid of His Wife.

Went on a Spree on the Day of Her Funeral. Prominent Experts Will Be Called Upon as Witnesses.

The court chamber in which Recorder Smith is trying Dr. Robert W. Buchanan for his life on the charge of poisoning his wife, Anna B. Buchanan, by administering morphine to her, bristled with medical experts, pathologists and toxicologists today.

The doctor had a powerful ally in the person of Dr. J. H. McKeown, who testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

Dr. McKeown testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral. He testified that he had seen Buchanan on the day of her funeral.

SENNER SUCCEEDS WEBER. Appointed Commissioner of Immigration at This Post.

A New York Editor Who Was an Eleventh-Hour Candidate. WASHINGTON, March 28.—Secretary Carlisle today appointed Dr. Joseph A. Senner Commissioner of Immigration at the Post Office, vice Weber resigned.

Dr. Senner was an eleventh-hour candidate for the place to which he has been appointed.

He is one of the editors of several editorial newspapers, the Star, the Evening Post, the New York Journal, and the New York Mirror.

Dr. Senner has made a study of the immigration question. He has traveled extensively in the United States and has been in contact with the various labor organizations.

Dr. Senner had powerful friends at court, among them being Henry Villard, Carl Schurz, Oswald Ottendorfer, Louis Brandeis, and William Brewster.

Dr. Senner was born in Vienna and is now fifty-six years of age. He graduated from the University of Vienna as a doctor of laws and has practiced law and acted as a lawyer in the courts of Austria.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the German Social Democratic Society of New York and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

Dr. Senner has been a member of the American Journalists and is serving his third term as President of the American Journalists.

PRICE ONE CENT.

PRICE ONE CENT.

PRICE ONE CENT.

LAST EDITION. BRIBERY IS CHARGED. Secretary Roichers Says the K. of L. Leaders Are Corrupt.

The Manufacturers Smile at This Internecine Strife. The Loss of This Fight Means a Heavy Blow to Labor Men.

The Clothing Manufacturers' Association is in an excellent mood this morning. The fight which began last week between its firms and the various cutters of the Federation of Labor has shifted ground and is now being hotly waged between the Federation and the Knights of Labor, while the Manufacturers' Association is resting on its laurels, contentedly looking on.

The leaders of the two labor organizations recognize that the present struggle is destined to culminate in the supremacy of one over the other, and they are leaving no stone unturned to achieve victory for their respective parties.

The weapon heretofore employed in the fight was accusation of disloyalty to the cause of laboring democracy; but now a new weapon is raised, and the words "Corruption" and "Bribery" are bandied about in the industrial warfare.

General Secretary Roichers of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action.

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

The statement, he said, "made by James A. Roichers, secretary of the United Garment-Cutters of America, this morning dictated a statement which, he said, would leave no doubt in the mind of anybody as to the animosity of the two parties and their present action."

PRICE ONE CENT.

PRICE ONE CENT.

PRICE ONE CENT.

LAST EDITION. KENTUCKY RAILROAD CONTEST. Francis C. Carley Testifies in His Own Behalf.

In the case of Carley against Todd, which has been pending for some time before Justice Patterson in the Supreme Court, Francis C. Carley, the plaintiff, was on the witness stand today. He alleges that the defendant contracted with him to take \$200,000 bonds of the Kentucky Union Railroad, in which they were both interested.

Mr. Carley is the principal owner of the Kentucky Union Railroad, for the benefit of which the railroad, which is 100 miles in length, extending from Lexington to Louisville, was constructed.

Mr. Carley testified that he had taken \$200,000 of the bonds at 90, and had an option for \$200,000 more, and had paid for the bonds a hundred thousand dollars for construction expenses out of his own pocket.

He also testified as to the contract with Mr. Todd, whom he seeks to compel to share in the expenses of building the road. The case is still on.

At the patent department of the Western Union Telegraph Company, an application for his quadruple patent, filed Sept. 1, 1874, but that it was not granted until the 10th of January, 1875.

The decision of Justice Blatchford was rendered yesterday, declaring that an American patent for an invention filed only as long as the foreign patent was in force, and that the American application had been made prior to the granting of the foreign patent on the same device.

The decision did not cover that feature of the invention, but it did cover the priority of the application of the quadruple patent, which was rendered, the patent office yesterday.

The English patent for the quadruple invention was granted in August, 1875, and expired in April, 1880. The Italian patent in question expired in 1878, and the French patent in 1879.

Mr. Carley testified that he had taken \$200,000 of the bonds at 90, and had an option for \$200,000 more, and had paid for the bonds a hundred thousand dollars for construction expenses out of his own pocket.

He also testified as to the contract with Mr. Todd, whom he seeks to compel to share in the expenses of building the road. The case is still on.

At the patent department of the Western Union Telegraph Company, an application for his quadruple patent, filed Sept. 1, 1874, but that it was not granted until the 10th of January, 1875.

The decision of Justice Blatchford was rendered yesterday, declaring that an American patent for an invention filed only as long as the foreign patent was in force, and that the American application had been made prior to the granting of the foreign patent on the same device.

The decision did not cover that feature of the invention, but it did cover the priority of the application of the quadruple patent, which was rendered, the patent office yesterday.

The English patent for the quadruple invention was granted in August, 1875, and expired in April, 1880. The Italian patent in question expired in 1878, and the French patent in 1879.

Mr. Carley testified that he had taken \$200,000 of the bonds at 90, and had an option for \$200,000 more, and had paid for the bonds a hundred thousand dollars for construction expenses out of his own pocket.

He also testified as to the contract with Mr. Todd, whom he seeks to compel to share in the expenses of building the road. The case is still on.

At the patent department of the Western Union Telegraph Company, an application for his quadruple patent, filed Sept. 1, 1874, but that it was not granted until the 10th of January, 1875.

The decision of Justice Blatchford was rendered yesterday, declaring that an American patent for an invention filed only as long as the foreign patent was in force, and that the American application had been made prior to the granting of the foreign patent on the same device.

The decision did not cover that feature of the invention, but it did cover the priority of the application of the quadruple patent, which was rendered, the patent office yesterday.

The English patent for the quadruple invention was granted in August, 1875, and expired in April, 1880. The Italian patent in question expired in 1878, and the French patent in 1879.

Mr. Carley testified that he had taken \$200,000 of the bonds at 90, and had an option for \$200,000 more, and had paid for the bonds a hundred thousand dollars for construction expenses out of his own pocket.

He also testified as to the contract with Mr. Todd, whom he seeks to compel to share in the expenses of building the road. The case is still on.

At the patent department of the Western Union Telegraph Company, an application for his quadruple patent, filed Sept. 1, 1874, but that it was not granted until the 10th of January, 1875.

The decision of Justice Blatchford was rendered yesterday, declaring that an American patent for an invention filed only as long as the foreign patent was in force, and that the American application had been made prior to the granting of the foreign patent on the same device.

The decision did not cover that feature of the invention, but it did cover the priority of the application of the quadruple patent, which was rendered, the patent office yesterday.

The English patent for the quadruple invention was granted in August, 1875, and expired in April, 1880. The Italian patent in question expired in 1878, and the French patent in 1879.

Mr. Carley testified that he had taken \$200,000 of the bonds at 90, and had an option for \$200,000 more, and had paid for the bonds a hundred thousand dollars for construction expenses out of his own pocket.

He also testified as to the contract with Mr. Todd, whom he seeks to compel to share in the expenses of building the road. The case is still on.

PRICE ONE CENT.

PRICE ONE CENT.

PRICE ONE CENT.

LAST EDITION. AMERICAN PATENT STANDS. It Is Claimed that the Quadruplex Is Still Protected.

Western Union officials were not much disturbed yesterday over the decision rendered yesterday by the United States Supreme Court, through Justice Blatchford, declaring that a foreign patent having lapsed by reason of non-payment of stamp duty, and American patent granted for the same patent was consequently void.

The decision was in a suit for infringement of a patent, and, according to the attaches of the Patent Office it destroyed the Edison quadruplex patent and his three other patents on the same device.