

The Evening World
Published by the Press Publishing Company, 23 to 25 PARK ROW, New York.

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1894.

SUBSCRIPTIONS TO THE EVENING WORLD

(Including postage): PER MONTH \$3.00 PER YEAR \$35.00

Vol. 35, No. 12, 154

Entered at the Post-Office at New York as second-class matter.

NEW BRANCH OFFICES: WORLD UPTOWN OFFICE—Junction of Broadway and 42nd St. at 235 E. WORLD HARLEM OFFICE—121st St. and Madison Ave. BROOKLYN—309 Washington St. PHILADELPHIA—PA—Inquirer Office, 119 Market St. WASHINGTON—703 14th St.

THE WORLD'S CIRCULATION FOR OCTOBER. AVERAGE PER WEEK DAY, 488,262. AVERAGE PER SUNDAY (The Evening Edition), 332,949. GAIN OVER LAST YEAR PER WEEK DAY, 45,150. GAIN OVER LAST YEAR PER SUNDAY, 76,290.

Col. Fellows's answer: "I didn't!"

Bookkeeper Beely's favorite turkey meat is the get-away part—the leg.

Among the later charges against Col. Fellows should be a dishonorable discharge.

The Bread Trust continues to take advantage of cheap flour and the bread consumers.

We are sorry to know that the turkey will be a rare avis in many homes in New York.

They will begin weighing Police Justice Dwyer Dec. 17. He has already been found wanting.

South Carolina would be better off if Tillman's farewell message were also the farewell of Tillmanism.

The Lexow Committee ought to be thankful that there is nothing to prevent the calling of Tom Platt.

Fire destroyed 3,000 unfinished bicycles in Toledo last night. But there will still be wheels enough to go round.

There is no present occasion for drawing the sword of Bunker Hill on account of British operations at Bluefields.

Pantalanin isn't yet dead in New York. Mrs. Thurow and Katie Schubert can give a few post-Lexow points about it.

Job Hedges has the first job given out by the Mayor-elect. Job can feel thankful to the tune of about \$7,000 a year.

Now pitch in and make the children of the poor thankful, Dec. 25, for well-laden "Evening World" Christmas Trees.

Only 58 silver dollars coined last year. If you get one, hold on to it, for collectors will soon be paying a big price for them.

Lady Henry Somerset says that she will let the women of this country deal with their own living pictures—which is very kind of her.

Car Nicholas's subjects ought to feel a little turkeyed-to-day. If the ruler means what he says about a parliamentary Government for Russia.

Brooklyn gave 2,900 majority in favor of consolidation, which shows that there are just that many more thinking than thoughtless voters in Brooklyn.

Make the most of this Thanksgiving Day. It's the last one for a year. However, that won't prevent your being thankful on any other day that you feel that way.

Managers who exhibit living pictures are draping them now, it is said, in fear of the W. C. T. U. Let us give thanks for this improvement in local living-picture exhibitions.

Mrs. Thurow's disappearance was to have been expected. How could it be helped when the indicted and suspected policemen have so many accomplices remaining in the Department.

The Manhattan "L" crowd are trying to break into the rapid transit money. Keep them out, unless they promise to behave themselves and respect the rights of the public.

"Silver Dollar" Smith has been taught that he is not a special pleader in General Sessions. The snub direct can be administered to numerous other politicians with "pulis" much to the advantage of Justice.

A man who looks like Cleveland has been married in Elmira. No harm in that, but it looks like the President's has yet reached Attorney-General Olney, so far as is known, on the subject of the Anti-Trust law.

Gov. Tillman, in his farewell message, says: "It appears to me that South Carolina has the best system of laws and rules of court to enable men to shirk the law, that can possibly exist anywhere. The consequence is that the people have lost all patience and almost all

faith in the administration of justice. This lamentable and disgraceful condition is the direct and almost sole cause for the prevalence of lynch law in our midst. These States are obliged to submit to technical delays and legal juggling in capital cases. Yet there is no prevalence of lynch law in our midst." The Governor of South Carolina hasn't looked deep enough.

THE TABLES TURNED.

Time and the Lexow Committee have brought revenge to the victims of the pantana. They who once gave up black mail like water have now had to raise a finger to command what they will—cash, presents, excursions to Canada, six months' visits to Chicago, even trips to Europe are tributes all too meagre to satisfy the generosity of the Police Captains and other unfortunates. It is not at all likely that with all their own willingness and their victims' aviciousness, the pantana have yet yielded up even a considerable proportion of the blood money they have extorted in years past. It must be considered, however, that a sort of money grows easily as it comes, and that it is not likely that much of it stuck long to the hands of the extortioners. Their present assessments for travelling expenses of former victims, along with their lawyers' bills and other expenditures, will probably be heavy enough to exhaust their surplus. It will be some satisfaction to know that even if these rascals escape legal punishment they have at least been impoverished. A pantana in Sing Sing would be the ideal thing, but a pantana pauperized is a good step towards justice.

A CHICAGO CLUB.

New York must certainly yield to Chicago in progressive energy. Last night the police of the city made a descent on a "trust" or "combine" peculiar to Chicago.

The "Thieves' Protective and Mutual Benefit Club" has been running under full headway for some time. It is as regularly organized as any other social club in the city; has its President, Secretary, Treasurer and other officers and its rules and regulations for the guidance of its members. Its object is a laudable one—that of an equal division of profits among its members without regard to the amount each contributes to the general fund.

When the raid was on Maxwell street and the meetings are held nightly. Only persons who have been actually convicted of crime are eligible as members. A mere charge of felony without trial and conviction does not entitle a candidate to membership.

When the raid was made last night about twenty persons were found in the Club. But nothing could be proved against them, and it is decided that the "Thieves' Protective and Mutual Benefit Society" is a perfectly legitimate Chicago institution.

CUSTOM-HOUSE STUPIDITY.

No one expects to find either sense or accommodation in Custom-House regulations. It is to be run in that portion of Uncle Sam's household with as much stupidity and as great inconvenience to the public as possible. The White Star line steamship majestic reached her dock at 3.30 o'clock yesterday afternoon. There a Custom-House dignitary approached the agent and informed him that if he did not have a "right permit" the passengers' baggage would not be passed after 4.34, the official hour of sundown.

There were nearly three hundred cabin passengers on the ship. They were all getting more and more stupid every day.

POOLS OF A FEATHER, ETC.

We wish we could have looked into the brains of those Peckskill burglars who went to the trouble of blowing and jimmying open a safe, and got only a little small change for their pains, when they learned that the directions for opening the safe, written on a card that hung on the knob, were correct and would have worked all right. Cold and clammy thoughts must have been possession of their cerebral cells, and the cogwheel convolutions must have been filled with a large and throbbing consciousness of what gorgeous idiots the men were.

The burglars read the card and concluded that it was a joke. So they expended ingenuity, energy and dynamite on the safe, taking all the attendant risks, and were really out on their nerves. They thought pass resolutions setting forth that they are fools and know it, and requesting the owner of the safe to henceforth regard them as in his own class, for he is as big a fool as they are for hanging such a card on his safe—that is, if the card gave the correct combination, which we doubt.

Managers of local theatres who draped their living pictures last night under the impression that W. C. T. U. agents were abroad getting evidence against them, may be sure to have made a mistake in policy. Either the pictures are wrong or they are not. The managers have held that they are all right. In the act of draping the figures they seem to acknowledge the presence of a W. C. T. U. agent. This must hurt their case in the event of an active crusade in the courts.

Col. Fellows says the District-Attorney's office is all right. He also says that Col. Fellows is all right. But there are not enough Col. Fellows in New York to bend the Government's opinion of Col. Fellows to travel very far beyond the office chair in which the Colonel has earned his salary so easily.

Brooklyn gas will go up from 90 cents to \$1.25 per thousand Saturday. The increase is not afterwards appear in General Sessions. The snub direct can be administered to numerous other politicians with "pulis" much to the advantage of Justice.

A man who looks like Cleveland has been married in Elmira. No harm in that, but it looks like the President's has yet reached Attorney-General Olney, so far as is known, on the subject of the Anti-Trust law.

Gov. Tillman, in his farewell message, says: "It appears to me that South Carolina has the best system of laws and rules of court to enable men to shirk the law, that can possibly exist anywhere. The consequence is that the people have lost all patience and almost all

"THE FLAMS."

William Hoye—if he would prefer it, I'll call him Bill—is really uproariously funny. It's no use turning up your nose at his double-distilled nonsense and his flimsy "horror" stories, for you are obliged to laugh, and once you have begun, it is hard to stop. Hoye's hold upon the risibilities of his audience is sure. His hearers know that he will not fail vulgar, and he rarely does. He is never vulgar, although he looks as though he could be, and he is constantly so unconsciously of the absurdity of the things he does, and apparently so indifferent as to whether his audience laughs, or doesn't laugh, that the effect is irresistible.

The worst thing about "The Flams," the farce in which he is now appearing at the Bijou Theatre, is its title. That is so exasperating that it would keep many a doughty playgoer from the theatre. It's a pity, too, for the farce is an excellent entertainment, stuffed with capital specialties, and with some clever vaudeville performers in the cast. In fact, if you can't indulge in unreasoning mirth you can't do better than go and see "The Flams." You may be rather ashamed when you find yourself giggling at some idiosyncrasy that you know to be blissy all the time. Still, the others are doing the same thing. There is safety in a multitude, and every fellow's intellect wants to take a nap occasionally. I would suggest to the Bijou people that they issue checks for the intellects of their patrons, and have an intellectual room in the lobby, where the encumbrances can be left during the performance.

Harry and Edward Panlito are the authors of "The Flams," but I don't suppose that there is very much of their manuscript matter left. They have used their "Ermie" idea, and the adventures which make themselves off as members of the British nobility are old friends.

"The Flams" has probably been built up upon the Panlito idea; that is all. The structure has evidently been carefully reared, although without Hoye and John C. Rice it would be a very terrible affair.

Mr. Rice is certainly an admirable entertainer, and he is very much in evidence in "The Flams." His sleek charm, well-kept manner has a certain charm, and he has the vaudeville gift very strongly.

His dancing is marvellously athletic. The company includes the Merrills sisters, three agile young women, who are also stage devotees of Terpsichore. Ordinary skirt-dancing damsels content themselves with the simple kick, but the Merrills girls, when they have got their feet in the air, whirl them dizzily around like windmills. Then there's a stately dame, calling herself Cherish Simpson, who is also not to be slighted. Miss Simpson is a pianist of considerable ability, and her rendering of a very difficult Wieniawski waltz would not bring discredit upon Chickadee Hall. She sang ditty called "Won't You Be My Sweetheart" with excellent effect.

There are other specialties galore. "A Gaiety Girl" has not been forgotten, and some of its melodies are boldly used. The perpetual "Tommy Atkins" is there; in fact, Tommy will soon have to be snubbed, for he is getting very obnoxious. One or two sentimental effusions might be omitted. Why will variety people attempt to be poetic in a rollicking vaudeville show? Fortunately, poor monomers' ban and Little Bonnie's vaudeville are not mentioned, but it is a wonder that they are neglected. Variety people love that kind of thing. They think that there is something lofty about it.

"The Flams," however, is ridiculous enough to see the man who can't laugh at it would be put away as a danger to the community. ALAN DALE.

WILSON BILL BARGAIN CENTER.

The shoe and leather Bank's system of book-keeping wants half-soleing and heel—Philadelphia Record.

WHY IT' COME TO THIS!

It may be necessary to appoint an arbitration committee to settle the New York reform victory.—Washington Post.

Banco's Retrogression.

Time was when a skillful banco was a person of almost as much importance as a successful professional gambler or a tough Alderman.

Nowadays it is simply a matter of etiquette for a gentleman behind a freight car on the lake front and reaching him over the head, or getting him into a levee saloon and giving him drugged liquor.—Chicago News.

The Bull-dog Classified.

The bulldog at last has been properly classified. A man who was attacked by one has used the owner for assault with a deadly weapon.—Chicago Post.

Indignation in Allentown.

That Astor tramp makes us tired. From the amount of fuss the "quality" are making about him, we might infer that the Astor's beds are warm, and that they don't have a change of sheets.—Allentown Leader.

A Good Glutton in Michigan.

There is nothing wild or woolly in the complexion of Grand Rapids (Mich.) contemporary pariahs of that vicinity and of whom it remarks with detestation and force, that she "stands six feet four inches in her French-heeled slippers, and is as good as the size in long and beautiful."—Philadelphia Ledger.

"EVENING WORLD" GUIDE-BOOK.

Nights of New York.—VII.—The Normal College.

The question as to what they shall do with their girls has been answered by a good many parents in this city by sending their girls to the Normal College. This has proved an excellent thing for both the colleges and the daughters. It has also been good for the cheating game and chocolate rackets trade in the vicinity of the college.

For, though it is a matter of much dignity to be a Normal girl, the fact of being so does not spoil a young man's appetite for candy. His goes as much over on chocolate, lady. Mrs. Kendall and other sweet things. Nearly 2,000 girl students are upon the college rolls. It takes a man of unusual nerve to stand the fire of 6,000 bright feminine eyes on a graduation day. Reference has been made to the girls' passion for sweet things. There are several thousand young men in town who sympathize with the feeling. These do not, however, waste their affections on candy. They bestow their love on the fair collegians. Normal College girls who ride on the "L" road never fail to get seats.

My Lady's Fan.

From Illustrated Letters and Lyrics—My lady's fan.

We greet you the halting of her fan—Not a lady heard to far too wise.

To hide the heart of her girlish awe—From masculine gaze with such a wile.

As my lady's fan—Keen to the way of a mask—My lady's fan!

When lovers rebel and favour ask—My lady's fan!

By way of caring for the love they show—My lady's fan!

And you laugh to yourself when some one sighs—My lady's fan!

She is kissing proper—He is kissing put one backward and con-

At the Club.

The Standard—No, you're wrong, I don't have any love for you, but I'll be damned if I'll let you see me without paying for my seat.

IN RAPID TRANSIT DAYS.

Will Land Owners Get All the Benefit, or Will It Help the Rent-Payers.

To the Editor:

I notice that my challenge to any man to refute two statements I made in regard to the new rapid transit railroad has been accepted by Mr. H. H. Stark, the "landlord" of the "Flams." That the landlords would get the lion's share of the benefit, and second, that the better and cheaper the transit the better will the land made a profitable income. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to his first question, I would remind him that there is a difference between "landlords" and "households" as between night and day, and that the house-lands and tenants will pay at least nine-tenths and the landlords less than one-tenth of the cost of the transit. If he will, "Who else will pay for it but the landlords?" and advise me to "buy a little piece of land beyond the Harlem for a home. Then you, too, will be a wealthy landlord." As to