

WHIG STATE NOMINATIONS.

FOR PRESIDENT, HENRY CLAY, OF KENTUCKY. FOR GOVERNOR, LUTHER BRADISH, OF FRANKLIN CO. FOR LIEUT. GOVERNOR, GABRIEL FURMAN, OF KING.

FOR SENATORS, JOHN FEOTHINGHAM, Fulton Co. ASA HANCOCK, Franklin County. WILLIAMS PATRICK, Wyoming County. Election, November 8—(one day only.)

Whig Senatorial Conventions.

First District—At the Broadway House, N. York, Oct. 11. Third District—At the Mansion House, Albany, Oct. 11. Fifth District—At the Oreada, Oreada County, Oct. 11. Sixth District—At Corning, Steuben Co., Oct. 6. South District—At Auburn, Cayuga Co., Oct. 22.

The Office of The Tribune is removed to the new and spacious buildings, No. 160 NASSAU STREET, in front of the Park and nearly opposite the City Hall.

The WHIG ALMANAC AND UNITED STATES REGISTER is published and may be had at this office. Price 125 cents.

It is expected that Mr. WEBSTER will deliver his great speech at Boston this day. The Reporters of The Tribune are on the spot, who will accurately and faithfully report it and an Extra will be published from this office, as soon after the arrival of the Steamboat to-morrow morning as we shall be able to get it in type.

The State Agricultural Fair.

Editorial Correspondence. ALBANY, Wednesday, Sept. 28, 1842.

The Annual Fair of the New-York State Agricultural Society commenced this morning. It is held on a large and well-adapted meadow, sloping to the Macadamized road to Troy, about two miles North of this City. There is a rather larger collection of splendid Cattle than at the last year's Fair at Syracuse, while the show of fine Horses is also, I think, superior. I have never seen better Horses than some here; and I doubt whether the display of Cattle could be surpassed in the Union. The Durham appears to be the favorite; though there are some capital Herefords and other varieties. There must be over a hundred Bulls on the ground over half Durham, some weighing at least 1,500 lbs. Two-year-olds weighing over 1,000 are abundant. Mr. E. P. Prestice of this City, Johnson of Geneva, Rust of Syracuse, Townsend of Albany, and Nott of Gunderland are among the exhibitors; but I will send you a list of them.

Of Oxen, the show is very satisfactory. First in bulk comes the great Ox of Mr. Rust of Syracuse, (of which the mate was killed last Fall,) weighing 4,100 pounds. He is something heavier, but I think not so fine-looking an animal as I saw him at Syracuse last year. However, I saw him just now in an unfavorable position, and may be mistaken.

I noticed a fine yoke of Oxen, weighing 3,000 lbs. each, belonging, if I was not misinformed, to Mr. Johnson of Geneva. A small but beautiful yoke of Cattle, perfectly proportioned, and of a deep red color, so perfectly alike as to appear to be twins, not so fat, but perfectly formed and weighing about 2,000 lbs. each, came, I understand, from Chatham, Columbia Co. But I will not particularize farther, as we are to have decisions directly, from Committees that know what good Cattle are, and where to find them. Among the Horses, the Duroc and Mc senger breeds were noted; though I do not say they were the best.

Of Hogs, there were fewer on the ground than at Syracuse. The most remarkable creature of the Swine genus is one exhibited as a show with Mr. Rust's Ox in a barndoor. Its breed is a cross of Berkshire and Russia; it is 2 years 3 months old; is eight feet six inches long, and weighs 1,407 lbs. A bigger hog than this would hardly be desirable.

Of Sheep, the number exhibited is comparatively small, but there are some very choice lots of Saxony and South Down. A herd of Buffaloes, twenty-eight in number, have pitched their tent in the heart of the Exhibition. They are on their way from the Prairies to New-York, and I believe to Europe. They are all young, having been captured as calves less than a year ago. It is to be hoped they will grow prettier as they grow older—and yet there seems little prospect of it. They are all perfectly tame. An Elk accompanies them, whose hide bears marks of flogging. Buffalo-hide does not mind such trifles.

I will send further advices to-day, and write again to-morrow. A meeting of Agriculturists will be held at the Capitol this evening. A Dinner is on foot for to-morrow. Gov. SEWARD has been waited upon at the eleventh hour to deliver the Annual Address, in place of Mr. WEBSTER, who cannot attend. I think he will consent. The Address is to be delivered to-morrow.

The number of persons on the ground cannot be less than 20,000 and may be much greater. I presume the number who will have visited the Fair before this Evening must be 30,000 to 50,000. Among those I met there I recollect Lieut. Gov. BRADISH, Senators FURMAN, ROOT, PAIGE, HUNT, WEEKS, Hon. M. H. CARROLL, Hon. G. W. PATTERSON and JAMES WADSWORTH of Genesee. The day is bright and serene—a little warm, but not too much so; and the whole affair goes admirably.

NAVAL.—Captain Silas H. Stringham, of New-York, is appointed to the command of the Independence, sixty guns, the flag ship of the home squadron. It is stated that the command of the squadron to be employed on the coast of Africa, will not be given to Captain McKeever, but to a senior officer. Commodore Charles G. Ridgely has been, we understand, appointed to the command of the Baltimore Naval Station, vice Commodore Jacob Jones, who takes command of the squadron aboard here, making the North Carolina his flag-ship. The sentence of Captain Ballard, though not officially promulgated, is understood to be suspension for one year.

The Reading, Pa., Railroad Bridge, the Montgomery County Bridge, over Mill Creek, about two miles from Manayunk, have been destroyed by fire. They were set on fire, and though the watchman succeeded in catching hold of the incendiary, he managed to make his escape. The destruction of the Railroad Bridge is considered a great public calamity. The railroad passengers were passed over the creek in boats, and the freight train detained.

ASSAULT.—Mr. T. Hershew, of the firm of Hershew & Timmerman, Buffalo, was, while on his way home from a fire on Sunday evening last, set upon by two U. S. soldiers, near the Catholic Church, on Main street, and severely beaten.

From South America.

By the brig America, from Carthagena, papers of Bogota have been received to the 14th August. A decree has been passed by the Government of New Granada delivering the remains of Bolivar, now entombed at Santa Martha, to be conveyed to Caracas. The exhumation is to be celebrated with funeral honors such as belong to a Captain of the Army in command. On the day when the remains are exhumed and delivered to the Commissioners, the public officers and citizens of Santa Martha are to be dressed in mourning. On the departure of the vessel which receives the remains, a salute of 21 guns is to be fired. The day of the ceremony is not yet definitely fixed.

A treaty of peace was concluded between Bolivia and Peru, on the 7th June, under the auspices of the Chilean Government, by whom the mediating minister, Ventura Lavalle, was commissioned for that purpose, Chili guaranteeing the fulfillment of the treaty. It was ratified by the Government of Bolivia on the 15th June, and there is no doubt of its having been ratified by that of Peru also.—Its terms are as follow:

Art. 1. The republics of Peru and Bolivia pledge to each other inviolable peace and friendship, burying in oblivion forever the causes which constrained them to resort to arms.

2. In order that this peace may be established on a firm and durable basis, the contracting parties mutually renounce any right which they might possess to indemnify on account of injuries committed—each of them renouncing every claim for the expenses of the war.

3. For the like purpose, the two contracting parties recognize the principle of the liberty and perfect right which each of them possesses, to regulate its fiscal laws and commercial relations as it may deem most for its own interest. The same principle will serve as a basis for a treaty of commerce, whenever it shall be deemed expedient to conclude one.

The Government of Peru and Bolivia shall exercise a complete amnesty in regard to the political responsibilities which have been incurred by the subjects of the respective territories.

4. As soon as the present Treaty is ratified, the prisoners shall be at liberty to dispose of themselves as they may think proper, except that individuals belonging to the armed force, shall be delivered over to the officer who may be appointed for the purpose.

5. The Government of Bolivia shall withdraw its army from the Peruvian territory within eight days after the exchange of ratifications.

6. The party which shall violate any of the articles or clauses of the present Treaty shall be obliged to pay the expenses of the war which may be occasioned by the violation.

7. The party which shall violate any of the articles or clauses of the present Treaty shall be obliged to pay the expenses of the war which may be occasioned by the violation.

8. The exchange of the ratifications of this Treaty shall be made within thirty-five days from this date, or sooner if practicable, under the direction of the mediating minister.

9. The ratifications of this Treaty shall be signed by F. J. MARIATEGUI, Peruvian do. HILARIO FERNANDEZ, Bolivian do. And by the Secretaries of each Legation.

Upon the conclusion of the Treaty, the Peruvian chiefs immediately began quarrelling with each other—the two commanders of the army of the South, accusing each other of seditious conduct. A conspiracy against the Government had been suppressed, and the leaders executed.

By a decree of June 15th, the President of the Council of State charged with the Executive power, (Mr. Mendez,) issued an order convokeing the Electoral Colleges for the election of President, Senators and Deputies to Congress.

REPUBLIC OF ECUADOR.—Every day renders more probable the pacific adjustment of the differences between Ecuador and Peru.

The presidential election was approaching, and the agitation which on such occasions moves these republics, began to be visible.

VENEZUELA.—The election of President occupies public attention in Venezuela. There are three candidates.

ROBBERY.—A gentleman by the name of Charles Walker, of Burlington, N. Y., was robbed at the Schenectady Railroad Depot, Albany, on the 27th inst. of \$2200, which amount was adroitly taken from his pocket while in the crowd. The robber remains undiscovered as yet, notwithstanding the rigorous measures which were taken for his apprehension.

CITY INTELLIGENCE.

Civil and Criminal Courts, Coroner's and Police Offices, &c. COURT CALENDAR—This Day, September 30th. CIRCUIT COURT—Nos. 59, 73, 30, 32, 34, 45, 28, 26, 101, 102. COMMON PLEAS—Nos. 149, 157, 181, 7, 75, 325, 120, 13, 69, 123, 79, 107, 107, 11, 115.—Part 24: No. 162. THURSDAY, Sept. 29.

COURT OF SESSIONS—Before the Recorder, Judge Lynch and Aldermen Bonnell and Garman. TRIAL OF REV. MR. VERREN CONCLUDED.—At the opening of the Court, Mr. Verren proceeded to the trial of the Rev. Mr. Verren. He stated that he charged the jury on the law and facts of the case. He stated that the defendant was not the committed perjurer having sworn to an affidavit on the 19th June, 1840, (which he read,) which affidavit stated that original anonymous letters were brought to him by De Bouillon in 1829; that having received several such letters himself, he was induced, at the instance of Mrs. Verren, to copy those letters, and that subsequently the original letters and copies of them were stolen by De Bouillon or some one else, handed to Barthelmy, and made the basis of a book published by the latter for the purpose of extorting money from him, or to degrade him; and that he, Mr. Verren, never wrote those original letters or had any part therein.

His Honor said that to convict a person of perjury, it must be satisfactorily proved that the oath made by the accused was knowingly false and material to the issue of the case; that either two witnesses must be adduced in Court to sustain the indictment, or one witness and facts and circumstances equivalent to another; that in Harkness, Starkey and other high English authorities it is stated as law that to convict a person of perjury, two witnesses must swear absolutely to the falsity of the oath the accused has made. But in this country the law is different, and here one witness, and accompanying circumstances amounting to another, and calculated to carry conviction to the minds of the jury of the guilt of the accused by the oath of another, cannot be convicted by that oath, as one oath only balances the other, and there must be a train of circumstances so clear and strong as to carry conviction of themselves of guilt, without the oath of the witness.

His Honor then proceeded to briefly recapitulate the testimony on the case, pro and con, as given in evidence—contrasting and comparing. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of the defendant; 3d, that a similar letter was produced in the hand-writing of Mr. Verren, abusive of Madame De La Haye. This letter, it is to be inferred, was written at the instance of the accused. His Honor then alluded to several strong facts in the case making against the accused. 1st, there was the positive oath of De Bouillon that the accused was the author of those letters; 2d, the strong fact of the letters produced in court being in the handwriting of