

ALFONSO RETURNS TO SPAIN.

HIS HOSTILE RECEPTION RESENTED. THE KING'S CONDUCT IN PARIS APPROVED BY HIS SUBJECTS.

King Alfonso left Paris yesterday and returned to Spain. He was escorted to the railway depot by President Grévy's secretary and the Spanish Ambassador to France. There was no demonstration by the people. The coolness and discretion which the King displayed while in Paris have increased his prestige among the Spanish people, who are greatly incensed at the indignities to which he was subjected. In Madrid Frenchmen were insulted, and the French Embassy was threatened. It is reported that the Spanish Cabinet will demand a public apology from the French Government.

THE KING'S DEPARTURE FROM PARIS.

PARIS, Oct. 1.—King Alfonso and suite left this city on the 8:45 train this morning on their return to Spain. There was no demonstration by the populace on their departure. General Pittre, secretary of President Grévy, and the Duc de Fernand-Nunez, Spanish Ambassador to France, escorted the King to the railway station.

The journals here continue to condemn the conduct of the populace toward the King, pointing out especially that they were playing into the hands of France Bismarck. The Journal Official publishes the fact that President Grévy apologized to King Alfonso on the outrage of Saturday.

All the French Ministers were present at the banquet given by the President in honor of the King at the Palace of the Elysee on Sunday evening except M. Thibaudin, Minister of War, and M. Melue, Minister of Agriculture. The President wore the Spanish Order of the Golden Fleece. King Alfonso conversed for half an hour after the banquet with President Grévy and Prime Minister Ferry. M. Grévy urged the King to remain in Paris another day. The latter made an excellent impression upon the guests at the banquet. President Grévy said he never expected to meet so much good sense, dignity and coolness in so young a sovereign.

The Temps says that President Grévy's action in apologizing to the hostile manifestation will have the best effect in dispelling the clouds that are looming up between France and Spain.

The France says that at the dinner at the Elysee M. Raynal, Minister of Public Works, informed the Marquis de la Vega de Armijo that the French Government wishing to furnish proof of its desire to strengthen the relations between France and Spain, had resolved to summon an International Commission to examine into the scheme of building a tunnel at Canfranc, Spain, in order to improve railway communication between the two countries.

It is reported that at Cabinet Council to-morrow the Ministers will discuss the question whether or not the papers which promoted the demonstrations against the King Alfonso can be prosecuted.

EXCITEMENT IN MADRID.

FRENCHMEN INSULTED IN THE STREETS—VIOLENCE PREVENTED BY THE POLICE.

LONDON, Oct. 1.—A dispatch from Madrid to a local news agency says that the citizens are highly incensed over the insults offered to King Alfonso in Paris. Bands of people paraded the streets yesterday evening, insulted Frenchmen and openly threatened the French Embassy. The police, however, prevented any violence from being perpetrated. Senor Moret, at a meeting of the Democratic Club, declared that the insults offered to the King affected the whole Spanish Nation, which, he said, would stand by the King. His utterances were received with enthusiasm by the French King and his entourage. Senor (Antonio) de Castiello that the cause of the monarchy demanded his presence in Madrid. The officers of the garrison have also held a meeting, at which strong speeches against France were delivered.

A dispatch to the Exchange Telegraph Company from Paris says alarming reports prevail there that the Spanish Cabinet will demand a public apology from the French Government for the insults offered to the King and that the German Government will make a diplomatic protest against the indignities to which the Spanish King was subjected. The Paris correspondent of the Exchange Telegraph says that the Spanish Cabinet will demand a public apology from the French Government for the insults offered to the King and that the German Government will make a diplomatic protest against the indignities to which the Spanish King was subjected.

THE KING'S PRESENT INCREASED.

The Madrid correspondent of the Times says the hostile reception has considerably increased the King's prestige at home. His personal courage and discretion, his dignified bearing during his progress through the streets of Paris, and his visit to President Grévy's residence without an escort are subjects of general praise and of admiration by the Spaniards.

The Paris correspondent of the Times says that King Alfonso is perfectly satisfied of the good faith of Prime Minister Ferry as representing the French Government. The King does not admit for a moment that the spirit which was manifested by the Government was excited by the false statements of anarchist agitators, represents the French Nation. In abandoning his stay in Paris by one-half the time intended, he desires to diminish the difficulties that have arisen by his visit. The Marquis de la Vega de Armijo, the Spanish Minister of Foreign Affairs, and the Spanish Government entirely agree with the decision of the King to leave Paris before the expiration of his appointment to the colony of the Ulan regiment until he received it and his intention. The Emperor William is understood to be disappointed at the appointment. The King and the Duke of Connaught exchanged visits on Sunday. President Grévy went to the depot on Saturday to greet King Alfonso on his departure. His manner appeared cold, his words were cordial.

THE DEMONSTRATION A SHAME TO FRANCE.

MADRID, Oct. 1.—The Iberia says the demonstration against King Alfonso will remain a lasting shame to France. If she does not give the fullest satisfaction, it will show that the Government of France has merely a nominal existence, and that a few seditious persons are more powerful than all the authorities. The Republican papers urge the Spanish people to be calm and prudent in order to avoid playing into the hands of Bismarck.

At a meeting of the Dynastic Left to-day, after a speech by Senor Moret, favoring the project, it was resolved to organize a great public demonstration in honor of the King on his arrival here. The Military Club of this city has also passed resolutions of a similar tenor.

LONDON, Oct. 1.—A dispatch from Paris to the Daily Telegraph says: An attack of the French Embassy at Madrid has resigned. The Duc de Fernand-Nunez, Spanish Ambassador to France, will soon depart on a long leave of absence.

THE QUEEN AND PRINCESSES CHEERED.

A WARM RECEPTION AT THE PALACE BY THE SPANISH POPULACE.

MADRID, Oct. 1.—The Queen and family returned from La Gracía this afternoon, and were greeted with a warm reception by the populace. The streets from the depot to the Palace were thronged with carriages and pedestrians, and an enormous crowd filled the depot, including many officers of the garrison. The Queen and Princesses were greatly cheered, and shouts were raised "Long live the King and Queen." Occasional cries hostile to France were heard. The Queen appeared twice on the balcony of the Palace in response to the plaudits of the multitude. A short time afterward a group of excited youths went in the direction of the French Embassy crying "Long live the Ulan King. Down with the French," but dispersed on seeing that the Embassy was protected by a strong body of police. A number of people made a friendly demonstration in front of the German Legation. The Duc de Fernand-Nunez, Spanish Ambassador to France, was violently attacking France. A meeting of the principal French residents of Madrid was held at

WHICH THE INSULTS TO KING ALFONSO WERE STRONGLY CONDEMNED, AND CORDIAL SYMPATHY WITH SPAIN WAS EXPRESSED.

MISCELLANEOUS FOREIGN NEWS.

RATTAZZI'S MONUMENT UNVEILED.

ALEXANDRIA, Italy, Oct. 1.—A monument commemorative of the deeds of Urbano Rattazzi, the compatriot of Garibaldi, who died in 1873, was unveiled here on Sunday. King Humbert, with several of his Ministers and many members of the Chamber of Deputies, was present. Much enthusiasm was displayed on the occasion.

A WARM RECEPTION TO KING MILAN.

BELGRADE, Oct. 1.—King Milan arrived here to-day. He was received by the people with great enthusiasm.

CHINA AND TONGKIN.

LONDON, Oct. 1.—A dispatch from Hong Kong to Reuters Telegram Company says all is quiet at Canton. Advice from Honolulu states that 550 French troops, under Colonel Hays and Badens, started on September 29 by the way of the Tonquin River for Bac-Ninh. It is believed that an expedition left Hanoi at the same time for Bac-Ninh.

DEMANDS OF NihilISTS.

LONDON, Oct. 1.—A dispatch from St. Petersburg states that the Nihilists there have issued circulars demanding that the Russian Government shall put a stop to the cruel treatment of prisoners, especially Nihilists, and enjoin the greatest caution upon all Nihilists in consequence of recent arrests.

OPERA SINGERS COMING TO NEW-YORK.

HAVRE, Oct. 1.—Among the passengers on the steamer America, which sailed to-day for New-York, were Signora Emily Labache and Signorina Louise Labache, contraltos; Signorina Imogene Forti, soprano; and Signori Amadeo Grazi and Vincenzo Fornari, tenors; Mirabella and Ludovico Conti, basses; and Angier, all members of the Abbey Opera Company.

THE SALVATION ARMY IN SWITZERLAND.

GENEVA, Oct. 1.—At the trial of the Misses Booth and Charlesworth and four other members of the Salvation Army for disturbing the peace they pleaded in defence that they simply obeyed the dictates of their conscientious belief. The court found that they should be sentenced in accordance with the law. The accused persons were found guilty and were discharged from custody.

NOTES FROM THE DOMINION.

MONTREAL, Oct. 1.—A severe frost last night did great damage to the grapes and vegetables. The glass-blowers who lately arrived from France decline to work for the wages which they agreed to accept before leaving home. The French Vice-Consul is endeavoring to make a settlement.

ST. JOHN'S, N. B., Oct. 1.—The Inspection and Controlling Commission were formally opened this afternoon by Lieutenant-Governor Wilnot. There was a large attendance, including the United States Consul and the officers of the United States steamer Alliance.

FOREIGN NOTES.

ASHTON-UNDER-LYNE, Oct. 1.—All the weavers here who went out on strike, with the exception of those employed by Messrs. G. & J. H. & Co., have returned to work. The Berlin Germania says that the report is a pure fabrication.

MURDER AND INCENDIARISM.

THE VIOLENT DEATH OF TWO WRETCHED GIRLS IN SHELBYVILLE, IND.

SHELBYVILLE, Ind., Oct. 1.—The burning of a house of ill-fame here yesterday, and the burning of two girls who were also murdered, has created intense excitement. The crime occurred in the house of "Kitty Wells," situated on the front down stairs with Nason Purdum, of this city. The room immediately back was occupied by Herman Tompkins, a young man, who also resides here. The back room upstairs was occupied by the two unfortunate girls, whose charred remains are awaiting burial. One of the girls was "Margie" Wells, who was about eighteen years of age, and who came here from her home in Columbus, Ind., last Wednesday, and entered the house where she met her fate the next day. Her companion was Flora Garrett, who was about two years older, and who came here a week ago last Tuesday from St. Paul, Ind. The fire, which was of incendiary origin, occurred early yesterday morning, the house being totally destroyed. A post-mortem held on the remains of the two victims by two physicians revealed a long incision of the left breast of one of the girls, which was said by the attending physicians to have been inflicted by some sharp instrument, together with the finding of a dagger in the room, and the fact that the girls were given, it was supposed, that they were murdered and then thrown into the fire. The girls were found in the back room, and while they may be innocently accounted for, it is believed that the girls were murdered and then thrown into the fire. The investigation will necessarily be continued for several days.

A HOPEFUL OHIO DEMOCRAT.

THE CHAIRMAN OF THE STATE COMMITTEE CONFIDENT OF VICTORY.

CLEVELAND, Ohio, Oct. 1.—Captain G. H. Baggar, chairman of the Democratic State Executive Committee, was in the city to-day. A reporter asked him how the political battle was going.

"We are going to meet our ticket by a good majority," he replied. "We have a very thorough organization in the State. Our information is complete from every local district in the State. We are going to hold our last year's vote everywhere, and gain in it many places, while I do not believe the Republicans can increase their vote over the poll of last year. There is a great deal more Republican apathy and disaffection than there was last year. In fact the Republicans have based their hopes of success upon two things, both of which have failed them; they hoped in the first place for Democratic disunion, and in the second for the going over the State trying to either find it or make it, but they succeeded in doing neither; and they have abandoned that hope. The Democrats will not lose a hundred votes in the State on account of disaffection.

The next hope was the Scott law. They had an idea that the Temperance Democrats would like that and would vote for the Republicans to sustain it; but the recent amendment movement assumed such proportions as to sweep the Scott law clear out of sight, and it is an enormous task now they have abandoned that hope, except a vague wish, one that the Democrats may forget, abandoned all idea of electing the State ticket and is spending his money trying to pick up a member of the Legislature here and there."

JUDGE HOADLY ON THE STUMP.

THE SCOTT LAW AND THE SECOND AMENDMENT—THE TARIFF AND REPUBLICAN FRIENDS.

CLEVELAND, Oct. 1.—Judge Hoadly spoke here to-night to an audience of 10,000. His voice was weak and he read from manuscript. When he recited the temperance question he said: "If the Scott bill is to be considered a quality way it is the second Amendment. If it is adopted the Scott law must go. Therefore, I take it Republicans did not consider the Scott law a quality. But if second Amendment is adopted, and legislative action is taken to enforce it, there will be no traffic in liquor in Ohio for Republicans to tax. It will, on the contrary, be free and untrammelled until the Democratic party is free and properly. We consider the Scott law a license law and an unjust one; and the Legislature, if Democratic, would improve it by a properly graded license. The principle of Democratic party is the impregnable one of as much personal liberty as is possibly consistent." In speaking of the tariff Judge Hoadly said: "The Democratic platform on that is sound. That question has been settled for three years to come, at least and there is no authority for bringing it up and falsely charging the Democratic party with repudiating principles. They are offering a protection, and the Republicans have no longer any guiding principles, and as they have held together solely by partisan ties since the great struggle of 1860, they are now being broken up by the fact that its abuse of power began the panic of 1873, that it has ruined our mercantile marine, and has caused the loss of our shipping, and has caused the loss of our trade and to corrupt elections." Samuel J. Tilden pursued

THE CORRUPTION OF NEW-YORK STATE AND PUNISHED THE MEN WHO BROKE OFFICE. THE PEOPLE TRIUMPHED AND THE SCANDAL WAS EXPOSED.

AN OLD LADY'S GENEROSITY.

PRESENTING HALF A MILLION DOLLARS TO HER BUSINESS MANAGER.

BOSTON, Oct. 1.—An interesting case is on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett exercised an undue influence over her, and induced her to make an arrangement which largely benefited Mr. Bidgett, to the exclusion of those rightful heirs. The case is now on trial in the Supreme Court, in which about a million of dollars is involved. The case involves the integrity of Warren K. Bidgett, whose social standing in Boston is exalted by none. The contestants are the heirs-at-law of Mrs. Abigail W. Armstrong, who claim that Mr. Bidgett