

respectfully deny that it has been guilty of "blind confidence." It cannot admit the truth of the suggestion that it ignored the question...

COST OF THE PROPOSED LINE.

The Appellate-Division has assumed that the cost was estimated at about \$50,000,000. But the cost, as estimated, was less than \$5,000,000, including more than \$3,000,000 of interest.

A GAS COMPANY'S BIG SCHEME HEARING BEFORE A COMMITTEE OF ALDERMEN.

The Aldermanic Committee on Lamps and Gas gave a public hearing yesterday on the application made to the Board of Aldermen by the Consumers' Gas and Power Company, of this city.

THE BOARD DEFERS TO THE COURT.

The Board defers to the opinion of the Court as to the debt limit of the city. But the Board must not be understood to point out that before the question was referred to the public, in November, 1894, this question was carefully considered.

NO EFFECT ON REAL ESTATE.

Real estate men are still talking about the effect of the decision of the Appellate Division of the Supreme Court, in the rapid transit matter, upon the values of property in this city.

A GREAT NIGHT FOR THE "ONLY ONES."

Several square yards of canvas were last night added to the already large gallery of paintings of illustrious men in Union Republic Club of the 15th Assembly District.

SUPERINTENDENT SMITH'S ANSWER.

HE DENIES ALL THE CHARGES MADE AGAINST HIM—THE FIRE DEPARTMENT PARADE.

FINED FOR PRAYING IN THE STREETS.

Captain James Fairbrother, the commandant of the Salvation Army Corps at Perth Amboy, N. J., is a prisoner in the County Jail at Richmond, Staten Island, held in default of a \$10 fine.

TO WEEKLY TRIBUNE ADVERTISERS.

It is necessary for advertisers to have their copy in the office for the Weekly Tribune before Tuesday noon.

Dr. Lyon's Perfect Toilet Powder. AN ELEGANT TOILET LUXURY. Used by people of refinement for over a quarter of a century.

SUGAR BOUNTY MUST BE PAID

THE SUPREME COURT OVERRULES CONTROLLER BOWLER.

A MORAL AND HONORABLE CLAIM ON THE PUBLIC TREASURY, WHICH CONGRESS WAS ENTIRELY COMPETENT TO ORDER PAID.

Washington, May 25.—The bounding and irrepressible Bowler, Robert B., of Cincinnati, and the Supreme Court of the United States have at last come into collision, and to an unprejudiced observer it looks as if the ambition of the Napoleonic Controller of the Treasury to establish himself as a fourth branch of the Federal Government had received a fatal shock.

Mr. Bowler's vaulting pretensions to recognition as a "fourth wheel" in the Federal scheme of Government received scant courtesy from the court, whose functions he had rashly usurped, and though the opinion handed down to-day could not deal directly with his assumption of authority to determine the constitutionality or non-constitutionality of laws passed by Congress, it was evident from the tenor of the court's views that the Controller's performances of last summer need only be brought before the Supreme Bench directly to meet with a reversal as decisive as to-day's.

The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana. In both cases those of the Realty Company and Andrew H. Gay—the Court below gave judgment for the plaintiffs. The litigation arises out of the bounty clauses of the McKinley act, repealed by the Wilson law, and the Sundry Civil act of March 2, 1895, which carried an appropriation of \$5,000,000 with which to pay sugar bounties on cane sugar made up to June 30, 1895, and \$2,362,239 for beet sugar produced previous to August 25, 1894.

The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana. In both cases those of the Realty Company and Andrew H. Gay—the Court below gave judgment for the plaintiffs.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

Mr. Bowler thought the law unconstitutional. The cases came before the Supreme Court on writs of error to the Circuit Court of the United States for the Eastern District of Louisiana.

W & S. SLOANE Extraordinary Special Sale OF AXMINSTER AND VELVET CARPETS AT 90¢ per yard.

The greatest value for the money we have ever offered. Large variety of excellent designs and colorings. Goods purchased now can be held for delivery in the early Fall.

Sale Terminates May 29th. BROADWAY, 18TH AND 19TH STS.

The Government as valid, and which they were at all times executing.

A LEGAL AND VALID DEBT. We are of the opinion that the parties, situated as were the plaintiffs in these actions, acquired claims upon the Government of an equitable, moral or honorary nature. It is true that in general an unconstitutional act of Congress is the same as if there were no act.

UPON THE GENERAL PRINCIPLE, therefore, that the Government of the United States, through Congress, has the right to pay the debts of the United States, which, while they were not of a legal character, were nevertheless of so meritorious and equitable a nature as to authorize the Nation, through its Congress, to appropriate money to pay them.

THE EFFECT OF THE DECISION, will make immediately available for the payment of sugar bounties more than \$5,250,000. For the payment of the cane, beet and sorghum sugar claims Congress appropriated \$5,000,000. These claims amount to \$6,111,565, and are classified as follows, cents omitted:

Table with 3 columns: District, Claims, Amount. Louisiana 473 281,282.34; Texas 11 122,812.42; California 1 203,283.00; Nebraska 1 45,282.00; Utah 1 1,252.00; Total 692 \$6,111,565.

All these claims have been approved by the Commissioner of Internal Revenue, and as the total amount allowed is larger than the appropriation, the claims will have to be prorated or an additional appropriation made to meet them.

THE STATES MUST PAY AN INHERITANCE TAX TO NEW-YORK STATE—THE PRESIDENT AND THE RIVER BILL.

Washington, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON NOTES. A FORMER DISBURSING CLERK OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT ARRESTED.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

WASHINGTON, May 25.—Francis J. Kieckhefer, formerly disbursing clerk of the Department of State, was arrested and locked up in a police station yesterday on three warrants sworn out by Chief Clerk Renick of that Department, charging him with the embezzlement of \$100,000 of the State treasury.

THE DEFICIENCY BILL PASSED

IT LEAVES THE SENATE CARRYING DOUBLE ITS ORIGINAL AMOUNT.

THE LAST OF THE GENERAL APPROPRIATION BILLS—SOME OF THE ITEMS ADDED TO THE HOUSE PROVISIONS.

Washington, May 25.—The last of the general Appropriation bills (the Deficiency bill) engrossed the attention of the Senate to-day. As reported from the Appropriation Committee, it covered items aggregating about \$8,000,000; about twice the amount that it carried when it came over from the House.

At first there appeared to be a purpose on the part of Mr. Brewster (R., C.) to antagonize it with his own bill—prohibiting the issue of United States bonds without the consent of Congress—but he yielded to the appeal of Mr. Hale (Rep., Me.) and allowed the Deficiency bill to be disposed of, and of \$174,445 for the Chouteau claim, in building an iron steam battery in 1894, so as to leave the way clear for the consideration of the bond bill.

Several propositions, requiring unanimous consent, were made to have a day and hour for taking the vote on the bill, and all amendments thereto, but were determined objection was made by Mr. Palmer (Dem., Ill.), who declared that no unanimous consent could be obtained to taking the vote on that bill.

After having loaded the Deficiency bill pretty heavily with private claims, the Senate passed it and adjourned until to-morrow.

Among the committee amendments reported and agreed to were the following: To pay \$750 to the widow of the late United States Minister to Mexico, Isaac P. Gray; appropriating \$5,517 for all outstanding claims against the World's Columbian Commission; appropriating \$5,000 for the leasing of suitable buildings and equipment for the sampling and assaying of imported silver ores and other ores containing lead; appropriating \$250 to compensate the owners of the Norwegian steamer Peter Jensen for damages from collision with the United States steamer Rush in San Francisco Bay, December 1, 1895; appropriating \$124,533 to pay transportation claims against the Southern Pacific Company; appropriating \$150,880 for three deaths and for the injuries of Government employes at the Ford's Theatre disaster in January, 1895; appropriating \$10,000 for additional bills for the Philadelphia Postoffice; appropriating \$125 for payment for interior finish of courthouses and postoffices at Denver; appropriating \$125,000 for strengthening the floors of the New-York City Jail; appropriating \$2,000 for the purchase of Appraiser's Warehouse; appropriating \$3,000 for cavalry and artillery horses; appropriating \$3,000 for suitcases for the Treasury; appropriating \$10,000 for the National Cemetery, in Pulaski County, Ill.; appropriating \$10,000 for pay of the Navy in 1894; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1894 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1895 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1896 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1897 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1898 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1899 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1900 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1901 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1902 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1903 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1904 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1905 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1906 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1907 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1908 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1909 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1910 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1911 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1912 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1913 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1914 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1915 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1916 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1917 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1918 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1919 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1920 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1921 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1922 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1923 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1924 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1925 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1926 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1927 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1928 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1929 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1930 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1931 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1932 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1933 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1934 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1935 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1936 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1937 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1938 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1939 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1940 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1941 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1942 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1943 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1944 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1945 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1946 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1947 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1948 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1949 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1950 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1951 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1952 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1953 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1954 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1955 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1956 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1957 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1958 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1959 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1960 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1961 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1962 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1963 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1964 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1965 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1966 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1967 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1968 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1969 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1970 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1971 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1972 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1973 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1974 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1975 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1976 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1977 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1978 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1979 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1980 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1981 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1982 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1983 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1984 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1985 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1986 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1987 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1988 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1989 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1990 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1991 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1992 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1993 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1994 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1995 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1996 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1997 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1998 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 1999 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2000 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2001 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2002 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2003 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2004 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2005 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2006 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2007 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2008 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2009 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2010 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2011 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2012 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2013 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2014 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2015 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2016 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2017 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2018 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2019 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2020 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2021 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2022 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2023 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2024 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2025 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2026 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2027 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2028 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2029 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2030 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2031 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2032 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2033 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2034 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2035 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2036 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2037 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2038 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2039 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2040 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2041 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2042 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2043 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2044 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2045 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2046 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2047 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2048 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2049 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2050 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2051 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2052 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2053 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2054 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2055 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2056 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2057 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2058 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2059 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2060 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2061 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2062 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2063 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2064 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2065 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2066 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2067 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2068 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2069 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2070 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2071 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2072 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2073 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2074 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2075 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2076 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2077 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2078 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2079 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2080 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2081 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2082 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2083 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2084 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2085 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2086 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2087 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2088 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2089 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2090 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2091 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2092 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2093 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2094 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2095 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2096 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2097 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2098 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2099 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2100 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2101 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2102 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2103 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2104 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2105 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2106 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2107 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2108 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2109 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2110 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2111 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2112 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2113 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2114 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2115 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2116 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2117 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2118 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2119 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2120 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2121 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2122 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2123 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2124 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2125 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2126 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2127 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2128 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2129 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2130 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2131 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2132 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2133 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2134 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2135 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2136 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2137 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2138 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2139 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2140 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2141 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2142 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2143 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2144 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2145 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2146 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2147 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2148 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2149 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2150 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2151 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2152 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2153 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2154 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2155 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2156 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2157 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2158 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2159 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2160 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2161 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2162 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2163 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2164 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2165 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2166 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2167 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2168 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2169 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2170 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2171 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2172 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2173 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2174 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2175 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2176 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2177 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2178 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2179 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2180 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2181 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2182 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2183 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2184 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2185 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2186 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2187 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2188 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2189 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2190 by \$25,000; increasing the appropriation for the Navy in 2191 by \$25,