

STRIKERS SHOW WEAKNESS

PROSPECT OF RESUMPTION OF WORK AT SEVERAL COLLIERIES—BELIEF THAT MEN WILL RETURN.

[BY TELEGRAPH TO THE TRIBUNE.] Wilkesbarre, Penn., Aug. 22.—Although President Mitchell stated yesterday in a telegram to headquarters...

Noticeable weakness in the ranks of the strikers has caused the operators to believe that the end of the strike is not far distant...

The Philadelphia and Reading, in the Schuylkill region, which so far has operated only its Brookside colliery, is now endeavoring to start several others...

At other mines which have already been cleared small gangs of men are quietly cutting coal, and it is being stored near the foot of the shaft...

MINE WORKERS' STATEMENT. Indianapolis, Aug. 22.—The United Mine Workers' Journal to-day contains the following estimate of the strike situation:

Anthracite miners solid and unyielding. Prepared for a long siege. West Virginia practically unchanged. Strikers quiet and confident.

PRICE RISES IN CHICAGO AND OMAHA. Chicago, Aug. 22.—Anthracite coal advanced to \$9 a ton here to-day. This is a jump of \$1 a ton since Wednesday...

"THE SOFT COAL EYE." MANY CASES OF INFLAMMATION CAUSED BY THE SOOT IN THE AIR.

The duration of the coal strike has a new factor to its discredit in the prevalence of "the soft coal eye"...

WORKERS ATTACKED AT LANSFORD. TROOPS IN READINESS AND THEIR TENTS SENT ON FROM SHENANDOAH.

Lansford, Penn., Aug. 22.—Attacks upon machinists and upon a number of McAdoo strikers occurred here to-night...

There has been growing excitement since the funeral of the strike leader, Patrick Sharpe, yesterday, and it was increased by the inquest, which to-day was held in Nesquehoning...

WANT SOFT COAL STRIKE CALLED OFF. A representative of a firm of soft coal operators whose offices are in lower Broadway said yesterday that an important conference of soft coal operators would be held in Richmond, Va., to-day...

"JEFFERSON M. LEVY SEEN A VISION." Ex-Congressman Jefferson M. Levy returned from a trip to Europe on the steamer Lucania yesterday and upon landing expressed his views on the prospects of the Democratic party in the next campaign...

MR. MORGAN AND THE STRIKE

NO ACTION YET TAKEN BY HIM, BUT HE MIGHT STEP IN LATER.

J. Pierpont Morgan was seen yesterday afternoon by a Tribune reporter in his office in reference to the strike of the anthracite miners...

"What action could I take?" he said, "or why is it necessary for me to take any action? I am not the president of the coal companies."

"Is there any chance of arbitrating the strike?" "I don't know anything about it," he replied. "It was rumored," said the reporter, "that Bishop Potter, as the Civic Federation representative, would try to see you, with the object of settling the strike, and that Mr. Mitchell was coming here with the same object."

In reply to a direct question as to whether or not he would interfere in the strike, Mr. Morgan said that he would not talk on the subject until he had been consulted by the operators...

At the headquarters of the National Civic Federation, in the Church Missions House, Twenty-second-st. and Fourth-ave., no information had been received yesterday regarding the expected coming of Mr. Mitchell for the purpose of conferring with J. Pierpont Morgan...

At the Philadelphia and Reading, in the Schuylkill region, which so far has operated only its Brookside colliery, is now endeavoring to start several others...

At other mines which have already been cleared small gangs of men are quietly cutting coal, and it is being stored near the foot of the shaft...

MINE WORKERS' STATEMENT. Indianapolis, Aug. 22.—The United Mine Workers' Journal to-day contains the following estimate of the strike situation:

Anthracite miners solid and unyielding. Prepared for a long siege. West Virginia practically unchanged. Strikers quiet and confident.

PRICE RISES IN CHICAGO AND OMAHA. Chicago, Aug. 22.—Anthracite coal advanced to \$9 a ton here to-day. This is a jump of \$1 a ton since Wednesday...

"THE SOFT COAL EYE." MANY CASES OF INFLAMMATION CAUSED BY THE SOOT IN THE AIR.

The duration of the coal strike has a new factor to its discredit in the prevalence of "the soft coal eye"...

WORKERS ATTACKED AT LANSFORD. TROOPS IN READINESS AND THEIR TENTS SENT ON FROM SHENANDOAH.

Lansford, Penn., Aug. 22.—Attacks upon machinists and upon a number of McAdoo strikers occurred here to-night...

There has been growing excitement since the funeral of the strike leader, Patrick Sharpe, yesterday, and it was increased by the inquest, which to-day was held in Nesquehoning...

LOWANS PROTECTIONISTS.

THEY BELIEVE IN ROOSEVELT. AND TRUST HIM TO EFFECT PROPER LEGISLATION.

[BY TELEGRAPH TO THE TRIBUNE.] Washington, Aug. 22.—The Secretary of Agriculture returned to Washington to-day from the West. When he was asked to define the tariff plank adopted by the Republicans of Iowa, Secretary Wilson said:

It means that the people want a revision of those schedules that were adopted to protect industries which no longer need such protection. That is what they expect of the Republican party. They are particularly anxious to see modification of the duties that affect the trusts.

Speaking of the President, Secretary Wilson said that the people were enthusiastic in their approval of his administration, and many Democrats expressed regret that he was not the leader of their party. He continued:

"They regard his course in appealing to the courts to resolve the agricultural and many of the other questions that are before the country as a failure. They have every confidence that the existing law will be thoroughly tested; that, if it proves defective, the President will advise Congress to take the necessary action."

LEGISLATION FOR GUAM.

NAVY DEPARTMENT WILL RECOMMEND ACTION BY CONGRESS TO CLEAR UP SITUATION.

Washington, Aug. 22.—The Navy Department will recommend that Congress enact some legislation which will clear up the anomalous situation which exists on the island of Guam...

It is possible that a way out of the difficulty may be found in the provisions of the act which extends the federal statutes over the guano islands, and gives to the United States court into whose jurisdiction an offender from those islands is first brought jurisdiction over his case. This statute was tested in the Supreme Court and held to be constitutional.

VENEZUELA QUIETING.

MINISTER BOWEN CAN SPARE TWO WARSHIPS FROM CARACAS.

Washington, Aug. 22.—The State Department is in receipt of a cable message from Minister Bowen, at Caracas, saying that he could spare two of the war vessels now in Venezuelan waters. This information is taken to mean that the situation in Venezuela has improved so far that little danger now threatens American interests.

On receipt of the information contained in Minister Bowen's dispatch, the Navy Department immediately ordered the Topaka and Cincinnati north. The latter vessel will stop at Cape Haytien, and if, in the opinion of our State Department representative there, the presence of an American warship is desirable, will proceed to that port.

COLORADO BISHOP ROSE WITH KING.

DR. DERRICK SHARED A COMPARTMENT WITH THE RULER OF GREECE.

Bishop Derrick, one of the most prominent colored clergymen in this country, when he landed from the steamer Lucania last night told of an incident which occurred while he was traveling in Europe. He got a good deal of satisfaction out of it and seemed to enjoy telling about it.

PROMINENT ARRIVALS AT THE HOTELS.

ALBEMARLE—Captain J. B. Erwin, U. S. A., and G. W. Delamater, of Pittsburg. ASTOR—Professor L. W. Gill, of Kingston, Ont. CAMBRIDGE—Baron von Schwernin, of Germany. FIFTH AVE.—NIE-Senator W. S. Seward, of West Virginia. GILSEY—Captain K. T. Gardner, U. S. A. GRAND

All Wool, A Yard Wide, No Shoddy.

REMARKABLE GAINS. The circulation of the New-York Tribune shows a gain of over 14% Daily and more than 11% Sunday for July, 1902, as compared with the same month in 1901, and it is still growing.

CLAIM YACHT KANAWHA.

CUBAN PAPER SAYS IT BELONGS TO THE NEW REPUBLIC.

[BY TELEGRAPH TO THE TRIBUNE.] Washington, Aug. 22.—The army yacht Kanawha, which has been placed at the disposal of Major General MacArthur, commanding the Department of the East, for use during the joint maneuvers, has just been made the cause of a sensational attack on General Leonard Wood in Havana, "El Mundo," a personal newspaper organ of General Maximo Gomez, gives prominence to its editorial page to an article charging the late military governor of Cuba with having carried off one of the steam yachts bought and paid for from the treasury of the island of Cuba.

The article charges the late military governor of Cuba with having carried off one of the steam yachts bought and paid for from the treasury of the island of Cuba. The "Mundo's" article states that the boat "appropriated by the retiring military governor for his private use, and sent beyond the waters of the island before the change of flag, on May 20," cost \$100,000, and was paid for in installments drawn under three separate checks of \$50,000, \$30,000 and \$20,000 presented at the Cuban Treasury and cashed when General Alexander Rodriguez, now chief of the Guardia Rural, was Treasurer of the island.

It urges the Cuban Minister at Washington to insist upon the return of the vessel to the Cuban Government as the property of the new republic. The Editor of the "Mundo," Señor J. M. Govin, who is a member of the Cuban House of Deputies for Havana Province, has presented resolutions to that body demanding an inquiry as to this matter by the executive branch of the government of Cuba.

A letter from Havana says: "The yacht in question is understood to be the Kanawha, which, although acquired for the Cuban customs service under the administration of General Wood, was generally used by the Governor's yacht by General Wood, Captain William E. Lewis, of Cuban filibuster fame, who took the boat north for repairs, afterward returning to Havana to be interviewed upon the subject, but he no longer appears upon the Cuban payrolls, having been informed that his position has been abolished upon the change of flag."

More misleadingly misleading and absolutely false statements than these have never appeared in connection with the military administration in Cuba. The facts are, unfortunately, well known in Washington, having been spread upon the official Congressional documents, some of which have been publicly for three years. The Kanawha was chartered by the United States navy in March, 1898, for \$50,000 and \$30,000 respectively. After the war they were transferred to the quartermaster's department of the army, to be used as revenue cutters in Cuban waters. The army paid the navy for them by a transfer of credits on the \$50,000,000 war emergency fund at the original cost. By an order of the Secretary of War, the supplies for the Kanawha and vessels were loaned to Colonel Tasker H. Bliss, Collector of Cuban Customs, the ownership of the vessels to remain in the quartermaster's department, but the revenue cutters in Cuban waters, to be paid by the insular government. The two vessels were at New-York at that time. They were repaired at a cost of \$2,000,000, and were sent to Havana, where they reached Havana and Colonel Bliss took charge of them and put them at work watching for smugglers. On February 27 he notified either the Insular Government or the United States army quartermaster, to take back the boats, as they were too expensive for the work. By that time bills amounting to \$1,000,000 had accumulated on account of the crew, the crew, stores, etc., for two months. The insular government has not paid these bills, except that for the crew, to this day. No insular funds ever went into either of the Kanawha or the other vessel. They were thrown back on the hands of the army quartermaster, the Secretary of War left them at the disposal of General Wood as commander of the forces in Cuba, and he, properly, brought them away with him.

LIFE OF PERFECT LOVE.

THE REV. MR. MEYER AT NORTHFIELD POST-CONFERENCE.

[BY TELEGRAPH TO THE TRIBUNE.] East Northfield, Mass., Aug. 22.—A larger audience than usual met the Rev. E. B. Meyer this morning to hear his fourth lecture of the post-conference, entitled "The Life of Perfect Love," from the text, Matthew V, 21. He spoke as follows:

The Christian of this new dispensation must be as careful of an ounce of that love which is the life of the "old time" were careful not to commit murder or to violate any of the commands of the law. There are three offerings that must be laid on the altar every day. First, the sacrifice of praise; second, the sacrifice of good; third, that of alms and gifts. Acts IV, 16, "And herein do I exercise myself to have always a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which is a bad thing not only for the possessor of it, but also for the minister of the gospel. May I wear this? or may I do that? I remember comparatively few men who have had a conscience void of offence toward God and toward men. There is a scrupulous conscience which