

IMPROVED METHOD OF TUNNEL BUILDING.

THE MORE TUBES NEW-YORKERS BUILD, THE MORE EXPERTS FIND OUT ABOUT THEM.

Duncan M. McBean, whose novel method of carrying the subway beneath the Harlem River has attracted much attention recently, talked freely to a Tribune reporter about his plans, going into the minutest details concerning them. In the course of the conversation, Mr. McBean made this startling announcement:

"I can start to build a tunnel under the East River or under the North River by my method five years after work was begun on the first, and after it shall have been begun by the Pennsylvania Railroad on the second, and finish my tunnel before the other is completed. Besides, by my method, I can provide a system of outside drainage for a tunnel impossible in the shield method of construction, that would go far toward preventing possible accidents, and I could supply a foundation for more secure than any contemplated in the plans for any of the tunnels now under construction or soon to be begun, and I could insure perfectly true grades and perfectly aligned walls where they cannot be secured by any of the older methods. I could raise the level of the East River tunnel thirty-five feet above that contemplated in the present plans, eliminating a 33-30 per cent grade from pier line to pier line and making the length of the lowest level so great that a possible disaster from a comparatively small quantity of water settling to the low point, as the present plans fix the grade, could not happen. The elimination of this grade alone would save annually in the cost of the operation of the tunnel railroad 3 1/2 per cent on a permanent investment of \$4,500,000. My plan would allow me to start at the pier line grade of the East River tunnel, as now planned, and carry it across the river on a level, whereas the present plans contemplate a grade from each pier line to the centre of the stream of 33-10 feet in every hundred."

It is apparent by the accompanying diagram that a quantity of water that would entirely fill the 15 foot 6 inch tunnel for a train length at the centre would, if distributed over the distance from pier line to pier line, fill only about one-tenth of its space, affording a possibility of escape to those on a train running into such an accumulation, by Mr. McBean's plan, provided the electric conductors are above the level of such a flood, whereas by the present plan the same quantity of water would fall to the low point at the centre and cause certain disaster. Mr. McBean's plan, also, he asserts, would allow the drawing off of such an accidental flood through outside drains, impossible to be built in a tunnel constructed by the older methods. Mr. McBean also asserts that, in addition to the saving and safety resulting from this change of grade, and the gain in time of construction, the cost of building the tunnels would be greatly reduced by his plan.

In building the west half of the tunnel under the Harlem Mr. McBean departed from all previous tunneling methods under water. Briefly put in plain English, he dredged his tunnel and walled it with heavy timbers under water, and then having cut off the top of the wall evenly to grade, let down upon it a deep roof of sufficient strength to resist the weight of the water above, pumped out the water within the chamber thus formed, and let in air at a pressure just sufficient to counterbalance the water pressure, as is required in all subaqueous workings, laid his concrete foundations and built the permanent tubes of cast steel and encased them in concrete, as the specifications require.

In building the east half the same plan will be pursued, except that the expensive temporary roof of timber will be dispensed with, and the upper halves of the permanent cast steel tubes, with their concrete casings, will be substituted for it. These half tubes will be built on pontoons, the concrete casings will be added, they will be floated into place over the dredged and timber sheathed channel and sunk upon these walls, so that flanges at their edges rest on steel covered timber ends. These flanges will be bolted into place by divers, and the naturally compressed air in the sunken arches will form a working chamber for cleaning out the lower half of the ditch, for laying the concrete foundations and for casing and bolting into place the remaining segments to complete the tubes. As the depth of the working is increased additional air pressure to keep out the water and mud will have to be supplied by compressors, but the original working chamber will be the air space within the arches of steel when they are sunken, less the slight encroachment of water at the bottom, due to compression as the arches are weighted down.

Several knotty problems presented themselves in making a practical application of the plan. Air gas under pressure is prone to shift its position freely as a result of the slightest change in equilibrium of the mass. One who has tried to sink an inverted basin in water knows how difficult it is to prevent a tilting of the vessel, which will allow the air to escape at one side. The longitudinal partition between the two half tubes will serve as a bulkhead to keep equal quantities of air on each side, but to prevent a possible endwise tilt, a transverse bulkhead will also be built into each arch—perhaps more than one. If deemed necessary also, the temporary sinking weights can be hung beneath the longitudinal partition, throwing the centre of gravity below the base of the double arch, and thus keeping the sinking roof on an even keel. The partition itself might be built below the keel. The keel of the arch for that purpose, and add that much to the work of construction above water, but gaps corresponding to the transverse walls and braces would have to be left.

The roof is to be built in three sections, the end next the east shore being placed in position first. This will be 84 feet long, and has an even grade throughout the entire length. The remainder of the 396 feet, which will have a vertically curved gradient, will be built in two equal parts. These three sections must be joined under water after they are sunk, and the manner of joining them is interesting. The end bulkhead of each section will be six feet back from the end of the wall of the bulkhead to keep equal quantities of air on each side. The partition itself might be built below the keel. The keel of the arch for that purpose, and add that much to the work of construction above water, but gaps corresponding to the transverse walls and braces would have to be left.

Mr. McBean says that by his method tunnels for street crossings under the Harlem and Spuyten Duyvil creeks can be constructed more quickly and cheaply than bridges.

MOUNTAIN OF SALT.

The Salt Mountains of Cardona, Spain.

The mountain possesses, however, one formidable foe, who slowly, but relentlessly, gnaws it from the inside. Scattered about in the mountain are

tiny openings—"monkey holes," they are locally styled—and from out of each of these comes a rivulet, so tiny that it seems powerless to do harm. But little by little these subterranean springs drill their way through the entire thickness of the mountain, digging out long tunnels, into which the visitor may enter if he be so inclined. The guide accompanying him will begin by warning him that it is prudent for him to make his will ere doing so, since owing to the work of the destructive streams, continual salt slides occur in the narrow channels. Great blocks of salt are liable at any time to crash down upon the explorer, crushing him like a fly—the sound of one's voice, the weight of one's body on the soil being sufficient to detach them from the roof. Let the visitor, however, proceed a few steps further; from the ceiling depend stalactites of salt of immaculate whiteness, to all appearances chandeliers; the streamlet seems to flow along a crystal bed, and the drop of water hanging from the sharp point of each stalactite scintillates like a diamond by candlelight. Suddenly the guide pulls you back, calling your attention to a feeble sound akin to that emitted by a squeezed sponge; it is hardly perceptible to the ear, and yet it sounds a warning of an imminent salt slide, and it becomes imperative to retrace one's steps without having been able to penetrate further into the fairylike interior of the mountain.

The mountains of Cardona being private property, three or four gorgeously uniformed keepers are intrusted with the duty of seeing that the inhabitants of the surrounding country do not come and help themselves to salt. It is, however, an easy matter to take away a crystalline fragment

of the mountain. The percentage of money never redeemed and characterized as "unknown" currency is comparatively small, yet much more in the aggregate than most persons imagine.

In the United States Treasury is a cash reserve, or general trust fund, which is intended for the purpose of protecting the credit of the government and the people. In the event of a run on the Treasury, this reserve would be used for the redemption of paper currency. For each outstanding gold and silver certificate and United States Treasury note there is a like sum stored in the Treasury vaults or within command of the government. Any person holding one of these notes may present it at a government depository and receive its equivalent in coin. According to the latest monthly statement of the Treasurer of the United States there was on November 2 last \$43,183,853 in gold certificates and \$463,771,609 in silver certificates outstanding, the greatest sum in the history of the nation. Like amounts of gold coin and silver dollars were held for the redemption of these.

On the same day the amount of outstanding paper currency in the form of United States notes was \$316,681,016. Since 1875 this amount has been at the same level, because made permanent by law. For some years after the Civil War this was styled fiat money. There was no reserve other than the credit and good word of the government to "make it good" to the people. During the first Cleveland administration \$150,000,000 of gold coin and bullion was set

aside as a reserve fund to protect these notes. But the same principles applicable to other paper currency are true of the United States notes which are lost or destroyed in like manner.

National bank notes are also redeemable. The act of Congress approved June 30, 1874, relating to the provision in the National Bank law requiring banks to keep a reserve against their circulating notes for redemption purposes. In lieu they were required to maintain in the Treasury of the United States a 5 per cent fund of the lawful money for the redemption of their notes, and were allowed to count this fund as a part of their lawful reserve against deposits. Any gain from failure to present national bank notes for redemption inures to the government. The November 1 statement of the United States Treasurer shows that there is \$413,610,638 now outstanding in national bank notes, the greatest amount at any time in the history of the nation.

The total amount of outstanding paper currency, through the destruction of any fraction of which the government becomes a beneficiary, is \$1,686,135,258, divided as follows: United States notes, \$246,681,016; Treasury notes of 1890, \$10,874,000; national bank notes, \$413,610,638; gold certificates, \$432,198,363; silver certificates, \$463,771,609, total, \$1,686,135,258.

Expert financiers estimate that about 2 per cent of each issue of government currency is destroyed and never redeemed in each decade. The percentage of national bank currency never redeemed is theoretically a little less. Two per cent of the total amount of outstanding paper would be \$33,722,712 3/4, the maximum gain of the government through shrinkage of paper currency. Basing this hypothesis on the most conservative basis possible—one-half of 1 per cent in a quarter of a century—the minimum gain of the government would be \$8,430,677 84—the smallest possible sum which should be in the vaults of the Treasury at the present time—a paradoxical amount, which represents a clear gain that may never be used unless the currency laws are changed, but is simply that much retired from the nation's available money, as if in a mischievous hoax.

Successive Controllers of the Currency have tried to approximate the size of this fund, but have failed. There seems to be no way in which this may be determined. There is no method of absolutely ascertaining how much money is destroyed, because no one knows when Maggie is going to break the fire in the parlor stove, where Mrs. Smallhead had hidden her savings. As far back as 1875 the then Controller began compilations which have been continued by his successors. The tables exhibit figures of forty national banks which have failed, fifteen of them prior to 1875, eight prior to 1873, and seven prior to 1871. The tables also show the amount of the failure to present such circulating notes for redemption shall inure to the benefit of the United States, and that the new circulating notes issued in the place of the old shall bear such devices as shall make them readily distinguishable from the old ones.

In the Controller's report for 1875 a table gives data obtained from a series of reports of the superintendents of the banks, showing the amount of notes which had been issued to State banks and the amount remaining at the expiration of the term, before they were by law relieved from the obligations to meet them. Returns were in this way obtained from 108 banks, either incorporated or organized under the

force the water out, and the keystone segment will be permanently bolted into place. The method for cutting off piling and sheathing to grade beneath water is interesting. On each side of the channel is built on piling a strong frame-work, called a working platform, and on this a railway is laid, conforming exactly to the grade. A vertical shaft, bearing a circular saw at its lower end, depends from an arm extending from a sawmill on a truck resting on these rails. The saw is exactly the number of feet below the track on the platform of the subaqueous grade to be formed, and, of course, cuts the piling and sheathing to grade evenly and smoothly as it moves along a row of the timbers already driven in place.

In addition to perfection of alignment and truth of grade, both of which Mr. McBean says are almost impossible in shield tunneling, he says that the wall of sheathing and floor supports of piling form a permanent and firm protection against washing, scouring and undermining, even in the upper stratum of the almost liquid silt which forms



"LITTLE" ITALY, AT THE FOOT OF THE CAPITOL IN WASHINGTON, D. C.

the river beds about New-York. The method, he says, permits the construction outside the cast steel tubing of a strong concrete foundation and wall, in which a drainage system may be created, and the elimination of deep dips beneath river beds to find a substance sufficiently firm to bear the weight of railway traffic or escape a too rapid traveling medium, relieving the work from the horrors and liability to accident from working in air greatly compressed. The extreme depth of ninety-four feet midway between pier lines in the East River tunnel, as now planned, Mr. McBean says, calls for about the limit of working pressure. Thirty-five feet above that depth, he says, where he would lay the tunnel, the working pressure would not be dangerous.

Mr. McBean has drawn plans for applying his system of construction to both the East River tunnel and the Pennsylvania Railroad tunnel, under the North River. A cross section of his plan for the East River tunnel and a cross section of the Rapid Transit Commission's plan are illustrated for this article. A comparison of the strength of the two constructions should not be difficult, even to laymen, and Mr. McBean asserts that he can save five years in construction, much of the original cost and 3 1/2 per cent annually in the operating expenses of the railroad on a capitalization of \$4,500,000.

Another advantage claimed by Mr. McBean for his method is that he can build tunnels for two or four track railroads in one channel, and at one operation, while shield tunneling under rivers requires separate tubes for the tracks far enough apart to obviate the possibility of injury to one tube by the excavation for the other.

Work on the roof of the 81-foot section of the Harlem River tunnel will be begun in about a month, and the other two sections will be built practically at the same time. All will be sunk before the final work of construction within either is begun.

In view of the fact that the plans for building the East River tunnel contemplate its completion in two years, Mr. McBean's statement that he can begin five years hence and finish his tunnel first is regarded as somewhat startling. The method in use by the contractors there is said to be the same as that employed in the old North River tunnel, work for twenty years, and one tube of which is not yet finished, although several corporations have been bankrupted by the undertaking.

Mr. McBean says that by his method tunnels for street crossings under the Harlem and Spuyten Duyvil creeks can be constructed more quickly and cheaply than bridges.

The clear profit up to date according to the national government through the various ways of destroying paper bills is estimated to amount to about \$25,000,000. This enormous sum is stored away in the vaults of the United States Treasury at Washington in the shape of gold and silver coin and bullion. When one mutilates paper money in such manner that it never can be presented for redemption, the government enjoys the useful consequences. When another demonstrates to a party of friends that he has "money to burn," by lighting a cigar with a folded dollar bill, Uncle Sam is the beneficiary of the foolish idea.

The amount can be figured approximately only, however. There is no way for Uncle Sam and his most expert financiers to estimate in certain set figures this paradoxical fund. But it is there, nevertheless, a silent factor in the shrinkage of national moneys, and cannot be touched because it is a part of the reserve or trust fund held for the redemption of the paper currency of the gov-

ernment. The percentage of money never redeemed and characterized as "unknown" currency is comparatively small, yet much more in the aggregate than most persons imagine.

In the United States Treasury is a cash reserve, or general trust fund, which is intended for the purpose of protecting the credit of the government and the people. In the event of a run on the Treasury, this reserve would be used for the redemption of paper currency. For each outstanding gold and silver certificate and United States Treasury note there is a like sum stored in the Treasury vaults or within command of the government. Any person holding one of these notes may present it at a government depository and receive its equivalent in coin. According to the latest monthly statement of the Treasurer of the United States there was on November 2 last \$43,183,853 in gold certificates and \$463,771,609 in silver certificates outstanding, the greatest sum in the history of the nation. Like amounts of gold coin and silver dollars were held for the redemption of these.

On the same day the amount of outstanding paper currency in the form of United States notes was \$316,681,016. Since 1875 this amount has been at the same level, because made permanent by law. For some years after the Civil War this was styled fiat money. There was no reserve other than the credit and good word of the government to "make it good" to the people. During the first Cleveland administration \$150,000,000 of gold coin and bullion was set

aside as a reserve fund to protect these notes. But the same principles applicable to other paper currency are true of the United States notes which are lost or destroyed in like manner.

National bank notes are also redeemable. The act of Congress approved June 30, 1874, relating to the provision in the National Bank law requiring banks to keep a reserve against their circulating notes for redemption purposes. In lieu they were required to maintain in the Treasury of the United States a 5 per cent fund of the lawful money for the redemption of their notes, and were allowed to count this fund as a part of their lawful reserve against deposits. Any gain from failure to present national bank notes for redemption inures to the government. The November 1 statement of the United States Treasurer shows that there is \$413,610,638 now outstanding in national bank notes, the greatest amount at any time in the history of the nation.

The total amount of outstanding paper currency, through the destruction of any fraction of which the government becomes a beneficiary, is \$1,686,135,258, divided as follows: United States notes, \$246,681,016; Treasury notes of 1890, \$10,874,000; national bank notes, \$413,610,638; gold certificates, \$432,198,363; silver certificates, \$463,771,609, total, \$1,686,135,258.

Expert financiers estimate that about 2 per cent of each issue of government currency is destroyed and never redeemed in each decade. The percentage of national bank currency never redeemed is theoretically a little less. Two per cent of the total amount of outstanding paper would be \$33,722,712 3/4, the maximum gain of the government through shrinkage of paper currency. Basing this hypothesis on the most conservative basis possible—one-half of 1 per cent in a quarter of a century—the minimum gain of the government would be \$8,430,677 84—the smallest possible sum which should be in the vaults of the Treasury at the present time—a paradoxical amount, which represents a clear gain that may never be used unless the currency laws are changed, but is simply that much retired from the nation's available money, as if in a mischievous hoax.

Successive Controllers of the Currency have tried to approximate the size of this fund, but have failed. There seems to be no way in which this may be determined. There is no method of absolutely ascertaining how much money is destroyed, because no one knows when Maggie is going to break the fire in the parlor stove, where Mrs. Smallhead had hidden her savings. As far back as 1875 the then Controller began compilations which have been continued by his successors. The tables exhibit figures of forty national banks which have failed, fifteen of them prior to 1875, eight prior to 1873, and seven prior to 1871. The tables also show the amount of the failure to present such circulating notes for redemption shall inure to the benefit of the United States, and that the new circulating notes issued in the place of the old shall bear such devices as shall make them readily distinguishable from the old ones.

In the Controller's report for 1875 a table gives data obtained from a series of reports of the superintendents of the banks, showing the amount of notes which had been issued to State banks and the amount remaining at the expiration of the term, before they were by law relieved from the obligations to meet them. Returns were in this way obtained from 108 banks, either incorporated or organized under the

percentage of unredeemed notes of twenty-five State banks of Ohio having a circulation of \$1,194,381 was 2.59. The greatest amount of circulation issued to 707 State banks in twelve States was \$14,671,544, the amount outstanding \$1,656,552, and the proportion unredeemed 24 per cent.

From these figures it may be seen that a maximum estimate of 1 per cent is not extravagant and that the minimum estimate of one-half of 1 per cent is extremely conservative.

The actual figures of the forty State banks which failed prior to 1876 and which were last officially computed, showed that 74 per cent was outstanding in that year. The various tables regarding these banks which have been recapitulated in the office of the Controller have been compiled from various sources into one comprehensive table, covering the whole period, showing the total circulation and the percentage of unredeemed notes at various periods, as follows:

Table with 5 columns: Year, Circulation, Percentage unredeemed, etc. Rows include 15 failed before 1870, 6 failed 1870-1875, 17 failed before 1870, 1870-1875, and 40 banks.

The fifteen banks which failed before 1870 were the First National Bank of Attica, N. Y.; Venango National of Franklin, Penn.; Merchants' National of Baltimore; First National of Medina, N. Y.; Tennessee National of Memphis; First National of Seima, Ala.; First National of New-Orleans; National Union of Trinidad, N. Y.; Farmers and Citizens National of Brooklyn; Croton National of New-York; First National of Boston; Conn.; First National of Keokuk, Iowa; National Bank of Vicksburg, Miss.; First National of Rockford, Ill.; First National of Astin, Wis.

The eight which failed prior to 1873 were the Ocean National of New-York; Union Square Na-

tional of New-York; Eighth National of New-York; First National of Waverly, N. Y.; First National of Fort Smith, Ark.; Scandinavian National of Chicago; and the Walkill National of Middletown, N. Y.

The seventeen which failed prior to 1875 were the Connecticut National of New-Haven; National of New-York; First National of Baltimore; National Bank of the Commonwealth, N. Y.; Merchants' National of New-York; First National of Petersburg, Va.; First National of Mansfield, Ohio; New-Orleans National Banking Association of New-Orleans, La.; First National of Anderson, Ind.; First National of Topkapia, N. Y.; First National of Norfolk, Va.; First National of Anderson, Ind.; First National of Gibson County National Bank of Princeton, Ind.; First National Bank of Utah, Salt Lake City; County National of Columbus, Ohio; National of Tiffin, Ohio, and Charlottesville National of Charlottesville, Va.

As near as may be determined, 113 persons were killed by lightning in the United States in 1903, and between 700 and 800 are probably killed each year. Most of the 1904 meridian thunderstorms occur all over the country, but west of it, except in the Rocky Mountains, the frequency of storms diminishes until on the Pacific Coast there are practically none. The greatest number of storms occurs in Florida, in the middle Mississippi Valley and in the middle of the Atlantic coast. The average number of storms in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 134 each. As to city and country, the more dense the population the smaller the ratio to the 100,000 of population. The average number of deaths in each in 1903 was 41, 33 and 20, respectively. The greatest number of deaths in any single State (1895-1900) was 185 in Pennsylvania. Ohio came next with 135, Indiana, Illinois and New-York having 1