

DR. CRAPSEY REPLIES. ACCEPTS THE CREED.

Rector Claims Right to Think for Himself, However.

Batavia, N. Y., April 27.—The interest in the heresy trial of the Rev. Dr. Algernon S. Crapsey, of Rochester, has centered in the final arguments, presented by each side. An unexpected feature today was the answer of Dr. Crapsey to the original presentation. This was read by Congressman Perkins, of counsel for the accused. It is the only time Dr. Crapsey has said anything in his own defense. The day was closed by an address for the defendant by Dr. Samuel McComb, assistant rector of Emmanuel Church, Boston.

The morning session to-day was given over to a short explanation of the position of the prosecution by Franklin D. Locke, an attorney of Buffalo, and to an address by Congressman Perkins for the defense. The discussion during the afternoon was primarily a theological one, being limited to the address by the Rev. Dr. Elwood Worcester, of Boston, for the defense; an address by the Rev. Francis J. Hall, of Chicago, for the prosecution; and the final address by Dr. McComb for Dr. Crapsey.

To-morrow the speakers will be Edward M. Shepard, for the defense, and John Lord O'Brien, for the prosecution. The attendance to-day was probably a historical one, being crowded. Among the women present was Mrs. Crapsey, the rector's wife, who appeared in court for the first time. She sat with her daughter just outside the rail. Dr. Crapsey, who during the afternoon session moved his seat to that portion of the room right in front of and near his wife and daughter, followed the proceedings with an interest greater than any evinced as far during the trial.

The case will be closed and go to the court to-morrow. After due consideration of the evidence, the documents submitted and the arguments advanced, the members of the court will decide on their verdict and communicate it to Bishop Walker of the diocese of Western New York. The Bishop will announce the verdict of the court and the punishment to be imposed if Dr. Crapsey is found guilty.

There may be a majority report and a minority report, or each member of the court may render a verdict of his own if he choose. In that event the Bishop would announce the final verdict in the case. If Dr. Crapsey is found guilty, the Bishop may modify, but he cannot increase, the punishment imposed by the court. All of the papers will be made public with the Bishop's final report. Dr. Crapsey's statement follows:

I am not charged with the violation of any of the great moral duties which every man owes to his fellow men. The accusation is that in the exercise of my office as a preacher I have violated the law of God. I have spoken words which it is unlawful for me to utter. These words are found in certain sermons which I have preached in the church in the course of my duty as a preacher to my congregation. It will, I am sure, all of you, who are present here to-day, bear witness to the fact that the purpose and origin of these lectures were to enlighten the minds of the people, and to show them the way to the kingdom of God. They were not delivered with any intention of offending any person, or of causing any disturbance in the church. My intention was simply to exert my powers to the utmost for the instruction and edification of the people, and to show them the way to the kingdom of God.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Those limitations are expressed in certain answers which I made to the questions put to me by the court. The first of these limitations was that I should base my teaching upon the Holy Scriptures, and that I should not teach anything which would lead to a denial of the Christian faith. I am far from saying that the Church had no right to place any limit whatever upon the kind of teaching which should be given in its churches, or to limit the freedom of the pulpit.

Baking Powder. Is easy to use and makes good things quickly. ROYAL BAKING POWDER CO., NEW YORK.

Crouch & Fitzgerald. Handsomest, Lightest, Best Luggage. 161 Broadway, 623 Broadway, 723 Sixth Avenue. Wardrobe Trunks.

Amusements. Benefit for the San Francisco Sufferers TO-MORROW at 8 P. M. CASINO THEATRE.

Hippodrome. A Society Circus. The Plunging Horses. SUNDAY NIGHT 8 SHARP. BENEFIT OF SAN FRANCISCO SUFFERERS.

Proposals. DEPARTMENT OF WATER SUPPLY, GAS AND ELECTRICITY. Room 1504, No. 15 to 21 Park Row.

CLAIMS THE RIGHT TO THINK. I have the right to think, and my generation has the right to think, in terms most apt to make these things clear to the mind of the people.

PETITION IN BANKRUPTCY. The following petition in bankruptcy was filed yesterday with the Clerk of the United States District Court: Nora A. Smith, voluntary petitioned, No. 472 West 14th street, showing liabilities of \$67,566 and assets of \$10.

CLOSES TO-NIGHT. MADISON SQUARE GARDEN. TENTH ANNUAL MILITARY TOURNAMENT. Management Military Athletic League.

MADISON SQ. THEATRE, 24th St. Evgs. 8:30. Last Mat. Mrs. TEMPLE'S TELEGRAM. TO-DAY.

BLANCHE BATES in THE GIRL OF THE GOLDEN WEST. Special Matinee. The Girl of the Golden West.

NEW AMSTERDAM. THE SOUSA CAWTHORN LANCE OPERA CO. with JOSEPH FLORENCE ROBERTS.

Liberty Theatre. FLORENCE ROBERTS. THE STRENGTH OF THE WEAK. BROADWAY THEATRE, Broadway and 41st St. Evgs. 8:15.

Manhattan Theatre. CHARLEY'S AUNT. With ETIENNE GIRARDOT. Wallack's, Last Night, Last Matinee To-day.

Irving Place Theatre. WILTON LACKAY. P. T. B. Prices, 25, 50, 75c. Mat. Wed. and To-day, 2 Evgs. 8:15.

Foreign Ports. Liverpool, April 26—Arrived, steamer Irishman (Br), Evans.

CASINO THEATRE. Benefit for the San Francisco Sufferers TO-MORROW at 8 P. M. CASINO THEATRE.

MAJESTIC. MARGARET ANGLIN in ZIRA. PRINCESS BROWN OF HARVARD. LYRIC DANCING.

First German Music Festival. For the Benefit of San Francisco Relief Fund, Grand Opera House, Friday, April 28, at 8:15.

Hammerstein's. JOE WEBER'S MUSIC HALL. TWIDDLE-TWIDDLE AND SQUAW MAN'S GIRL OF THE GOLDEN WEST.

Colonial. MARGARET WYCHERLY & CO. Ye Colonial Setpiece, Merlan's Pantomime Dogs.

What's the Matter with Butler Saw? GARRICK. Last Night, To-night, Butler Saw?

Manhattan Theatre. CHARLEY'S AUNT. With ETIENNE GIRARDOT. Wallack's, Last Night, Last Matinee To-day.

Irving Place Theatre. WILTON LACKAY. P. T. B. Prices, 25, 50, 75c. Mat. Wed. and To-day, 2 Evgs. 8:15.

Foreign Ports. Liverpool, April 26—Arrived, steamer Irishman (Br), Evans.

Amusements. EMPIRE THEATRE, Broadway and 40th St. Evgs. 8:20. Matinee To-day, 2:15.

Criterion Theatre. FRANCIS WILSON in The Laughing Lion, The Mountain Climber, and The Little Father of the Wilderness.

Knickerbocker. FRITZ SCHEFF MODISTE. DALY'S BROADWAY and 20th St. Evgs. 8:15. Matinee To-day, 2:15.

Academy. TO-MORROW (SUNDAY) NIGHT ACTORS' FUND VAUDEVILLE BENEFIT FOR SAN FRANCISCO SUFFERERS.

Hammerstein's. JOE WEBER'S MUSIC HALL. TWIDDLE-TWIDDLE AND SQUAW MAN'S GIRL OF THE GOLDEN WEST.

Colonial. MARGARET WYCHERLY & CO. Ye Colonial Setpiece, Merlan's Pantomime Dogs.

What's the Matter with Butler Saw? GARRICK. Last Night, To-night, Butler Saw?

Manhattan Theatre. CHARLEY'S AUNT. With ETIENNE GIRARDOT. Wallack's, Last Night, Last Matinee To-day.

Irving Place Theatre. WILTON LACKAY. P. T. B. Prices, 25, 50, 75c. Mat. Wed. and To-day, 2 Evgs. 8:15.

Foreign Ports. Liverpool, April 26—Arrived, steamer Irishman (Br), Evans.

Amusements. EDEN MUSE. WORLD IN WAX. NEW GROUPS. CINEMATOGRAPH EVERY HOUR.

The Turf. RACING AT JAMAICA. APRIL 27 TO MAY 9, INCLUSIVE. TO-DAY EXCELSSOR HANDICAP.

Meetings. QUARTERLY MEETING OF THE AMERICAN INSTITUTE, Thursday, May 3rd, 1906, at 19 West 44th St. 8 p. m.

Proposals. U. S. CUSTOM HOUSE, NEW YORK, N. Y. Collector's Office, April 25, 1906.—Sealed proposals for furnishing, in such quantities as may be desired.

Office of Constructing Quartermaster. MASTER, Fort Trumbull, New London, Conn., April 21, 1906.

Fort Wood, N. Y. H., APRIL 27, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, for constructing roads and walks at this post.

Augusta Arsenal, AUGUSTA, GA., APR. 28, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished, will be received here until 10 o'clock a. m.

Rock Island Arsenal, ILL., APRIL 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in blank forms furnished by this Arsenal, will be received until 2 P. M.

Proposals for Ordnance Supplies. Watertown Arsenal, Watertown, Mass., April 28, 1906.—Sealed proposals for furnishing, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Arsenal, will be received until 10 o'clock a. m.

Proposals for Ordnance Supplies. Watertown Arsenal, Watertown, Mass., April 28, 1906.—Sealed proposals for furnishing, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Arsenal, will be received until 10 o'clock a. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Proposals for Indian Supplies. Department of the Interior, Office of Indian Affairs, Washington, D. C., March 24, 1906.—Sealed proposals, in triplicate, upon blank forms furnished by this Office, will be received until 2 o'clock p. m.

Is the erate Homest? An Opinion from South Carolina's Fearless Senator BENJAMIN R. TILLMAN in the MAGAZINE SECTION of To-morrow's Tribune. Recent magazine articles, in their search for big game, have seen fit to attack the Upper House, a move that promptly brought to his feet the wide-awake Senator from South Carolina. What he has to say upon this vital question is full of interest and significance.