

making amendments Mr. Newlands was recognized. Several Senators appeared to him to wait until Monday, but the Nevada wanted to talk. He said the Republican "progressives" had not exhausted all their resources to force a revision of the tariff to meet their wishes. He urged them to appeal to the President to demand that the Republican party fulfill its platform pledges. Senators were worn out, and were both amused and angry at Mr. Newlands. He was talking to a noisy and inattentive Senate, but apparently this made no difference to him. Finally, Mr. Aldrich appealed to him to surrender the floor before 5:30 o'clock, so that a vote on the pending amendments could be taken and a night session avoided. The Rhode Island Senator called attention to the fact that if Mr. Newlands talked until 5:30 o'clock it would be necessary to hold a night session, under the agreement made several days ago. At 5:25 o'clock several Senators standing near Mr. Newlands pointed to the clock and motioned him to take his seat. He kept on talking two minutes longer and then reluctantly surrendered the floor and permitted the rollcall to be taken.

**VOTING ON AMENDMENTS.**  
A vote was taken on a committee amendment consolidating the paragraphs on the cheapest grades of cotton cloths and fixing a rate of 1 cent a square yard on unbleached cotton cloth of fifty threads to the inch, and 1 1/2 cents on bleached cloth, if valued at not more than 9 cents, and at 2 cents if dyed and colored and valued at not more than 12 cents. This amendment was carried, 41 to 20.  
The amendment substituting specific for ad valorem duties was then discussed. Mr. La Follette, asserting that there was not a reduction in the duties on cotton cloth, said there were a number of increases on the face of the bill in direct terms which had not been affected by court decisions. He asked Mr. Aldrich to go through the cotton cloth schedule item by item, and declared emphatically that he would show the Senate there were numerous increases.

The comparison made by Mr. La Follette of the Senate bill rates with what he said were the specific rates equivalent to the ad valorem rates of the Dingley act was followed by Mr. Aldrich to be incorrect. He said that he was supported in his denunciation of Mr. La Follette's figures by all the experts in the United States.

When Mr. La Follette was reading specific rates as being Dingley rates, Mr. Aldrich contended that the duties in the Dingley law were ad valorem rates. Mr. La Follette insisted that the specific rates which he was reading were the equivalents of the ad valorem duties of the present law. "They are the Dingley rates," he insisted.

"We'll see," said Mr. Aldrich.  
"Oh, I know it will be voted through," said Mr. La Follette. "We have had a number of exhibitions of that kind. This bill was passed, let me say to the Senate, when the Senator from Rhode Island was clothed with the authority to appoint the committee on committees of the Senate."

Mr. Bailey announced his intention of voting against the committee's amendment, because, he said, it did not lower the Dingley rates.  
Mr. Dilliver's proposed amendment to paragraph 312, placing ad valorem instead of specific duties on the more costly cotton goods, was rendered unnecessary because of the consolidation of that paragraph with the one that followed it, relating to the same general class of goods. This changed the tactics of the Iowa Senator from an attempt to adopt his amendment to an effort to accomplish the same result by defeating the committee amendment substituting specific for ad valorem duties on more costly goods in paragraph 313, the ad valorem provision being placed there by the House and stricken out by the Committee on Finance.

Mr. Cummins said he would like to see the rates of the Dingley law reduced, "but," he added, "I shall be content if we can hold the Dingley law as it is." He attacked the reason given by the Committee on Finance for a change of duty from ad valorem to specific as disingenuous. In the specific duties provided, he said, the rates depend on the value of the cloth, which would involve the same danger of fraud as would the levying of the ad valorem duty. This being so, he declared, the only reason for making the change was to raise or lower the duty.

Mr. Aldrich replied that only 10 per cent of the goods was affected by these specific duties.  
**COMMITTEE WINS, 39 TO 28.**  
By a vote of 29 to 28 the first committee amendment, providing specific for ad valorem duties of the House bill, was agreed to.

The next vote for specific duties resulted in the same party division, Senator Simmons adding one more to the negative vote.  
Senator Bacon then suggested that as all the amendments of the cotton schedule involved the same question they might be voted on at one time. The suggestion was accepted, and the vote was then taken on thirteen amendments covering ten pages of the bill. They were agreed to, as were the entire sections containing them, by a vote of 29 to 28.  
The Senate then adjourned.

**DOLLIVER'S HOT SHOT.**

**Iowa Senator Turns His Batteries on Chairman Aldrich.**  
[By The Associated Press.]  
Washington, June 5.—Senator Dolliver took the floor to-day, when Mr. Aldrich asked for an eye and no vote on the cotton cloth schedule, and made the rafters ring with his denunciation of the speech made by the Rhode Island Senator last night on that schedule.  
"I desire to avoid any harsh criticism of what he has done," he said, "in beginning his remarks, 'but I am not able to do a plain, straightforward statement of what this committee now asks the Senate to do.'"  
"Men are asked," continued Mr. Dolliver, "to cast their votes here, not upon testimony, but upon authority of a committee, and it becomes my duty to inquire into the correctness of that substitute for facts and figures."  
Saying he had had no opportunity to read the speech of the Senator from Rhode Island, Mr. Dolliver commented on the statement that "only 10 per cent of the cotton cloth schedule had been changed."  
Denying this by a counter statement on the authority of Senator Smoot that only 30 per cent was unchanged, he was quickly interrupted by Mr. Aldrich.  
"I said," interposed the Rhode Island Senator, "that less than 10 per cent of the total cotton importations, which amount in the aggregate to \$75,000,000, were to be changed by this provision."  
"Accepting this correction," Mr. Dolliver declared that thirty years ago he had gone everywhere "carrying in his satchel speeches of the Senator from Rhode Island on the tariff so that he could refer to his statistics, in which, he said, he then had facts, which was gradually slipping away."  
Mr. Aldrich then arose to leave the chamber.  
"I hope the Senator will remain to hear what I have to say," suggested the Iowa Senator, in an appealing tone of voice.  
"I had an engagement," said Mr. Aldrich, smiling and hesitating.  
"Well, you have an engagement here," retorted the Iowa Senator. "I say, you will not turn your back upon what I have to say without taking the moral consequences that will naturally arise in such a case."  
Mr. Dolliver then exhibited a copy of a petition of merchants of New York, which was a declaration against any increase of the tariff duties. Responding to a request by the Senator from Rhode Island, Mr. Dolliver read the names of the merchants.  
"Please state which of them are importers," said Mr. Aldrich.  
"Well, some of them are leading merchants of this country," replied Mr. Dolliver.  
A chorus of criticism and defiance followed. Mr. Dolliver, Mr. Aldrich and Mr. Flint taking

part. It pertained to the interests of the signers of that petition, Messrs. Aldrich and Flint contending that they were importers and interested in low duty, while the Iowa Senator continued to urge their general representative capacity.  
Mr. Dolliver ridiculed talk about average rates when some had actually been increased. The "equalization" that had been effected, he said, had been made by leaving the high rates up and bringing the lower ones nearer their level. Speaking of the need for accurate information, he said:  
"Senators cannot convert the Senate of the United States from a great deliberative body to an aggregation of intellectual comings."  
Responding to expressions of surprise at his words by some Senators near him, he added: "That is a green goods proposition."  
He spoke of various persons who had dealings with the New York Custom House, saying it had been suggested that they had had agents on the payroll engaged in the administration of the customs law.  
Turning his attention to the tariff act, he declared that "the Dingley law was the Wilson bill plus a few amendments added by Governor Dingley in the House of Representatives."  
"TICHENOR AUTHOR OF DINGLEY BILL."  
The Dingley law, he asserted, originated with Colonel Tichenor, and he said he had positive proof that that law had never contemplated bringing cotton cloth under the classification as "etamines," a loosely woven cloth.  
There was a change after Tichenor's death, and "and was made by the Senator from Rhode Island, who had overruled that foolish decision respecting etamines the Senator from Rhode Island denounced his decisions as originating with British importing interests and as a great offense."  
These decisions, he added, that were said to have overruled the law, were in harmony with the intentions of Colonel Tichenor, who had drawn the amount of money lost by the government by the decisions respecting etamines, and he had taken pains to inform himself on that subject.  
"The government," he said, "collected 60 per cent on these goods classed as etamines. The appraisers made them give it up. How much do you suppose they refunded? Imaginary millions have been wandering like ghosts through the corridors of this Capitol, and yet, according to information I have just received over the long distance telephone, the total loss of the government on that account would be only \$50,000."  
This, he said, was in spite of the impression that had been made by the Senator from Rhode Island that these decisions had resulted in large losses, perhaps two or three millions of dollars.  
Commenting on the statement of Mr. Aldrich on this question, Mr. Dolliver characterized his speech of last night as an appeal to "mock heroes."  
"It's a strange thing," declared Mr. Dolliver, rising to his full height and speaking in his boldest tones, "that the leaders of the Republican party preaching the merchants of the country with extravagances which have grown up in connection with the protective tariff."  
SENATOR LODGES "SAMPLES."  
Referring to cartoons of himself, represented as exhibiting samples in the United States Senate, he declared that since that exhibition others had adopted his method of "reaching the intelligence of his hearers." He referred pointedly to Senator La Follette, who had "sampled" his samples, but while the Iowa Senator spoke his Massachusetts colleague passed slowly out of the chamber, without appearing to notice the allusion.  
Declaring that the cotton duties had been increased in the pending bill over those of the Dingley law, Mr. Dolliver read from a speech by Mr. Smoot. He declared that the Senator said that the duties of the cotton schedule had been "equalized," but that the rates had not been lowered. Likewise, the Senator from Rhode Island had come to the Senate to say the rates had not been raised.  
Ridiculing the possibility of "equalizing" the rates without raising some or lowering others, Mr. Dolliver made a comparison between the Dingley law and the Wilson bill. He commented on the Dingley law, as interpreted by Mr. Aldrich and by the appraisers.  
"Then we have two Dingley laws," interposed Mr. Tillman.  
"Yes," replied the Iowa Senator, "one on the statute books and one in the imagination of the Senator from Rhode Island."  
Taking up another phase of the speech of the Senator from Rhode Island, Mr. Dolliver criticized that Senator for having had read in the Senate an anonymous clipping stating that \$500,000 would be lost in the form of duties under a decision of the United States Supreme Court because of a contention over the question whether the duty should be 25 or 30 per cent. All the importations of cloth of that kind, he said, at New York in 1907 were worth only \$200,000, and the matter in dispute involved only 5 per cent of the amount.  
"Yes," he said, "that is the kind of clap-trap that is here submitted for our consideration."  
Senator Borah said he had written to the Treasury Department for the total amount involved in this case, and had been told it was \$400,000. If Senators could not get trustworthy information, he declared, "one thing must be apparent—there would be some changes in the Treasury Department."  
"Either," suggested Mr. Tillman, "this Senator from Rhode Island bamboozled us last night, or the Senator from Iowa is bamboozling us now."  
Saying that he had an offer made to a merchant by a manufacturer to furnish a certain grade of cotton goods at 8 cents unmercerized and 8 1/2 cents mercerized, Mr. Dolliver criticized as excessive the proposition to allow a duty of 1 cent a yard for mercerization.  
Mr. Beveridge, calling attention to the absence of members of the Finance Committee when these important statements were made, Mr. Dolliver retorted that he had hoped to give them present.  
"Now," he said, "I do not give 25 cents a yard whether the committee is here or not. I intend to tell the truth, whether they are present or absent."  
He closed his remarks by declaring that he was entirely friendly to the policy of protection and wanted to frame a bill that would make friends for that policy throughout the country.

**NORRIS ASKS A HEARING.**  
**Protests Against Increase of Duties on Paper and Pulp.**  
Washington, June 5.—Protesting against the action of the Senate Finance Committee in deciding to recommend an increase on the rates on pulp and paper as fixed by the House bill, without giving the evidence certain detailed cost reports from American mills, John Norris, chairman of the committee on paper of the American Newspaper Publishers' Association, has written a letter to Senator Aldrich formally renewing his application for a hearing.  
Mr. Norris says that the House committee devoted ten months to an inquiry into the paper industry, and that investigation revealed the fact that American mills can make paper cheaper than Canadian mills. His communication closes with the statement that "the Republican party pledged itself to fix duties so as to represent the difference between the cost of foreign and domestic production. We protest against an inquiry into the paper industry to Republican newspapers in the matter of print paper."

**FAVOR LEPROSY HOSPITAL.**  
**Boards of Health Also Adopt Plan for Medical Inspection of Schools.**  
Washington, June 5.—Leprosy was one of the principal topics discussed at to-day's session of the conference of state and provincial boards of health of North America. A resolution urging the establishment by Congress of a hospital in the United States for the treatment of the disease was adopted.  
Following the election of Dr. Joseph Y. Porter, of Jacksonville, Fla., and Dr. Charles A. Hodgetts, of Toronto, Canada, as president and vice-president of the conference, respectively, a plan for uniform legislation for medical inspection of schools throughout the country was adopted yesterday. The action of the conference was based on a plan presented by Dr. Luther Halsey Gulick and Leonard P. Ayres, of the Russell Sage Foundation, of New York City. It provides for the medical inspection and hearing of all school children; that pupils in the public normal schools shall be instructed and receive practice in methods of conducting vision and hearing tests and in the best methods of detecting the first signs of communicable diseases, as well as the recognized measures for the promotion of vitality and the prevention of disease. Action also will be taken in all the states and Canadian provinces to obtain the passage of laws embodying these provisions.

**MR. TAFT'S POLICIES**

**DECISION AND REGULARITY OF PROCEDURE.**

**Characteristic Administration, Says Secretary MacVeagh—Secretary of War Reviews Troops.**  
Chicago, June 5.—Franklin MacVeagh, Secretary of the Treasury, and Jacob N. Dickinson, Secretary of War, were the guests of honor at the dinner of the Chicago Commercial Club to-night.  
Secretary MacVeagh outlined the characteristics of the Taft administration, and said it would be as distinguishable from the Roosevelt administration as Roosevelt's was from McKinley's. Decision and regularity of procedure, he said, would be the keynote.  
Secretary Dickinson talked about the Panama Canal optimistically and said he was certain it would be finished on scheduled time.  
The Secretary of War, upon his arrival to-day, went at once to Fort Sheridan, where he reviewed the troops. Both officials expect to return to Washington to-morrow.  
"This administration will be conciliatory if you do not ask it to give up a principle or to go back on its promises," said Mr. MacVeagh. "Neither of these things will it do. It will stand by its principles and it will stand by its policies."  
In the opinion of Secretary MacVeagh, the leading controlling note of the present administration was a determined tendency toward regularity of decision and of procedure. President Taft, he said, would administer his office within the constituted boundaries. The effect of this, he declared, would be to keep the President the leader of the party organization. President Taft, he said, was a man of progress, "and it goes without saying that no great leader of an American party in this day and generation can fall to understand the value of independent thought and action in the party itself, wherever they are manifested, whether in Congress or at large, if only this independence aims at the betterment of the nation, and if it seeks in and through the party to answer more perfectly the deliberate and wise demands of the nation. And you and I must agree—for we cannot escape the conclusion—that it might come at any time the duty of any great party leader to create for his party a new majority and control."

**FOR HIGHER IDEALS.**  
Mr. MacVeagh said that he saw for himself clearly that while President Taft would be greatly and temperately inclined toward party regularity and to the encouragement of party unity, he would not wish, nor be able, to lose sight of the fact that the Republican party has wished to dedicate itself in the present time as it did in its earliest days—to the furtherance of the higher ideals of the people, to the wider and more varied and complete progress of the nation and to the nation's helpful work and influence in the world at large. He referred to the President's deference to and respect for the formal privileges of Congress, "and what is more important, his respect for the present is not the actual non-interference, but rather the fine disposition the President shows to respect all rights and to meet every body half way, in the hope and in the interest of a homogeneous party of progress—a disposition which can be interpreted only as a statesmanlike conciliation and which it would be a fatal mistake to consider as a change of actual policy or principle."  
Mr. MacVeagh said the Roosevelt policies were safe in the hands of the present administration as they were in the hands of the last. "We are not hearing much about them," he said, "but that is partly because the President has his own way of arriving at results and because other issues are being approached by the cabinet and the various departments—issues that before left to Roosevelt policy the whole stage." He mentioned the tariff, currency and banking reform would have to be wrought out in the present year. The deeper reunion of the South with the North would interest the people and the administration. Improvement of the practical everyday administration was an important federal interest. The issue of more deliberate government appropriations and of a corresponding scientific development of taxation he declared would occupy a part of the stage.  
"But," he asserted, "it would be a radical error to think that the distinctive policies of the last administration will receive less attention or less devotion than is now needed for their complete and permanent establishment."

**KEEN INTEREST IN BANKING.**  
Maintaining that the time had gone by for weak or superficial legislation on banking and currency, Mr. MacVeagh said that the President and Cabinet were approaching the currency question with a responsible regard with a keen and vivid interest and with a wholesome, open mind. He believed that his much help was to be expected from the Monetary Commission, of which Senator Aldrich is the head and the inspiration.  
As to the tariff, Mr. MacVeagh predicted that the people would be satisfied with the present one. The main point was to satisfy those of the Middle West. "But if they are not made satisfied," he said, "then the tariff question will unfortunately not be out of the way, and we will not have rest and we will not have a clear field for currency reform." The demand for tariff revision, he insisted, was not for a radical and impracticable reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party stood for and would continue to stand for protection. "What the people expect," he contended, "is what the protectionist Republican party promised in its last year's platform, as interpreted by its candidate for the Presidency, and while it is talking against the whole tariff reduction, because everybody understood that the Republican party