

THE THIEVES IN CLOVER.

REDDY THE BLACKSMITH TRIED AND ACQUITTED.

The perplexities of a Court Requiring a Jury-The Remarkable Speech of the Prosecuting Attorney-Summary Account of the Trial of the New Firm of Graham, Varley & Co.

The trial yesterday of Reddy the Blacksmith drew a full house. As early as 9 o'clock people acquainted with the Park and the buildings on it began to gather. By 10 o'clock A. M. a crowd had collected in front of the Court House, and the streets leading to Recorder Hackett's tribunal were thronged with people.

Mrs. Varley was already in the court room. She is a pleasant, thick-set woman, and was attired in a corset green silk dress, over which she wore a heavy black velvet jacket, heavily trimmed with heavy lace. By her side sat some other women, a number of distinguished politicians of the Fourth Ward.

At the conclusion of the Assistant District Attorney's address, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

WANTED, \$3,500 FOR A WARDROBE.

The Victim of a Pretty Actress who was to be her Board-Bill-her Retaliation in her Superior Court.

Miss Kresia M. Dunham, a pretty actress, has sued H. E. Billings, proprietor of the Elm City Hotel, of Boston, claiming \$3,500 damages for loss of her trunks and wardrobe, and consequent failure to fulfil engagements to play. The trial of the case was begun yesterday before Judge Freedman.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

THE GREAT POOL IN GOLD.

The Complicity of the President's Brother-in-Law.

The Conflicting Statements of Mr. Corbin—Another Falsehood Exposed—A Scene in the Room of the Brother-in-Law.

The Herald has been sending a reporter to interview the President's brother-in-law, Mr. Corbin, with reference to his alleged complicity in the recent gold gambling operations. We quote: On entering Mr. Corbin's room the writer found...

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

HORACE GREELY ACCEPTS.

The Republican State Ticket Complete—Horace Greely for State Comptroller.

The Republican State Committee having communicated to the Hon. Horace Greely their desire to nominate him for State Comptroller, in place of Thomas Hillhouse, declined, Mr. Greely, who is absent from the city, authorized the Albany Evening Journal to announce his acceptance of the nomination. On the receipt of this acceptance by the Committee yesterday, the nomination was completed and announced.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

THE REVOLUTION IN SPAIN.

SPANISH REPORTS OF THE DEFEAT OF THE REPUBLICANS.

Heavy Loss of the Insurgents on the North-eastern Coast—The Mayor of Tortosa in Command of a Band of Republicans—The Revolution Unfavorably viewed by the People.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.

At the conclusion of the evidence, Recorder Hackett proceeded to charge the jury. He read the most important parts of the evidence, and then that of Thomas Varley, a brother of the accused. The jury should consider the evidence with care, and deliberate, and then render a verdict of guilty or not guilty.