MAKING IT HOT FOR TRACY.

PERTINENT INQUIRIES INTO HIS PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT.

A Brisk Cross-Examination by Mr. Beach—The Witness Testifying that He did not Write the Whole of his Opening Address—Talks with Tilton, Moulton, and Butler.

"Have you ever been in any form a legal adviser of Mr. Tilton's?" was the first question put to Mr. Tracy by Mr. Evarts in the Beecher trial yesterday.

"No, sir," was the emphatic reply.

The audience showed a lively interest in this touching of the accusation of unprofessional conduct made against Mr. Tracy. Mr. Evarts next asked him what his relations were to the Investigating Committee and to Mr. Beecher as counsel. The answer was: "I was requested by the church committee to attend their meetings and aid them in examining witnesses. I was asked to become counsel to Mr. Beecher soon after the commencement of this action—I don't know how soon—by Mr. Shearman, but I did not determine whether I would accept the retainer of Mr. Beecher until some time in September; not until yourself and Judge Porter had withdrawn, and the other counsel determined upon."

At this time Mr. Beecher and Mrs. Tilton were absent, but Mrs. Beecher, who has not missed a session in the four months of the trial, was in court. Mr. Tilton and Mr. Moulton sat at the city side, Senator Henry L. Dawes and Mr. Charles Francis Adams had come with Mr. Evarts, and were on the bench with Judge Neilson. The Rev. Dr. Dwight K. Bassett of Albany was another visitor.

BEECHER'S MONEY THAT TILTON GOT.

Mr. Tracy also testified that on the day that Mrs. Tilton went before the Investigating Committee he met her at the residence of Hopson Tompkins, having never previously spoken with her. He did not then instruct her as to what she should say. He denied the most of Mr. Tilton's testimony as to negotiations relating to a compromise report by the committee. Regarding an interview with Mr. Moulton in which no payment of money for Mr. Beecher was discussed, Mr. Tracy said:

Between the 15th and 20th of July, 1874, I had an interview with Mr. Moulton. We were speaking of Mr. Tilton's contemporaneous statement, which he gave to the committee on the morning of the 20th of July; he had given notice that he should make a statement, and in the course of the conversation I said to Mr. Moulton that it was a pity that Mr. Beecher's name was in it. He said he could press the matter to such an extent that the history of this transaction might not be made public. I said to him that I was not prepared to do anything in the matter, but I would see Mr. Beecher and learn what he had to say. He said he would see Mr. Tilton and learn what he had to say. I said I would see Mr. Beecher. He said he would see Mr. Tilton, and I said I would see Mr. Beecher. I saw Mr. Beecher and reported to him the substance of what I had learned from Mr. Moulton. He said he would see Mr. Tilton, and I said I would see Mr. Moulton again. I saw Mr. Moulton again, and he said he had seen Mr. Tilton, and that Mr. Tilton had not been to see Mr. Beecher, and that Mr. Tilton had said that he had been paid for Mr. Beecher's benefit a large sum of money, and that he had given money, that he should make a statement, but in the course of the conversation I said to Mr. Moulton that I was not prepared to give any money. I said I would see Mr. Beecher and report to him. He said he would see Mr. Tilton and report to him. I saw Mr. Beecher and reported to him the substance of what Mr. Moulton had said. He said he would see Mr. Tilton. I saw Mr. Moulton again, and he said he had seen Mr. Tilton, and that Mr. Tilton had