

A Nice Question.

Will Mr. CLEVELAND be nominated by the Democratic party for a second term? If he will to stand on a protectionist platform as in 1884, or on a free trade platform like that of the St. Louis Convention in 1877?

If the platform of '84 had been for free trade, could Mr. CLEVELAND have taken the nomination consistently with his economic convictions at that time?

If the platform of '85 should be for free trade, could Mr. CLEVELAND take the nomination then without doing violence to his economic convictions at that time?

Or if he should take the nomination and run as a free trade candidate, could he be elected? Could he carry New York then and thus, when in 1884, with all the Mugwumps and free traders voting for him and for his protectionist platform, he barely escaped through the fortuitous fluke of the Rev. SAMUEL D. BURCHARD, D. D., from the defeat which so many thousands of Democrats were resolved to inflict upon him?

This is a nice question all around and in all its aspects, and we commend to the Mugwumps and free traders, as well as to Brother CLEVELAND himself, the most thoughtful consideration thereof. Will he be elected?

Besides, SAM RANDALL would make a first-class President.

The Employed Against the Unemployed.

The leaders of the new Labor party are evidently beginning to comprehend the magnitude of the task they have undertaken. They have already officially declared that the principal obstacle to securing higher wages and fewer hours of work is the competition of unemployed labor, and that until this obstacle is removed the efforts of trades unions can never be sure of success. They propose, indeed, as a remedy for the evil the abolition of private property in land, but the inadequacy of such a measure to produce the desired result is apparent upon its face. The problem involved in the labor question cannot be solved in any such rough-and-ready fashion.

The latest suggestion on the subject we find in John Scitoun's Paper of yesterday. Mr. SWINTON agrees with the Executive Committee of the Labor party in their estimate of the power of unemployed workingmen. He calls them "the unorganized host of idlers," and declares that they are a body more numerous and more powerful than the Knights of Labor and one which in every strike is always marshaled on the wrong side. Here is what he says about them:

"This body broke the strike of the Third Avenue Knights of Labor, and the strike of the Knights of the Wash line, and both of the strikes of the Knights against Jay Cooke, and the strike of the Tribune and the strike of the Knights of the Philadelphia Knights, and many a strike of the Knights, and the strike of the Chicago stock and bond Knights, and other strikes of the Knights of Labor."

"It is an anxious, hungry, bodiless, or a million strong, and more numerous, even, than the Knights of Labor."

"We cannot be sure of gaining anything as long as the great body is ready to swarm out at any call. What is to be done about it?"

In point of fact, there is work enough for every worker in the country. It is not the lack of work, but the business of arranging, directing, and controlling labor, through the power which they themselves are able to wield."

Mr. SWINTON'S remedy, it will be seen, is nothing else than the State Socialism which, as we pointed out some months ago in THE SUN, is the logical result of the doctrines contended for by the Knights of Labor.

When all the workmen are organized in a single body that body will comprise nearly all the citizens of the country, and they will undertake "the business of arranging, directing, and controlling labor," the State will become the one great employer of laborers and the supreme regulator of wages and hours of work. This is what the German Social Democrats have been contending for these last twenty years, and it is interesting to see that they are beginning to find allies in this country.

The other interesting point is that now, for the first time, the unorganized, unemployed workmen of the country are demanded by the employers as members of the workmen's party. This is a new thing, and it means that the guns of the new Labor party are first to be trained upon their unemployed brethren?

Another Geographical Impostor. Mr. HENRY D. HARROWER of this town has performed an important service by his thorough and merciless exposure of the pretensions of Capt. WILLARD GLAZIER, a charlatan who made a spurge three or four years ago as the discoverer of the ultimate source of the Mississippi River. Mr. HARROWER'S pamphlet, entitled "Captain GLAZIER and His Lie," is of great public interest at the present time, for this extraordinary case of the exposure of the already explored and the naming of the already named has many features that bear a striking resemblance to the recent experience of Mr. GEORGE JONES'S expedition to Alaska, particularly as regards the discovery of a "mighty river," previously unknown to geographers, flowing from the slopes of Mount St. Elias into the Bay.

No close is the parallel, indeed, that if the name of Mr. JONES'S mapograph were changed to "Mr. JONES'S River," and those names were shifted from the headwaters of the Mississippi in Minnesota to the ice-bound coast of Alaska, a great part of the castigation matter devoted to Capt. GLAZIER'S loudly heralded exploit could be made to apply, with little alteration of the text, to Mr. GEORGE JONES'S recent find. It is true that the GLAZIER expedition carried no arsenal and cost no human lives; but in other respects the similarity of the two cases is too apparent to be overlooked. We were not surprised to observe that the New York Times, in noticing the pamphlet, omitted all reference to the main feature of the exposure and confined its remarks to the incidental plagiarisms of which Mr. HARROWER convicts the charlatan explorer whom he has taken in hand.

Capt. GLAZIER started in May, 1881, for the Northwest to discover the true source of the Mississippi. His expedition consisted of himself, his brother, one other white man, and three Indian guides. "There is no evidence," says Mr. HARROWER, "that GLAZIER made any effort to inform himself as to what was already known about the account of the river. He certainly took no account of the data in possession of the Land Department, and generally he proceeded upon the theory that everybody was as ignorant as he in regard to the matter." GLAZIER proceeded by canoe to Lake Itasca, the little sheet of water that figures in most of the maps as the source of the Mississippi, and pushed thence through a little inlet into a still smaller lake beyond, which he fixed upon as the true source of the Father of Waters. His companions immediately drew

up and signed a proclamation addressed "To Geographical Societies" everywhere, informing them of the existence of the new lake, and announcing that by the right of discovery they had decided to "name it Lake Glazier, in honor of the leader of the expedition, whose energy, perseverance, and pluck carried us through." Does not the phrasing of this dedication sound strangely familiar to the ears of the present proprietor of Jones River?

Having issued on the spot this magnificent manifesto requesting all geographical societies to give to Lake Glazier "that prominence which has heretofore been accorded to Lake Itasca, and to which it is justly entitled as the primal reservoir of the continent river on this continent," GLAZIER fired a volley in honor of his lake, and proceeded back to civilization, blowing as he went. From the headquarters of the Gulf of Mexico he is frequently writing to the publisher of the "New York Times," and is also writing to newspaper editors and to popular orators, and is everywhere as the great explorer of the age. At New Orleans he is imposed upon at the Academy of Sciences, that that body gave him a public reception, while its President, Dr. J. S. COPES, warmly thanked and congratulated him in a glowing epistle. At St. Louis Judge ALBERT TODD, the Vice-President of the Missouri Historical Society, publicly compared him with the whole line of explorers from JAMES TO STANLEY. GLAZIER has also been invited to the publication of his "also-very." He wrote a series of articles for the American Meteorological Journal, recounting the history of his explorations, made an elaborate chart of the headwaters of the Mississippi, showing Lake Glazier in all its glory, sent one copy of the map to Judge DALY, and forwarded another to the Royal Geographical Society of London, receiving from that august body an elaborate certificate of thanks. From that time to this Capt. GLAZIER has been endeavoring to induce the makers of school atlases to insert Lake Glazier in their maps of Minnesota.

Mr. HARROWER utterly demolishes the pretensions of this impostor of Lake Itasca. He proves that the lake south of Lake Itasca has been known for years, that it has been surveyed and definitely outlined by Government surveyors, that it is down not only on the recent maps of the Land Office, but also with approximate accuracy on the charts of the early explorers as far back as SCHOUER and NICOLLIER in 1366, and finally that it already possesses a name. The real name of "Lake Glazier" is Ek Lake; it is so recorded on the United States Land Office map of 1876. "If Capt. GLAZIER," says Mr. HARROWER, "had sent three or four to the General Land Office he would have received a face-meeting tracing of this map, certified to be correct, and thus he might have discovered 'Lake Glazier' and his lake \$3,000,000, which his friends say he expended on this expedition for the love of science and the glory of Capt. WILLARD GLAZIER." Does not this reminder, again, suggest any dim analogy to the sluggish mind of the present proprietor of Jones River in Alaska?

Moreover, it is shown that "Lake Glazier" was visited in June, 1872, by Mr. JULIUS CHAMBERS, who proceeded thither alone on a vacation voyage in his canoe, and wrote a modest account of his experiences for the New York Herald. Mr. CHAMBERS did not even dignify his paddling trip by the title of expedition, although he made his way by water from the true source of the Mississippi to the Gulf. But he discovered "Lake Glazier" ten years before GLAZIER was there, and he described it, made a map of it, and christened it Lake Dolly Varden, after the name of his canoe.

It is a good and a thorough job that Mr. HARROWER has done. Lake Glazier has gone to meet Jones River.

The Dead and the Living.

The Boston Herald takes occasion to indulge in the following remarks: "The American people are now, for the first time since the early days of the century, with one living President in the White House, and the provision is carried still further, and it is held that there is no ex-President living."

It is held in THE SUN office, and wherever else there are men of sense, that there is no man living except GROVER CLEVELAND who was ever elected a President of the United States. A former President, a man of integrity and of unimpaired honor, and a collector of the Port of New York, is removed without reason by the still living fraudulent President, will be held to his next to-day amid the sincere grief of all who esteem private dignity and honorable achievement and the unpretentious capacity for high public service.

The accidental fraud to whom it was given to insult for the moment a noble gentleman, a stainless public officer, survives in obscurity and neglect. The reputation he sought to cloud shines the clearer for the hirings and unhirings of the monstrous fraud which gave HAYS two hundred thousand unearned dollars and the country an unforgettable disgrace.

By the side of that grave in which to-day lies a man who hated meanness and deceit and avarice and selfishness, a man who rose to the full height of his great office, who he left poor in money but rich in splendid hospitalities and endearing friendship, what a base and wretched creature seems the contemptible accident whom ZAGZ CHAMBERLAIN made President in name and salary, but who in nothing could be equal to the duties or the dignity of that high post.

And yet life is so sarcasm of the gods and politics no dying throw of knives. A President of the United States elected but not inducted to that office died last August, and universal respect and regret, the testimony of his qualities as a statesman, followed him to his grave. Another President of the United States is buried to-day amid universal respect and regret.

A fraudulent President lives, and who besides his immediate relatives will care when he ceases to live?

Mr. Edmunds's Inextinguishable Joy. "There is an inextinguishable joy in having been faithful to truth and self-respect." This is the beautiful copy-book sentiment expressed by the Hon. GEORGE F. EDMUNDS in a letter dated April 15, 1885, which has just been published to the inextinguishable joy of all the Mugwumps.

Yet in the press of former times that sure-sold hero might still have lived. If now, if good men's praise may give a lasting place to stainless names.

President Arthur was a Gentleman. To the EDITOR OF THE SUN:—It seems to me to be a pity that in all the relations of the country, there is no one who is so true to his duty as the President. He is a man of high character, and his conduct is a model for all of us. He is a man of high character, and his conduct is a model for all of us.

Mr. Edmunds's Inextinguishable Joy. He should have reached his full span. Who played so well his noble part. Too soon Fate smote that manly heart. That kind and courteous gentleman.

Yet in the press of former times that sure-sold hero might still have lived. If now, if good men's praise may give a lasting place to stainless names.

President Arthur was a Gentleman. To the EDITOR OF THE SUN:—It seems to me to be a pity that in all the relations of the country, there is no one who is so true to his duty as the President. He is a man of high character, and his conduct is a model for all of us. He is a man of high character, and his conduct is a model for all of us.

Mr. Edmunds's Inextinguishable Joy. He should have reached his full span. Who played so well his noble part. Too soon Fate smote that manly heart. That kind and courteous gentleman.

eratic party." Yet Mr. BLAINE, whatever his faults, is fully as good as the Republican party; and it is probable that Mr. EDMUNDS, by two or three good, strong Republican speeches in New York in the last days of the campaign, might have influenced Blawarts enough to secure the election of Mr. BLAINE. The fact is, that Mr. EDMUNDS, if he correctly represents his own opinions, wanted the Republican party to win, but Mr. BLAINE to lose. Both lost, and Mr. EDMUNDS allows some of his friends to make for him a considerable parade of virtue because he wouldn't make speeches for Mr. BLAINE. It is noticeable, however, that the illustrious Vermonter carried his scruples to the convenient extent of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not have been reflected Senator. He was not willing to insist on carrying his faithfulness to self-respect to the extent of injuring himself upon the subject of gratifying his private malice without impairing his political prospects. If he had refused to vote, he could not