



WEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 1892.

Subscription by Mail—Post-paid. DAILY, Per Month, \$3.00. DAILY, Per Year, \$30.00. SUNDAY, Per Year, \$10.00. WEEKLY, Per Year, \$1.00.

THE SUN, New York City.

Our friends who favor us with manuscripts for publication, please send them to the office of the editor.

They Must Wipe Out Jefferson, Too. A fresh supply of Mugwump Insects has been burned at the Stuffed Prophet's shrine.

The basis for this outburst of farcical frenzy was a letter from Mr. CLEVELAND to a Mr. BIBLE of St. Louis, in which, with a mushy sort of humility, he confessed doubt as to his future in politics.

Among the papers of the Democratic President whose principles and practice THOMAS JEFFERSON persistently trampled upon—THOMAS JEFFERSON was a memorable memorial of political philosophy and sincere and self-denying patriotism against which even the mania of our contemporary in Indianapolis cannot last.

On Dec. 10, 1807, President JEFFERSON wrote to the Legislature of Vermont as follows: "I received in due season the address of the Legislature of Vermont, in which they were as good as to express their desire that I would consent to be proposed again to the public voice on the expiration of my present term of office."

"That I should lay down my charge at a proper place, is as much a duty as to have borne it faithfully. If some termination to the services of the chief magistrate be not fixed by the Constitution or supplied by practice, he will, nominally for years, will, in fact, become for life, and history shows how easily that degenerates into inheritance. Believing that a representative government, responsible at short periods of election, is that which produces the greatest sum of happiness to mankind, I feel it a duty to do so."

"I should lay down my charge at a proper place, is as much a duty as to have borne it faithfully. If some termination to the services of the chief magistrate be not fixed by the Constitution or supplied by practice, he will, nominally for years, will, in fact, become for life, and history shows how easily that degenerates into inheritance. Believing that a representative government, responsible at short periods of election, is that which produces the greatest sum of happiness to mankind, I feel it a duty to do so."

This Democratic patriot wrote in the same spirit to the Legislature of New Jersey, to the General Assembly of North Carolina, and to various other State Legislatures, and to associations which had expressed their desire for his continuance in the White House. He tolerated no smirking hesitation born of irresolvable self-seeking and the dread of destined defeat. Like a high-minded statesman and genuine American, Mr. JEFFERSON, who commanded popular confidence and party recognition with absolute certainty of further success, stamped upon the third term proposition with the emphatic statement of a principle which has now become a national tradition as precious as it is immutable.

Burning President JEFFERSON's record on the altar of Mugwumpery, in order to wipe it out, may tickle the nose of the Claimant, but it won't smell good to Democrats.

The Cleveland-Grace Collapse and the Penal Law. There is a heap of trouble and much anguish and anxiety at the East Fourth-street headquarters of the Provisional Committee of Fifty Mugwumps, concerning their primaries to be held to-day. Every attempt they have made thus far to get together a corporal's guard in attendance at a Mugwump primary, has ended in disappointment.

In Brooklyn, in Albany, in Hinghamton, and in Utica the effort to make even a presentable showing has failed utterly. At some of the ward meetings it was found impossible to get even a solitary individual to attend. Such a breakdown of the May movement in New York city, the place of residence of the Claimant, and the home of GRACE, FAIRCHILD, ANDERSON, and the other managers, would of course be a fatal calamity; and cash has at no time been wanting to prevent it.

Up to Monday night it had been satisfactorily arranged that a sum of money should be sent to each district to be used in "getting a crowd." Those attending were to be a "crowd," and the boys were to be as welcome as men, and the line was to be drawn at Republicans. Wherever the opening of a keg of beer or the ordering of a round or two of drinks was likely to secure the needed attendance, the pay for it would be forthcoming. This was the promise to all who called on Monday evening.

With such cheerful visions of well-earned success for the anti-snap primaries, those who visited the Fourteenth street headquarters early on Monday evening departed happy; but later on a very different story was told. As the evening advanced, the faint circles of the anti-snapners ran about in a disquieting snag. It was found in the primary election law, this law provides that the inspectors of election shall, in each voting district, immediately after the completion of the canvass, publicly announce the result; and "shall within eighteen hours after the completion of the canvass, file the returns or statements in the office of the Registrar."

This is to be done by the inspectors deputizing one of their number to file the returns, and along with them the oath subscribed to by each of the inspectors, with the poll lists, duly sworn to as containing the name and residence of each person alleged to have received, and none other, the returns, the law further says: "to be public records and open to inspection and examination by any elector."

This discovery was a pretty howdy-do for the anti-snap managers, and it set them thinking. They pride themselves on not caring for any political rules, pledges, or agreements which seem to bar the path of their one and only Presidential favorite. But with the laws of the State it is different. Some of the CLEVELAND Mugwumps are lawyers, and they know that a penal statute cannot be trifled with. Notwithstanding this, several of the hotter-headed anti-snapners were in favor of still adhering to the original plan of adjourning one of them: "The law is hanged!"

"This is our movement; we have furnished the money to pay for it, and we can do as we please." The same language almost identically was used by the high Muck-a-Muck of the anti-HILL snappers, the Hon. WILLIAM M. IVINS, on the platform of the Cooper Institute at the memorable September meeting held in advance of the

Bureau Convention of 1888, which renominated Governor HILL after all. It is, however, a penalty attached to the primary election, and this penalty is a penalty effectively knocked the whole "leg and crowd" campaign in the head. Here it is: "Every inspector at a primary election who shall knowingly receive the vote of any one who is known to him not to be entitled to vote at such primary, who shall knowingly make, or attempt to make, any false statement of the result of the canvass, shall be guilty of a felony and shall be imprisoned in the State Jail or penitentiary or in a State prison not less than one year nor more than five years."

The inspectors, who had been selected before the discovery of these provisions of the primary election law, are for the most part young men, inexperienced in politics, and attached to the May movement on account of the opportunity which it gave them to add to their weekly incomes by extra pay for clerical work and canvassing. They were perfectly willing to assist, for a consideration, in the work of getting up a fraudulent enrollment; but one to five years in a State prison or penitentiary is not a pleasing outlook, even for an anti-snapper; and consequently the previous instructions given on Monday night had to be down-taken headquarters of the anti-snapners in William street.

Among those having any practical knowledge of politics in New York, the May movement has been regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League. From the politicians, therefore, and from those who, though not politicians, are interested in political matters, the May movement is regarded as a good deal of a joke from the first, and it has been generally likened to the grotesque canvass carried on two years ago by the misguided enthusiasts of the People's Municipal League.

should be forbidden by law. They went to the root of the evil. They could say consistently that "the saloon is an unqualified evil." That is the position which is taken by the General Conference of the Methodists now in session at Omaha. It deserves respect; but the position of Dr. BURELL deserves no respect at all. If he has a "right to take a social and a convivial glass," everybody has the same right, and whether it is exercised in a saloon or elsewhere does not affect the principle. The question is not where it is drunk, but whether it should be drunk at all. There can be no moral distinction between taking the glass in a saloon, in a club, at a dinner, or in a private house. It is only a question of preference and convenience. The drinking at the saloon, too, may be more purely social and convivial than the drinking at home. It is not correct. This is a subject, accordingly, which Dr. BURELL would have done better to avoid. His words had a savor of hypocrisy about them which is very hateful to the people. So long as he goes on taking his social or convivial glass, let him refrain from condemning others for doing the same simply because they take it in a saloon. For the great mass of society the saloon is the safest place in which to drink, if they are restrained of observation. Proportionately drunkenness is not so frequent among those who drink at saloons only as among those who resort to the bottle at home. This drink question is a very serious matter, and when it is approached all humbug and hypocrisy should be dismissed. They will not go down with the people. If there is to be a rule for one, there must be the same rule for all.

The Terror of Chicago. Our esteemed contemporary, the Chicago Herald, mentions with evident fear a new danger that in its judgment threatens the Chicago Convention. This is the "wigmaw" in which a crowd of 20,000 spectators will be present, and the Chicago Herald thinks the tickets of admission will be monopolized by the citizens of Chicago, and therefore a row may be looked for. And knowing the character of a Chicago crowd, our anxious contemporary is moved to fearful apprehensions: "Twenty thousand applauders, boaters, blazers, and cat-calls will make a mighty noise, compared with which a cyclone is a flimsy sound. It is a noise that will shake every structure together in the wigwam will be a manageable quantity of noise, but that of a cyclone is a headless mob. Whoever has witnessed any of the lesser convulsions has seen what a terrific storm an angry mob can throw themselves into under ordinary excitement. What, then, will be done when the crowd will be multiplied fourfold and the animal magnetism four thousandfold? A crowd of 20,000 spectators is a considerable influence that overmasters the coolest and most deliberate of minds. And this contagion will be communicated to the Convention itself and will deprive it of its normal character."

"Nor will it be possible for any presiding officer or any number of sergeants-at-arms to control such a multitude, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on account of a riotous outbreak. Some would say that the Convention and take possession. It will be the view of the right of Peers to sit in the lower House were generally accepted and acted upon, what would be the result upon the House of Lords? Evidently this would be a very serious matter, and it is idle to think that the galleries could be cleared on