ELECTION NOTICES.

# STATEMENT of the Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York.

In relation to votes given for

*Governor*

*Lieutenant-Governor*

*Secretary of State*

*Comptroller*

*Treasurer*

*Attorney-General*

*State Engineer and Surveyor*

*Associate Judge of the Court of Appeals*

The Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York, having met in the Chamber of the Board of Aldermen of the City of New York, on the 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 18th and 25th days of November and 2nd day of December, 1902, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several Election Districts of said County, at the General Election, held on the 4th day of November, in the year aforesaid, DO CERTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

### For Governor.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass that

The whole number of votes given for the office of GOVERNOR was Three hundred and eighteen thousand four hundred and forty-five ..... 318,445

Of which BENJAMIN B. ODELL, JR., received One hundred and six thousand one hundred and thirty-one ..... 108,131

Of which BIRD S. COLER received One hundred and ninety two thousand seven hundred and thirty-five ..... 192,735

Of which ALFRED L. MANIERRE received Six hundred and ninety-four ..... 694

Of which DANIEL DELEON received Five thousand eight hundred and twenty ..... 5,820

Of which BENJAMIN HANFORD received Ten thousand eight hundred and eighty-five ..... 10,885

Of which EDGAR L. RYDER received Five hundred and twelve ..... 512

Of which LUCIEN SANIEL received One ..... 1

Of which there were BLANK One thousand three hundred and eighty-eight ..... 1,388

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Two hundred and seventy-nine ..... 279 — 318,445

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of GOVERNOR, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of Governor was counted, was Two thousand one hundred and fifteen ..... 2,115

### For Lieutenant-Governor.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass that

The whole number of votes given for the office of LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR was Three hundred and eighteen thousand three hundred and forty-six ..... 318,346

Of which FRANK W. HIGGINS received One hundred and five thousand seven hundred and seventy-four ..... 105,774

Of which CHARLES A. BULGER received One hundred and ninety-two thousand three hundred and sixty-nine ..... 192,369

Of which JOHN A. HARTMAN received Six hundred and ninety-seven ..... 697

Of which NORMAN S. BURNHAM received Five thousand eight hundred and seventy-nine ..... 5,879

Of which WILLIAM THURSTON BROWN received Ten thousand nine hundred and seventy-one ..... 10,971

Of which JAY S. CORBIN received Five hundred and twenty-three ..... 523

Of which ROBERT LURAY received One ..... 1

Of which there were BLANK One thousand eight hundred and thirty four ..... 1,834

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Two hundred and ninety-eight ..... 298 — 318,346

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Lieutenant-Governor was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of Lieutenant-Governor was counted, was Two thousand one hundred and fifteen ..... 2,115

### For Secretary of State.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass that

The whole number of votes given for the office of SECRETARY OF STATE was Three hundred and eighteen thousand two hundred and twenty-three ..... 318,223

Of which JOHN F. O'BRIEN received One hundred and five thousand nine hundred and twenty-three ..... 105,923

Of which FRANK H. MOTT received One hundred and ninety one thousand four hundred and sixteen ..... 191,416

Of which ALDEN W. YOUNG received Seven hundred and twenty-six ..... 726

Of which BORIS REINSTEIN received Six thousand and two ..... 6,002

Of which LEONARD D. ABBOTT received Eleven thousand and sixty-two ..... 11,062

Of which JOHN B. HOWARTH received Five hundred and eighty one ..... 581

Of which MORRIS ROSE received One ..... 1

Of which there were BLANK Two thousand one hundred and eighty-eight ..... 2,188

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Three hundred and twenty-four ..... 324 — 318,223

The number of general ballots protested as marked for identification all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Secretary of State was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which no vote for any candidate for the office of Secretary of State was counted was Two thousand one hundred and fifteen ..... 2,115

### For Comptroller.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of COMPTROLLER was Three hundred and eighteen thousand four hundred and seventy ..... 318,470

Of which NATHAN L. MILLER received One hundred and five thousand seven hundred and sixty-nine ..... 105,769

Of which CHARLES M. PRESTON received One hundred and ninety-one thousand nine hundred and twenty-five ..... 191,925

Of which JAMES McNEIL received Six hundred and seventy-one ..... 671

Of which PETER JACOBSON received Five thousand nine hundred and eighteen ..... 5,918

Of which WARREN ATKINSON received Eleven thousand and fifty-eight ..... 11,058

Of which DANIEL B. CASLEY received Five hundred and eighty-seven ..... 587

Of which HERR MOST received One ..... 1

Of which there were BLANK One thousand two hundred and four teen ..... 2,214

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Three hundred and twenty-seven ..... 327 — 318,470

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of COMPTROLLER, was None ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which no VOTE for any candidate for the office of COMPTROLLER was counted, was Two thousand one hundred and fifteen ..... 2,115

### For Treasurer.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of TREASURER, was Three hundred and eighteen thousand four hundred and fifty-six ..... 318,456

Of which JOHN G. WICKSER received One hundred and five thousand six hundred and sixty two ..... 105,662

Of which GEORGE B. FINCH received One hundred and ninety one thousand nine hundred and twenty-two ..... 191,922

Of which SAMUEL MITCHELL received Seven hundred and eight ..... 708

Of which CHARLES A. RUBY received Five thousand nine hundred and seventy-eight ..... 5,978

Of which JOEL MOSES received Eleven thousand and sixty ..... 11,060

Of which DE MYRE S. FERO received Five hundred and forty ..... 540

Of which there were BLANK Two thousand two hundred and seventy four ..... 2,274

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Three hundred and twelve ..... 312 — 318,456

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of TREASURER, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of TREASURER was counted, was Two thousand one hundred and fifteen ..... 2,115

### For Attorney-General.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of ATTORNEY GENERAL was Three hundred and eighteen thousand three hundred and sixty-eight ..... 318,368

Of which HENRY B. COMAN received One hundred and five thousand four hundred and twenty-one ..... 105,421

Of which JOHN CUNNEEN received One hundred and ninety two thousand six hundred and ninety three ..... 192,693

Of which JOHN E. WALLACE received Five thousand six hundred and seventy nine ..... 5,679

Of which LORENZO D. MAYES received Ten thousand eight hundred and forty five ..... 10,845

Of which DENIS A. SPELLISY received One thousand one hundred and forty-one ..... 1,141

Of which GEORGE OTT received One ..... 1

Of which ROBERT STEWART received One ..... 1

Of which there were BLANK Two thousand two hundred and sixty-nine ..... 2,269

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Three hundred and eighteen ..... 318 — 318,368

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of ATTORNEY GENERAL, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of Attorney-General was counted, was Two thousand one hundred and fifteen ..... 2,115

### For State Engineer and Surveyor.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of STATE ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR was Three hundred and eighteen thousand five hundred and fifty two ..... 318,552

Of which EDWARD A. BOND received One hundred and five thousand six hundred and fourteen ..... 105,614

Of which RICHARD W. SHERMAN received One hundred and ninety-two thousand and twenty one ..... 192,021

Of which EMMETT T. SMITH received Six hundred and seventy eight ..... 678

Of which JOHN M. GRADY received Five thousand nine hundred and ninety ..... 5,990

Of which EVERITT L. HOLMES received Eleven thousand and seventy eight ..... 11,078

Of which JOHN T. FLINN received Five hundred and thirty seven ..... 537

Of which W. J. POWERS received One ..... 1

Of which there were BLANK Two thousand three hundred and seventeen ..... 2,317

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Three hundred and sixteen ..... 316 — 318,552

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification" all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of STATE ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of STATE ENGINEER AND SURVEYOR was counted, was Two thousand one hundred and fifteen ..... 2,115

### Associate Judge of the Court of Appeals.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of ASSOCIATE JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, was Three hundred and eighteen thousand four hundred and fifty-one ..... 318,451

Of which WILLIAM L. WERNER received Ninety nine thousand four hundred and eleven ..... 99,411

Of which JOHN CLINTON GRAY received One hundred and ninety-eight thousand two hundred and thirty four ..... 198,234

Of which ERWIN J. BALDWIN received Six hundred and ninety-three ..... 693

Of which ANTON METZLER received Five thousand nine hundred and sixty ..... 5,960

Of which JOHN F. CLARK received Ten thousand nine hundred and eighty two ..... 10,982

Of which ROBERT STEWART received Four hundred and ninety-four ..... 494

Of which there were BLANK Two thousand three hundred and sixty-nine ..... 2,369

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Three hundred and eight ..... 308 — 318,451

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of ASSOCIATE JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of ASSOCIATE JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, was Two thousand one hundred and fifteen ..... 2,115

WE CERTIFY this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the Chairman and a majority of this Board, and the Secretary thereof, this Second day of December, A. D., one thousand nine hundred and two.

T. P. SULLIVAN, *Chairman.*

HENRY BIRRELL, *Secretary.*
LEOPOLD W. HARBURGER,
MICHAEL KENNEDY,
JAMES J. DEVLIN,
THOS F. McCAUL,
CHARLES ALT,
JOHN J. BRIDGES,
NOAH TIBBETTS,
WILLIAM D PECK,
MAX J. PORGES,
PATRICK CHAMBERS,
CHARLES P. HOWLAND,
JAMES H McINNES,
JOSEPH OATMAN,
JOHN H. BEHRMANN,
SAMUEL H. JONES,
JOHN T. McCALL,
DAVID S. STEWART,
JOHN WIRTH,
THOMAS F. FOLEY,
FRANCIS P. KENNEY,
ISAAC MARKS,
WM. R. WALKLEY,
FRANK BENNETT,
JNO. H. DONOHUE,
JACOB LEITNER,
JOHN C. KLETT,
THOMAS F. BALDWIN,
R. S. DOULL,
D. M. HOLMES,
PHIL. HARNISCHFEGER,
HERBERT PARSONS,
FREDERICK RICHTER,
JOHN L. FLORENCE,
JOHN V. COGGEY,
JAMES E. GAFFNEY,
ARMITAGE MATTHEWS,
WILLIAM WENTZ,
JOHN J. DIETZ,
LEOPOLD W. HARBURGER,
MICHAEL KENNEDY,
JAMES J. DEVLIN,
THOS. F. McCAUL,
CHARLES ALT.

STATE OF NEW YORK, *City and County of New York, County Clerk's Office,* } ss.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have compared the foregoing with the original statement on file in this office, and that it is a correct transcript therefrom and of the whole of said original.

WITNESS my hand and official seal at the New County Court House in the City and County of New York, on the Second day of December, one thousand nine hundred and two.

THOS. L. HAMILTON,
[SEAL.] *Clerk of the County of New York.*

# STATEMENT of the Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York.

In relation to votes given for

*Justices of the Supreme Court, First District.*

The Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York, having met in the Chamber of the Board of Aldermen of the City of New York, on the 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 18th and 25th days of November and 2nd day of December, 1902, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several Election Districts of said County, at the General Election, held on the 4th day of November, in the year aforesaid, DO CERTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

### For Justices of the Supreme Court, First District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT, First District, was Nine hundred and thirty-nine thousand and twenty four ..... 939,024

Of which EDWARD E. McCALL received One hundred and seventy-eight thousand three hundred and twenty nine ..... 178,329

Of which EDWARD B. AMEND received One hundred and seventy-eight thousand one hundred and ninety-eight ..... 178,198

Of which VERNON M. DAVIS received One hundred and seventy-two thousand nine hundred and nineteen ..... 172,919

Of which ALFRED STECKLER received One hundred and twenty-four thousand eight hundred and six ..... 124,806

Of which WILLIAM ALBERT KEENER received One hundred and nine thousand and sixty three ..... 109,063

Of which ERNEST HALL received One hundred and five thousand one hundred and twenty seven ..... 105,127

Of which THOMAS DREW STETSON received Six hundred and sixty four ..... 664

Of which JAMES H. LAIRD received Six hundred and sixty four ..... 664

Of which GEORGE B. HILLARD received Six hundred and eighty-four ..... 684

Of which THOMAS CEELY received Five thousand eight hundred and twenty four ..... 5,824

Of which CHRISTIAN BAHNSEN received Five thousand four hundred and fifty seven ..... 5,457

Of which JOSEPH P. JOHNSON received Five thousand seven hundred and forty ..... 5,740

Of which EDWARD BROWN received Ten thousand seven hundred and seventeen ..... 10,717

Of which FREDERICK H. SCHLUETER received Ten thousand and seventy-two ..... 10,072

Of which L. B. BONDIN received Ten thousand six hundred and eight ..... 10,608

Of which JOHN DE WITT WARNER received Eight thousand and twenty-three ..... 8,023

Of which WILLIAM A. KEENER received Two thousand and six ..... 2,006

Of which JOHN DONLON received one ..... 1

Of which E. FISENTERGER received one ..... 1

Of which there were BLANK Nine thousand three hundred and thirty-five ..... 9,335

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Seven hundred and eighty-six ..... 786 — 939,024

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of Justice of the Supreme Court, First District, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of Justice of the Supreme Court, First District, was counted, was Two thousand and seventy ..... 2,070

WE CERTIFY this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the Chairman and a majority of this Board, and the Secretary thereof, this Second day of December A. D., one thousand nine hundred and two.

T. P. SULLIVAN, *Chairman.*

HENRY BIRRELL, *Secretary.*
WILLIAM D. PECK,
MAX J. PORGES,
PATRICK CHAMBERS,
CHARLES P. HOWLAND,
JAMES H McINNES,
JOSEPH OATMAN,
JOHN H. BEHRMANN,
SAMUEL H. JONES,
JOHN T. McCALL,
DAVID S. STEWART,
JOHN WIRTH,
THOMAS F. FOLEY,
FRANCIS P. KENNEY,
ISAAC MARKS,
NOAH TIBBETTS,
JOHN J. BRIDGES,
W. R. WALKLEY,
FRANK BENNETT,
JNO. H. DONOHUE,
JACOB LEITNER,
JOHN C. KLETT,
R. S. DOULL,
D. M. HOLMES,
PHIL. HARNISCHFEGER,
HERBERT PARSONS,
FREDERICK RICHTER,
JOHN L. FLORENCE,
JOHN V. COGGEY,
JAMES E. GAFFNEY,
ARMITAGE MATTHEWS,
WILLIAM WENTZ,
JOHN J. DIETZ,
FRANK BENNETT.

STATE OF NEW YORK, *City and County of New York, County Clerk's Office,* } ss.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have compared the foregoing with the original statement on file in this office, and that it is a correct transcript therefrom and of the whole of said original.

WITNESS my hand and official seal at the New County Court House in the City and County of New York, on the Second day of December, one thousand nine hundred and two.

THOS. L. HAMILTON,
[SEAL.] *Clerk of the County of New York.*

# STATEMENT of the Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York.

In relation to votes cast for

*Representatives in Congress.*

The Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York, having met in the Chamber of the Board of Aldermen of the City of New York, on the 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 18th and 25th days of November and the 2nd day of December, 1902, to canvass and estimate the votes given in the several Election Districts of said County, at the General Election, held on the 4th day of November, in the year aforesaid, DO CERTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

### El hth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Eighth Congressional District was Twenty-five thousand four hundred and seven ..... 25,407

Of which TIMOTHY D. SULLIVAN received Eighteen thousand nine hundred and seventy-two ..... 18,972

Of which MONTAGUE LESSLER received Five thousand four hundred and forty six ..... 5,446

Of which ROBERT DOWNS received Two hundred and twenty-eight ..... 228

Of which BENJAMIN F. FUNK received Sixty three ..... 63

Of which GUSTAVE THEIMER received Three hundred and seventy ..... 370

Of which FRANK MAYO received Seventy ..... 70

Of which there were BLANK One hundred and sixty-eight ..... 168

Of which there were DEFECTIVE Twenty-six ..... 26 — 26,407

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was Three hundred and sixty ..... 360

### Ninth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Ninth Congressional District was Fourteen thousand and twenty-five ..... 14,025

Of which HENRY M. GOLDFOGLE received Seven thousand seven hundred and thirty nine ..... 7,739

Of which CHARLES S. ADLER received Four thousand two hundred and thirty-five ..... 4,235

Of which T. N. HOLDEN received Eighty-two ..... 82

Of which RUDOLPH KATZ received Four hundred and ninety-nine ..... 499

Of which ALEXANDER JONAS received One thousand three hundred and fifty-five ..... 1,355

Of which there were BLANK Eighty-eight ..... 88

Of which there were DEFECTIVE Twenty-seven ..... 27 — 14,025

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was One hundred and sixty-eight ..... 168

### Tenth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Tenth Congressional District was Twenty-five thousand and fifty-one ..... 25,051

Of which WILLIAM SULZER received Fifteen thousand four hundred and fifty-one ..... 15,451

Of which WILLIAM BLAU received Six thousand and eighty-eight ..... 6,088

Of which IRA BABCOCK received Forty-five ..... 45

Of which JAMES T. HUNTER received One thousand three hundred and ninety-one ..... 1,391

Of which H. O. WILSHIRE received One thousand eight hundred and seventy-three ..... 1,873

Of which there were BLANK One hundred and seventy four ..... 174

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Twenty nine ..... 29 — 25,051

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted was One hundred and ninety-four ..... 194

### Tenth Congressional District as Constituted in 1900.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Tenth Congressional District as constituted in 1900, was Twenty-eight thousand four hundred and seventy-five ..... 28,475

Of which EDWARD SWANN received Eighteen thousand three hundred and two ..... 18,302

Of which HENRY BIRRELL received Eight thousand five hundred and ninety ..... 8,590

Of which there were BLANK One thousand five hundred and forty-seven ..... 1,547

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Thirty-six ..... 36 — 28,475

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was One hundred and fifty-eight ..... 158

### Eleventh Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Eleventh Congressional District was Thirty nine thousand two hundred and seventy three ..... 39,273

Of which WILLIAM RANDOLPH HEARST received Twenty-six thousand nine hundred and fifty three ..... 26,953

Of which HENRY BIRRELL received Ten thousand eight hundred and forty-one ..... 10,841

Of which EDWARD A. PACKER received One hundred and nineteen ..... 119

Of which CHARLES G. FICHE received Eight hundred and twenty-three ..... 823

Of which SOLOMON FELDMAN received Six hundred and eighty six ..... 686

Of which there were BLANK Two hundred and ten ..... 210

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Forty-one ..... 41 — 39,273

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted was Two hundred and fifty ..... 250

### Twelfth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Twelfth Congressional District was Thirty thousand two hundred and eleven ..... 30,211

Of which GEORGE B. McCLELLAN received Twenty one thousand two hundred and seventy-five ..... 21,275

Of which CHARLES SHONGOOD received Seven thousand and thirty-nine ..... 7,039

Of which JOHN W. ANDREWS received Forty-eight ..... 48

Of which EMIL HENDRICKS received Five hundred and twelve ..... 512

Of which FREDERICK PAULITSH received One thousand and five ..... 1,005

Of which AUGUST J. DURLACHER received Fifty four ..... 54

Of which there were BLANK Two hundred and forty-six ..... 246

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Thirty-two ..... 32 — 30,211

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was One hundred and ninety three ..... 193

### Thirteenth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Thirteenth Congressional District was Thirty thousand two hundred and ninety-five ..... 30,295

Of which FRANCIS BURTON HARRISON received Fifteen thousand five hundred and twenty-four ..... 15,524

Of which JAMES W. FERRY received Thirteen thousand nine hundred and eighty-seven ..... 13,987

Of which J. H. YARNELL received Eighty-one ..... 81

Of which ANDREAS H. KNUDSON received One hundred and eighty-nine ..... 189

Of which PETER ZOELER received Two hundred and twenty-three ..... 223

Of which FRANCIS M. NEALL received Fifty one ..... 51

Of which there were BLANK Two hundred and thirteen ..... 213

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Twenty-seven ..... 27 — 30,295

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was One hundred and forty-seven ..... 147

### Fourteenth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Fourteenth Congressional District was Sixteen thousand six hundred and eighty-six ..... 16,686

Of which IRA EDGAR RIDER received Ten thousand four hundred and ninety ..... 10,490

Of which ADREW J. ANDERSEN received Four thousand and thirty-two ..... 4,032

Of which ARTHUR CHAMBERS received Three hundred and ninety-four ..... 394

Of which JOHN C. WALLACE received Thirty ..... 30

Of which WILLIAM EHRET received One thousand four hundred and seventy-five ..... 1,475

Of which JOHN J. M. ISSING received Sixty-nine ..... 69

Of which there were BLANK One hundred and forty one ..... 141

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Fifty-five ..... 55 — 16,686

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was Thirty-nine ..... 39

### Fifteenth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Fifteenth Congressional District, was Twenty-five thousand six hundred and twenty-seven ..... 25,627

Of which WILLIAM H. DOUGLASS received Twelve thousand five hundred and seventy five ..... 12,575

Of which HENRY H. MARTIN received Twelve thousand one hundred and sixty-one ..... 12,161

Of which DAVID A. HOWELL received Forty-five ..... 45

Of which ROBERT J. McCALL received Two hundred and twenty four ..... 224

Of which EDWARD F. CASSIDY received Two hundred and fifty-two ..... 252

Of which there were BLANK Three hundred and forty-eight ..... 348

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Twenty two ..... 22 — 25,627

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO vote for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was One hundred and fifty-four ..... 154

### Sixteenth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Sixteenth Congressional District was Twenty sixth thousand two hundred and ninety-three ..... 26,293

Of which JACOB RUPPERT, JR. received Fifteen thousand six hundred and fifty seven ..... 15,657

Of which WILLIAM R. SPOONER received Seven thousand four hundred and eighty-five ..... 7,485

Of which ROBERT E. NIEDIG received Ninety-one ..... 91

Of which CLAUS VONDERLIETH received Six hundred and seventy-nine ..... 679

Of which HERMANN WALKER received One thousand one hundred and forty-six ..... 1,146

Of which there were BLANK One thousand one hundred and seventy-four ..... 1,174

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Sixty-one ..... 61 — 26,293

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was Ninety four ..... 94

### Seventeenth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Seventeenth Congressional District was Thirty-eight thousand seven hundred and fifty eight ..... 38,758

Of which FRANK C. SHOBER received Nineteen thousand two hundred and forty-eight ..... 19,248

Of which HARVEY T. ANDREWS received seventeen thousand seven hundred and thirty-one ..... 17,731

Of which GEORGE GETHIN received One hundred and thirty-eight ..... 138

Of which NILES JOHNSON received Three hundred and sixty-seven ..... 367

Of which JAMES C. KANELY received Five hundred and sixty ..... 560

Of which there were BLANK Seven hundred ..... 700

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Fourteen ..... 14 — 38,758

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was counted, was Two hundred and nine ..... 209

### Eighteenth Congressional District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS for the Eighteenth Congressional District was Forty-six thousand five hundred and eighty four ..... 46,584

Of which JOSEPH A. GOULDEN received Twenty-eight thousand four hundred and eleven ..... 28,411

Of which FRANK C. SCHAEFFLER received Fourteen thousand eight hundred and forty-four ..... 14,844

Of which JAMES H. HARDY received One hundred and fifty four ..... 154

Of which JOHN G. H. KLPP received Eight hundred and ninety-two ..... 892

Of which ERNEST SPRANGER received One thousand six hundred and sixty three ..... 1,663

Of which there were BLANK Six hundred and eighteen ..... 618

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Two ..... 2 — 46,584

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS was Three hundred and seven ..... 307

WE CERTIFY this statement to be correct, and have caused the same to be attested by the signatures of the Chairman and a majority of this Board, and the Secretary thereof, this Second day of December A. D., one thousand nine hundred and two.

T. P. SULLIVAN, *Chairman.*

HENRY BIRRELL, *Secretary.*
JOHN J. BRIDGES,
WM. WENTZ,
JOHN J. DIETZ,
LEOPOLD W. HARBURGER,
MICHAEL KENNEDY,
JAMES J. DEVLIN,
THOS. F. McCAUL,
CHARLES ALT,
JOHN WIRTH,
WILLIAM D. PECK,
NOAH TIBBETTS,
MAX J. PORGES,
PATRICK CHAMBERS,
FRANCIS P. KENNEY,
CHARLES P. HOWLAND,
JAMES H. McINNES,
JOSEPH OATMAN,
JOHN H. BEHRMANN,
SAMUEL H. JONES,
JOHN T. McCALL,
DAVID S. STEWART,
THOMAS F. FOLEY,
ISAAC MARKS,
JNO. H. DONOHUE,
JACOB LEITNER,
JOHN C. KLETT,
THOMAS F. BALDWIN,
R. S. DOULL,
D. M. HOLMES,
PHIL. HARNISCHFEGER,
FRANK GASS,
W. R. WALKLEY,
JOHN J. HAGGERTY,
JAMES OWENS,
ELIAS GOODMAN,
FRANKLIN B. WARE,
CHARLES W. CULKIN,
FREDERICK RICHTER,
HERBERT PARSONS,
JOHN L. FLORENCE,
JOHN V. COGGEY,
JAMES E. GAFFNEY,
ARMITAGE MATTHEWS,
FRANK BENNETT.

STATE OF NEW YORK, *City and County of New York, County Clerk's Office,* } ss.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have compared the foregoing with the original statement on file in this office, and that it is a correct transcript therefrom and of the whole of said original.

WITNESS my hand and official seal at the New County Court House in the City and County of New York on the Second day of December one thousand nine hundred and two.

THOS. L. HAMILTON,
[SEAL.] *Clerk of the County of New York.*

# Statement of the Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York.

In relation to votes cast for

*SENATORS.*

The Board of County Canvassers of the County of New York, having met in the Chamber of the Board of Aldermen of the City of New York, on the 11th, 12th, 13th, 14th, 15th, 18th and 25th days of November, and 2nd day of December, 1902, to canvass and estimate the votes cast in the several Election Districts of said County, at the General Election, held on the 4th day of November, in the year aforesaid, DO CERTIFY AS FOLLOWS:

### FOR SENATOR.

#### Tenth Senate District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of SENATOR for the Tenth Senate District was Seventeen thousand four hundred and seventy-nine ..... 17,479

Of which DANIEL J. RIORDAN received Ten thousand nine hundred and seventeen ..... 10,917

Of which WILLIAM A. COAKLEY received Five thousand one hundred and forty-six ..... 5,146

Of which LAZARUS ABELSON received Two hundred and forty seven ..... 247

Of which JACOB J. MENTZ received Nine hundred and six ..... 906

Of which there were BLANK Two hundred and thirty-six ..... 236

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Twenty-seven ..... 27 — 17,479

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon, for the office of SENATOR, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of SENATOR was counted was Two hundred and forty-nine ..... 249

#### Eleventh Senate District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of SENATOR for the Eleventh Senate District was Nineteen thousand eight hundred and thirty-nine ..... 19,839

Of which JOHN C. FITZGERALD received Twelve thousand four hundred and ninety-seven ..... 12,497

Of which MAYER SHONFELD received Five thousand two hundred and thirty-four ..... 5,234

Of which A. W. PFLUGER received Thirty-five ..... 35

Of which JULIUS FRANKEL received Five hundred and forty-seven ..... 547

Of which MAXIM HOMM received One thousand three hundred and thirty six ..... 1,336

Of which there were BLANK One hundred and fifty-seven ..... 157

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Thirty-three ..... 33 — 19,839

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of SENATOR, was ..... —

The number of general ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of SENATOR was counted, was Two hundred and forty-six ..... 246

#### Twelfth Senate District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of SENATOR for the Twelfth Senate District was Twenty thousand and seventy six ..... 20,076

Of which SAMUEL J. FOLEY received Twelve thousand three hundred and twenty-nine ..... 12,329

Of which EMERICH KOHN received Four thousand seven hundred and thirty-nine ..... 4,739

Of which J. T. BROOKS received Thirty-seven ..... 37

Of which JULIUS HAMMER received One thousand three hundred and four ..... 1,304

Of which HERMAN REICH received One thousand four hundred and seventy-five ..... 1,475

Of which there were BLANK One hundred and seventy four ..... 174

And of which there were DEFECTIVE Eighteen ..... 18 — 20,076

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of SENATOR, was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of SENATOR was counted, was One hundred and forty four ..... 144

#### Thirteenth Senate District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of SENATOR for the Thirteenth Senate District, was Twenty-two thousand one hundred and seventy three ..... 22,173

Of which BERNARD MARTIN received Thirteen thousand eight hundred and fifty-three ..... 13,853

Of which JAMES STEWART received Seven thousand six hundred and fifty nine ..... 7,659

Of which FITZHUGH SMITH received Eighty-seven ..... 87

Of which EDWARD J. BOYCE received Two hundred and eighteen ..... 218

Of which PAUL FLASCHEL received Two hundred and six ..... 206

Of which HENRY DONOVAN received Forty two ..... 42

Of which there were BLANK One hundred and eight ..... 108

And of which there were DEFECTIVE None ..... — — 22,173

The number of general ballots "protested as marked for identification," all of which were counted for the several candidates voted thereon for the office of SENATOR was ..... —

The number of ballots which were rejected as VOID, on which NO VOTE for any candidate for the office of SENATOR was counted, was One hundred and ninety-eight ..... 198

#### Fourteenth Senate District.

That it appears on such estimate and canvass, that

The whole number of votes cast for the office of SENATOR for the Fourteenth Senate District, was Twenty four thousand two hundred and ninety three ..... 24,293

Of which THOMAS F. GRADY received Fifteen thousand eight hundred and ninety ..... 15,890

Of which JAMES GAFFNEY received Six thousand five hundred and forty-seven ..... 6,547

Of which D. PALMER SMAGG received Forty-nine ..... 49

Of which GEORGE H. STYLES received Three hundred and fifty-two ..... 352