

FLEET FOR SANTO DOMINGO.

CARIBBEAN SQUADRON ORDERED BATTLESHIPS ASKED FOR.

Fears That Morales's Opponents May Make Trouble—The Bacon Resolution Asking the President for Information Goes to Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 24.—In accordance with a decision reached by the principal officials of the Administration the entire Caribbean squadron has been ordered to the north side of the island, keeping in touch with the ports at Saman, Monte Cristi, Sanchez and Puerto Plata. At the latter place this Government is now in charge of the customs houses.

President Morales, who brought about the agreement with the United States, is not powerful among his people, and of the seven ports of the island there are two where it is thought that he could hold his own—Puerto Plata and Santo Domingo City. The sentiment of the population in the remainder of the coast cities and in the interior is against Morales, and for some time an outbreak has been considered imminent.

The action of the United States is certain to be resented by subordinates to Morales who have had charge of the customs houses and who have been enjoying the revenues.

The element which openly resents the act of fostering revolution is regarded as a strong possibility by officials of the State Department.

The resolution by the House of Representatives asking for battle ships to augment the cruisers of the Caribbean squadron, which was introduced by Representative Albert C. Dillingham, who was sent to Santo Domingo to assist Minister Thomas C. Dawson in reaching an agreement with President Morales, is expected to return to Washington this week to make his report to the State Department.

When this is done the final plans for the appointment of a commission to investigate all claims against Santo Domingo will be perfected.

The resolution by the House of Representatives, introduced yesterday by the Bacon resolution, is expected to return to Washington this week to make his report to the State Department.

Mr. Bacon offered this resolution, saying it was a mere request for information, and that the whole matter was executive business, and on that ground he opposed the resolution. He intimated that if the resolution were adopted it was merely a protocol, preliminary to the negotiation of a treaty to be submitted to the Senate.

Mr. Bacon offered an amendment to his resolution, asking the President to inform the Senate whether or not there had been within the past three years an arbitration agreement entered into between the United States and Santo Domingo relative to any claims of citizens of the United States against the Government of Santo Domingo, and whether any award had been made under such arbitration, and if so, to what extent.

Mr. Teller opposed the motion to refer the resolution, and stated that the matter was a public question.

Mr. Foraker offered an amendment providing that the President should send the required information to the Senate at the session, as he might determine.

Mr. Carmack was asking for adoption of the resolution, and stated that he would be glad to see the doors closed.

Mr. Teller suggested that the Foraker amendment was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Foraker withdrew his amendment.

The lengthy preamble to the Bacon resolution, said Mr. Cullum, was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Teller suggested that the Foraker amendment was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Foraker withdrew his amendment.

The lengthy preamble to the Bacon resolution, said Mr. Cullum, was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Teller suggested that the Foraker amendment was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Foraker withdrew his amendment.

The lengthy preamble to the Bacon resolution, said Mr. Cullum, was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Teller suggested that the Foraker amendment was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Foraker withdrew his amendment.

The lengthy preamble to the Bacon resolution, said Mr. Cullum, was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Teller suggested that the Foraker amendment was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Foraker withdrew his amendment.

The lengthy preamble to the Bacon resolution, said Mr. Cullum, was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

Mr. Teller suggested that the Foraker amendment was not essential, and he suggested that the resolution be passed.

LOUIS ROEDERER CHAMPAGNE

BRUT (vintage 1895 & 1898) Grand Vin Sec Carte Blanche E. La Montagne & Sons, Agents 45 BEAVER STREET, N. Y.

SWAYNE CASE IN THE SENATE.

CHIEF JUSTICE SWEARS IN THE COURT OF IMPEACHMENT.

House Managers Present the Articles—Platt of Connecticut to Be Presiding Officer—Summons for Swayne Ordering Him to Appear on Friday Next.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 24.—The managers of the House of Representatives in the matter of the impeachment of Judge Charles Swayne appeared at the door of the Senate Chamber at 12:30 o'clock to-day.

Nearly all the Senators in the city were in their seats, and the galleries were well filled. The five managers who appeared were Representatives Palmer of Pennsylvania, Clayton of Alabama, De Armond of Missouri, Perkins of New York and Smith of Kentucky.

Assistant Sergeant-at-Arms Stewart announced the managers, and President Frye directed the sergeant-at-arms to escort them to their seats, which were placed in front of the first row of Senate desks on the Republican side.

"The sergeant-at-arms will make proclamation," announced President Frye.

The sergeant-at-arms, Col. Ramsdell, standing at the right of the president pro tem, made the proclamation.

"His Honor, the chief justice, all persons are commanded to keep silence, on pain of imprisonment, while the House of Representatives is exhibiting to the Senate of the United States articles of impeachment against Charles Swayne, Judge of the United States District Court in and for the Northern District of New York."

The managers took their seats, except Mr. Palmer, who stood and read the articles.

"The Senate will take order in the premises and will notify the House of Representatives of its action," was President Frye's brief reply, and the managers then filed out.

Mr. Fairbanks then offered an order providing for the appointment of a committee of two Senators to wait upon the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court and invite him to appear at 2 o'clock and administer the constitutional oath to the Senators sitting in the impeachment trial.

This ended the preliminaries for the time, and at 2 o'clock the House resumed business.

Upon a statement by Senator Frye, President pro tem, that the condition of his health would not permit him to preside continuously at the trial, the Senate adopted a resolution designating Senator Platt of Connecticut as presiding officer.

Just before 2 o'clock the roll call of the Senate was ordered, and seventy-two Senators responded to their names. The galleries rapidly filled, and dozens of members of the House appeared, standing in the rear of the Senate Chamber.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

At 2:05 o'clock Chief Justice Fuller, in his official robes, entered the Senate Chamber, and was escorted to the center of the chamber, where he was seated.

ATTACK ON JUDGE LACOMBE

Made to Congress by Lawyers Who Object to a Decision of His.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 24.—Members of the House received to-day letters signed Watt & Dolan, attorneys of Philadelphia, preferring charges against Judge E. Henry Lacombe of the Federal Court for the Southern District of New York and asking that he be impeached. They present twelve counts, nine of which relate generally to his rulings, judgments, orders, &c., as being contrary to law, practice, precedent and facts. No. 10 charges him with making and entering decisions, orders and decrees under false pretenses.

Chairman Jenkins is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

Chairman Jenkins of the House of Representatives to the Judiciary is inclined to view the matter seriously. The local representative of the Philadelphia firm says that he submitted the facts to the late Senator Hoar without giving him the name of the Judge. Senator Hoar is said to have advised immediate charges.

Upon this presentation, Mr. Jenkins has prepared to furnish ample and competent legal proofs in support of their charges.

DINNER WITH A FEW HOBBIES.

HOT STUFF THINKERS GATHER IN HENRY GEORGE'S MEMORY.

G. B. Shaw Writes to Say That He Knows All About Us—Edwin Markham Presents Deathless Poem and Dramatic Seton Evokes a Fable—Bryan There

The twenty-fifth anniversary of the publication of Henry George's book "Progress and Poverty" was celebrated last night by a dinner at the Hotel Astor, attended by about 250 men and women, most of whom cherish a hobby of some sort. Among those who paid \$5 apiece to join in the celebration were a few Socialists, the arch free silverite in the form of William J. Bryan himself, and a number of the most distinguished single tax disciples, from every branch of reform put discovered, from the single tax down to the simple life. Three Cent Tom Johnson was billed as a speaker, but he didn't arrive.

It wasn't a very "drossy" dinner. Most of the men came in business suits and many of the women didn't have their party frocks on, but they all seemed to enjoy themselves. Evidently they got what they wanted out of the speaking started.

Between courses Toastmaster Hamlin Grant rattled off a list of names, praising Henry George and his work. Some of these came from the Rev. Dr. Lyman Abbott, Edward M. Shepard, Amelia E. Barr, George W. Peck, Thomas Shaw, Thomas Higginson, Gov. Joseph W. Folk of Missouri, and Dr. Albert Shaw, who was down for a speech but was confined to his home by illness.

THREAT FROM G. BERNARD SHAW. George Bernard Shaw wrote:

"What Henry George did not teach you are the tenets of your great trusts and combines, and to which I need not say that if you would take them over as national property, you would take over the copyrights of all my early work, and you would have no more of my business but their own."

"I great idea you all take America for granted because you were born in it. I who have never crossed the Atlantic, and I know ten times as much about your country as you do yourselves, and my aim is to come over some day and try to do it. I shall take official cognizance of them," he said.

Watt & Dolan were counsel for a certain Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

Mr. Du Bois decided to substitute as counsel the Philadelphia firm of Watt & Dolan, Seymour, Seymour & Dolan, New York City for alleged infringement of a patent. The New York firm of Seymour, Seymour & Dolan were engaged as its counsel on a similar case.

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in

IN SECURING exclusively the services of Mr. Allan Ramsay, Turkey's government expert for sixteen years, we are able to give Americans in